MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR BRADLEY RANCH FILING NO.1

August 2017

Prepared for:

The Landhuis Company 212 N. Wahsatch Ave, Suite 301 Colorado Springs, CO 80903



20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 110 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 955-5485

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR

BRADLEY RANCH FILLING NO.1

DRAINAGE PLAN STATEMENTS

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

This report and plan for the drainage design of Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1 was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said report and plan has been prepared in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual and is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Virgil A. Sanchez, P.E. #37160 For and on Behalf of M&S Civil Consultants, Inc.

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

The Landuis Company, hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that are submitted to the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to section 7.7.906 of the City Code; and cannot, on behalf of Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1, guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve The Landuis Company, and/or their successors and/or assigns future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

DATE: 8/29/17 PRINTED NAME: Jeff Mark

TITLE: ADDRESS:

The Landuis Company 212 N. Wahsatch Ave, Suite 301 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS

Filed in accordance with Section 7-7-906 of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs, 2001, as amended.

BY: Anna Bergmach DATE: <u>9-6-17</u> For the City Engineer

CONDITIONS:

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR BRADLEY RANCH FILING NO.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE	4
GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	4
SOILS	4
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	5
HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS	5
CLIMATE	5
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	5
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	5
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	5
WETLANDS	10
PREVIOUS REPORTS	10
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	12
4 STEP PROCESS	13
CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION	29
DRAINAGE FEES	30
SUMMARY	30
REFERENCES	31
ADDENIDIY	

APPENDIX

Vicinity Map Aerial Map Soils Map Floodplain Map Hydrologic Calculations Hydraulic Calculations Reference Materials Drainage Maps

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR BRADLEY RANCH FILING NO.1

PURPOSE

The purpose of this drainage report is to identify and analyze the existing and proposed drainage patterns, determine the associated runoff quantities, evaluate existing drainage conveyance facilities, and when necessary recommend proposed drainage structures to aid and safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate outfall facilities. This report is also intended to support a property zoning change to allow for single-family residential development, zoned PUD, Planned Unit Development.

GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The site is located in the NW and NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 and of Section 36 and a portion of the NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Section 25, Township 12 South, Range 66 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in Colorado Springs, Colorado. The site, known as Bradley Ranch Filing No.1, consists of approximately 120.193 acres in size and will be developed into single family lots, open space, neighborhood park site and utility and drainage tracts and public rights-of-way. The development is anticipated to overlot graded as a single filing, but finished construction is likely to follow a phased development based upon market conditions. Individual preliminary/final drainage reports will be required prior to the development of the interior lots.

The site is bounded to the north by several rural low density single family lots that line the south edge of the Black Forest. The site is bound to the east by the un-platted portions of the planned Wolf Ranch residential development and a Colorado Springs Utilities Water Tank Site. The site is bounded to the south by un-platted portions of the planned Wolf Ranch and Cordera subdivisions which are also planned for residential development. The site is bound to the by west by un-platted portions of the Cordera development, of which a portion will become the west half of Future Union Boulevard. A vicinity map showing the location of the site, and an aerial map showing the parcel and the surrounding features is provided within the appendix of this report.

The Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1 site is contained within both Pine Creek (North and South) and Cottonwood Creek major drainage basins. The existing site currently drains from the north to the west, southwest, and south. It should be noted that no onsite existing infrastructure, irrigation facilities or detention facility of significance were noted during field inspections. A few small stockponds are present near the middle of the site. Most likely, these ponds were utilized in watering livestock and are to be filled with the development of the site.

SOILS

The "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area" prepared by the Soil Conservation Service Soil Map indicates the presence of five Soil Map Units within the project area. They are as follows, Kettle gravelly loamy sand with 3 to 8 percent slopes (40), Kettle gravelly loamy sand with 8 to 40 percent slopes (41), Peyton-Pring complex

with 3 to 8 percent slopes (68), Peyton-Pring complex with 8 to 15 percent slopes (69), Pring coarse sandy loam with 3 to 8 percent slopes (71). The Hydrologic Soil Group Rating associated with these soils is "B". Typically, HSG "B" rated soils possess moderate infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted, are moderately well to well drained and have a moderate rate of water transmission. A copy of the soils map for this site is included within the appendix of this report.

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria Manual. The Rational Method was used to estimate storm water runoff anticipated from design storms with 5-year and 100-year recurrence intervals. Topography for the site was complied at a two foot contour interval using LIDAR data, which was utilized to determine onsite-sub basin boundaries. Offsite sub-basin boundaries were determined utilizing mapping provided within previous drainage reports. Field inspections were conducted to verify offsite and onsite mapping.

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Hydraulic calculations were estimated using the Manning's Formula and the methods described in the El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria Manual. Inlet sizing, interception, and street capacities were calculated using Street Capacity and Inlet Sizing UD-Inlet v4.03 software from Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. Pertinent data sheets are included in the appendix of this report.

CLIMATE

This area of El Paso County can be described as the foothills, with total precipitation amounts typical of a semiarid region. Winters are generally cold and dry, and summers relatively warm and dry. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 14 inches per year, with the majority of this moisture occurring in the spring and summer in the form of rainfall. Thunderstorms are common during the summer months.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel No. 0804C0530, effective date March 17, 1997, no portion of the site lies within a designated floodplain.

DRAINAGE CRITERIA

The drainage analysis has been prepared in accordance with the current City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual. Calculations were performed to determine runoff quantities during the 5- year and 100-year frequency storms for developed conditions using the Rational Method as required for basins having areas less than 100 acres.

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

With the exception of the a few residential structures and outbuildings associated with the Bradley Ranch residence, the 120.193 acre site is primarily undeveloped. The site is covered by native grasses with a few elevated areas in the northern half of the property that contain rock outcroppings, trees and scrub oak and brush. The site lies within both the Pine Creek and Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basins, with its northern boundary

line located approximately a quarter of a mile to the south of the upper most point, or top, of the two watersheds.

Historically about 1/12 or 10.1 acres of the subject site located along eastern boundary falls within the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin (CCDB). Generally this portion of the site drains from north to south, with slopes typically ranging from 2-10% with some steep existing grades reaching as high as four to one (4:1). Runoff from the up-gradient offsite portion of the watershed enters this portion of the subject site along the north and eastern boundaries before combining with internal runoff and discharging along the south boundary into portions of the undeveloped planned Wolf Ranch Development. Based upon the available data this portion of future Wolf Ranch is planned for low density residential development. This CCDB portion of the site has been previously analyzed within both the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study, by URS Consultants in 1994 and more recently within the Wolf Ranch Master Development Drainage Plan Update, by Kiowa Engineering in 2013.

The majority of the site or the remaining 110.0 acres of Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1 falls with the Pine Creek Drainage Basin (PCDB). The majority up-gradient runoff entering this portion of the subject site is generated within unplatted rural 5 to 40 acre parcels located to the north of the site. Historically runoff from the Pine Creek Drainage Basin portion of the site drains to the south, southwest and west toward the un-platted portions the Future Cordera Development. Onsite slopes for this portion of the property range from 2 to 10% with some steep existing grades reaching as high as three to one (3:1) where forested rock outcroppings are present. Portions of the site, falling within the PCDB have been previously analyzed within the Pine Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study as most recently amended by JR Engineering in February 2003 (Amendment No. 3) and by several Master Development Drainage Plans for Cordera Filings No. 2 and 3 and the Briargate Crossings Neighborhoods Studies produced between 2002 and 2014. An aerial map in the appendix of the report illustrates the relationship of the proposed site in its existing condition to the surrounding developments.

An existing condition hydrologic analysis was prepared to determine peak runoff entering and exiting the subject site. The existing condition topography, basins boundaries, contributing design points, and peak flow summaries are depicted on the Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1 Existing Drainage Map in the appendix of this report. For purposes of discussion the existing condition basins have been grouped by offsite, onsite, and contributing watershed. It should be noted that the small existing stockponds located onsite, were not considered to store runoff and thus do not reduce the calculated runoff rates. No known functioning irrigation facilities are located on site.

Pine Creek Offsite Basins EX16-EX21 (North of Bradley Ranch)

Basins EX16 thru **EX21** and **Design Points 1, 2, 4, 13, 14** and **20** designate the watersheds areas and runoff data points that reach the northern boundary of Bradley Ranch. This area is a combination of platted and unplatted rural residential and undeveloped lots ranching from 0.5 acres to 40 acres in size.

Basin EX16 (Q5 = 10.4 cfs, Q100 = 60.5 cfs) is the largest delineated offsite basin located north of the subject site. The watershed consists of approximate 48.1 acres and is located north of the site, immediately south of the ridgeline that separates the Pine Creek and Kettle Creek drainage basins. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover, a few exposed rock outcroppings are present. A hand full of rural housing structures and outbuildings are present. Runoff produced within the basin is conveyed east to west as concentrated ditch flow from the top of the Pine Creek watershed to **Design Point 1** (Q5 = 10.4 cfs, Q100 = 60.5 cfs), located near the northwest corner of the site at

the intersection of Old Ranch Road and existing Milam Road. Some erosion is noted south of the existing intersection where the existing roadway embankment directs flows to the southwest.

Basin EX17 (Q5 = 5.1 cfs, Q100 = 29.8 cfs) is the third largest offsite basin consisting of 16.2 acres and is located between **Basin EX-16** and the northern boundary of the site. The basin possesses a few structures with mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses, providing relatively good ground cover. Some exposed rock outcroppings are present. Runoff from the basin generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from east to west until it reaches **Design Point 2** (Q5 = 5.1 cfs, Q100 = 29.8 cfs) near the northwest corner of the site.

Basin EX18 (Q5 = 0.3 cfs, Q100 = 1.8 cfs) is the smallest offsite basin consisting of 0.6 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. This offsite area contains a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses. Runoff from the basin generally travels as southwesterly as sheet flow to the boundary and **Design Point 4** (Q5 = 0.3 cfs, Q100 = 1.8 cfs) prior to entering onsite **Basin EX6**.

Basin EX20 (Q5 = 3.2 cfs, Q100 = 18.6 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 6.8 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. This offsite area contains a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses provide relatively good ground cover. Runoff from the basin generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south to **Design Point 13** (Q5 = 3.2 cfs, Q100 = 18.6 cfs) and entering the subject site at **Basin EX9**.

Basin EX19 (Q5 = 3.3 cfs, Q100 = 19.3 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 8.0 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover. Runoff from the basin generally travels north to south as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow to **Design Point 14** (Q5 = 3.3 cfs, Q100 = 19.3 cfs) and enters onsite **Basin EX9**.

Basin EX21 (Q5 = 4.7 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 11.8 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover with a few residential structures. Runoff from the basin generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south to **Design Point 20** (Q5 = 4.7 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs) and enters onsite **Basin EX13** traveling onward south through the site.

Pine Creek Onsite Basins EX1-EX13

Basins EX1 thru **EX13** and **Design Points 3**, **5** thru **12**, **15** thru **19** and **21** designate the watersheds areas and runoff data points which discharge to the southern and western boundaries of Bradley Ranch within the Pine Creek watershed. With the exception of the a few sporadic gravel access roadways, the Bradley Ranch residential structures and outbuilding the site remains primarily undeveloped.

Basin EX6 and Basin EX5A (EX6 Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 16.6 cfs, EX5A Q5 = 0.9 cfs, Q100 = 5.3 cfs,) are located in the northwest corner of the site and are currently undeveloped, with the exception of a small gravel access driveway that bisects the two basins. **Basins EX6** and **EX5A** consist of approximately 8.5 acres and 2.5 acres respectively. The basins are covered by native grass vegetation with a few trees and shrubs. Sheet flow from entering the site from **DP-4** combines with runoff produced within **Basin EX6** and travels eastward to **Design Point 5** (Q5 = 3.1cfs, Q100 = 17.8 cfs). Runoff reaching **DP-5** continues eastward, ultimately combining runoff from **Basin EX5A** and **DP-2** just inside the northwest corner of the site at **Design Point 6** (Q5 = 7.9 cfs, Q100 = 45.9 cfs). Runoff from **DP-6** combines with runoff from **DP-1** offsite, **DP-7** and **DP-8**,

south of Milan Road, at **Design Point 3**. The total peak runoff at this location is calculated at 22.3 cfs and 129.3 cfs in the 5 and 100-year storm events respectively.

Basin EX2 and Basin EX5B (EX2 Q5 = 2.1 cfs, Q100 = 12.4 cfs, EX5B Q5 = 1.7 cfs, Q100 = 9.9 cfs) are onsite basins consisting of 4.8 acres and 3.7 acres respectively. The two basins are located along the western boundary of the site, south of Milam Road. These basins are currently undeveloped, covered by natural, grassy vegetation with a few trees, shrubs and a portion of steep hillside with rock outcroppings. Runoff from each basins generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from east to west to the western boundary at **Design Points 7** (Q5 = 1.7 cfs, Q100 = 9.9 cfs) and **Design Point 8** (Q5 = 2.1 cfs, Q100 = 12.4 cfs). Runoff from **DP-7** and **DP-8** combines with runoff from **DP-1** offsite and **DP-6**, south of Milan Road, at **Design Point 3**. The total peak runoff at this location is calculated at 22.3 cfs and 129.3 cfs in the 5 and 100-year storm events respectively.

Basin EX3 and Basin EX1 (EX3 Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 17.0 cfs, EX1 Q5 = 5.0 cfs, Q100 = 28.8 cfs) are onsite basins consisting of 9.2 acres and 16.2 acres respectively. These two basins are located within the western half of the site, below existing **Basins EX6 and EX2**. Both basins are currently undeveloped consisting mostly of natural, grassy vegetation with sparse brush and trees. Runoff generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow through **Basin EX3** from north to southwest to **Design Point 9** (Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 17.0 cfs). Drainage reaching **DP-9** continues southwesterly through **Basin EX1**. Combined runoff from **Basin EX1** and **DP-9** exits the southwest corner of the site at **Design Point 10** (Q5 = 6.5 cfs, Q100 = 37.6 cfs).

Basin EX4 and Basin EX7 (EX4 Q5 = 2.1 cfs, Q100 = 12.0 cfs, EX7 Q5 = 0.9 cfs, Q100 = 5.4 cfs) are onsite basins consisting of 5.5 acres and 2.4 acres respectively. These two basins are located along the southern boundary of the site and do not receive runoff from other contributing up-gradient basins. The basins remain undeveloped, and are covered primarily with native grass vegetation. Runoff from theses basins typically travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south/southwest ultimately reaching the southern boundary of the Bradley Ranch at **Design Points 11** (Q5 = 2.1 cfs, Q100 = 12.0 cfs) and **Design Point 12** (Q5 = 0.9 cfs, Q100 = 5.4 cfs).

Basin EX9 and Basin EX8 (EX9 Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 17.0 cfs, EX8 Q5 = 4.6 cfs, Q100 = 26.7 cfs) are onsite basins consisting of 8.7 acres and 13.0 acres respectively. These two basins are located within the central portion of the site. These portions are primarily undeveloped with the exception of a few gravel roadways which lead to the various ranch buildings. The basins are typically covered with natural, grassy vegetation with a few trees and shrubs that increase in density further to the north. Sheet flow from entering the subject site from **DP-13** and **DP-14** combines with runoff produced within **Basin EX9** and travels southwesterly to a localized low point or stock pond located at **Design Point 15** (Q5 = 7.3cfs, Q100 = 42.6 cfs). Flows discharged at **DP-15** continue southwesterly, combining with runoff produced within **Basin EX8**. Combined runoff from **Basin EX8** and **DP-15** exits the south central portion of the site at **Design Point 16** (Q5 = 9.3 cfs, Q100 = 53.7 cfs).

Basin EX12, Basin EX10 and Basin EX11 (EX12 Q5 = 2.0 cfs, Q100 = 11.5 cfs, EX10 Q5 = 3.5 cfs, Q100 = 20.1 cfs, EX11 Q5 = 1.8 cfs, Q100 = 10.3 cfs) are onsite basins consisting of 4.9 acres, 9.7 acres and 4.8 acres respectively. These three basins are located in the eastern half of the site and are primarily undeveloped with the exception of a few residential structures, outbuildings and gravel drives that make up a large portion of the sparse ranch housing. These basins are typically covered with native grasses and a few trees and shrubs, which become more prevalent in the northern portions of **Basins EX12** and **EX10**. Runoff produced within **Basin EX12** generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to southwest entering **Basin EX10**

at **Design Point 17** (Q5 = 2.0 cfs, Q100 = 11.5 cfs). Combined runoff from **Basin EX10** and **DP-17** collect at an existing stock pond located a few hundred feet north of the south boundary at **Design Point 18** (Q5 = 5.2 cfs, Q100 = 30.0 cfs). Flows discharged at **DP-18** continue southwesterly combining with runoff produced within **Basin EX11**. Runoff from **Basin EX11** and **DP-18** discharges to the undeveloped ground to south of the subject site at **Design Point 19** at peak flow rates of Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 35.6 cfs.

Basin EX13 (Q5 = 4.8 cfs, Q100 = 27.8 cfs) is an onsite basin consisting of 15.5 acres and is located within the eastern half of the site. This basin is primarily undeveloped with of a few residential structures, outbuildings and gravel drives that make up a large portion of the sparse ranch housing. The basin is typically covered with native grasses and a few trees and shrubs, which become more prevalent in the northern portions of the basin. Concentrated ditch flow from **DP-20** combines with flows from **Basin EX13** and continues southward through **Basin EX13** exiting the site at the southern boundary at **Design Point 21** at a peak flow rates of Q5 = 6.5 cfs, Q100 = 37.9 cfs.

<u>Cottonwood Creek Offsite Basins EX22 and EX23/Onsite Basins EX14 and EX15 (North and East of Bradley Ranch)</u>

Offsite Basins EX22 and EX23 and Onsite Basins EX15 and EX14 designate the watershed areas and runoff data calculated flows reaching the southern boundary of Bradley Ranch at **Design Point 23** within the Cottonwood Creek watershed.

Basin EX22 (Q5 = 3.2 cfs, Q100 = 18.8 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 8.8 acres and is located adjacent to the northeastern corner of the site. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover with a few residential structures. Runoff from the basin generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south to **Design Point 20A** (Q5 = 3.2 cfs, Q100 = 18.8 cfs) and enters the onsite **Basin EX13** and continues traveling south through the site.

Basin EX23 (Q5 = 2.5 cfs, Q100 = 14.5 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 7.5 acres and is located adjacent to the eastern boundary of the site. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover and is located within an undeveloped portion of the adjacent Wolf Ranch Subdivision. This basin is primarily undeveloped with of an exception of the offsite tank site at the north end of the site. Runoff from the basin generally travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south to **Design Point 23A** (Q5 = 2.5 cfs, Q100 = 14.5 cfs) and enters the onsite **Basin EX13** and continues traveling south through the site.

Basin EX15 (Q5 = 2.5 cfs, Q100 = 14.6 cfs) is approximately 7.4 acres in size and is located along the eastern boundary of the site within the Cottonwood Creek watershed. The basin is typically covered with native grasses and a few trees and shrubs, which become more prevalent in the northern portions of the basin. This basin is primarily undeveloped with of an exception of a small offsite construction storage yard located near the south corner of the site. Runoff from the **Basin EX15** combines with runoff from **DP-20A** and **DP-23A** and travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south until it reaches **Design Point 23** at the southeast corner of the site (Q5 = 6.4 cfs, Q100 = 37.1 cfs).

Basin EX14 (Q5 = 1.2 cfs, Q100 = 6.8 cfs) is an onsite basin consisting of 2.9 acres. This basin is located along the southern boundary of the site and does not receive runoff from other contributing up-gradient basins. The basins remain undeveloped, and are covered primarily with native grass vegetation. Runoff from this basin typically travels as sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow from north to south ultimately reaching the southern

boundary of the Bradley Ranch at **Design Points 22** (Q5 = 1.2 cfs, Q100 = 6.8 cfs).

WETLANDS

M&S Civil is currently coordinating with Core Engineering to determine if jurisdictional wetlands are present onsite. Should they be found, an additional jurisdictional determination will be made the Corps of Engineers. Additional information will be provided in subsequent submittal of this report. If wetlands are present, a plan to mitigate for replacement will be forthcoming. It should be noted that review DPBS and MDDP documents indicate that wetlands are present within the natural drainageways west of the site. The reports indicate that a minor amount of storm water should be discharged to the drainage ways to retain growth.

PREVIOUS REPORTS

Several studies and reports were reviewed during the preparation of the current study. A brief synopsis of the recommendations and/or key assumptions for the site and the relevant study are provided below. The provided synopsis also provides a timeline as to changes in assumptions. Please refer to each study for specific references to design points, pond and basin identifiers, etc. Copies of portions of the referenced documents are included within the appendix of this report.

Amendment 3 to Pine Creek Basin Planning Study and Master Development Drainage Plan for the Pine Creek Neighborhoods (Portions Contributing to Pine Creek), by JR Engineering, dated February, 2003.

- Assume flows from PNE1 (86.1ac) and PNE2 (109.3ac) (of which Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 and upgradient offsite area is a portion) shall have a limited discharge of not more than 1.17 cfs/acre in the 100 year developed condition. This is to be accomplished by onsite detention within Bradley Ranch (Filing No. 1).
- Assumes development of PNE1 to include 63.5 acres of 2DU/AC, 19.3 acres of Commercial and 1.8 acres of 7DU/AC and 0.9 of park lands. CN=75.3
- Assumes development of PNE2 (109.3) to include 51.1 acres of 4DU/AC, 25.6 acres of 2DU/AC and 13.8 acres of 7DU/AC/Commercial and 12.7 acres of Park lands. CN=76.1
- The land use associated with the offsite areas north of the boundary of Bradley Ranch are listed as unknown.
- Indicates two ponds DFNE1 and DFNE2 are assumed for construction along the east Boundary of Bradley Ranch. Total allowable discharge from pond is 230 cfs or 239cfs at DP-E1 (includes 8.1 acre PNE3). Indicates discharges from Bradley Ranch ultimately flow to a single offsite location at DP-E3. Indicates that a single pond may be utilized in place of two ponds assuming total discharge is the same, if this is done it should be considered the ninth regional in Pine Creek.
- Recommends a diversion box be created downstream of the ponds that allows for trickle flow be discharged to the natural channel downstream of the pond in all events (Reach PC-9). Diverts flows less than the 5-year to a storm sewer system located that parallels Pine Creek North Fork in Basin PNE5, and that flows in excess of the 5-year event be allowed to overflow into the downstream channel.

• Recommends that the incised upper portion of the reach (PC-9) be regarded to a wide shallow swale and vegetated with native grasses and shrubs. Report indicates that the existing stability of the reach can be maintained using the above recommendation for discharge from Bradley Ranch.

Final Drainage report for Cordera Filing No. 1 & Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera and Briargate Crossing East Pine Creek and Cottonwood Creek Basins, by Matrix Design Group, Inc., November 2004.

- Indicates that a single pond DF-5 shall be constructed at the south west corner of Bradley Ranch (Filing No.1). Discharge from the pond should be limited to 130 cfs in the 5-year and 230 cfs in the 100-year event.
- Drainage from Pine Creek Watershed Basins PF-11/PF-12 (this is the majority of land known as Bradley Ranch Filing No.1) and the up-gradient land north of subject site) shall discharge to the proposed pond DF-5, and no flow from these basins shall be allowed to enter Basin PE6 (Cordera South of Bradley Ranch). The attached map shows a small (~50ft) strip of land along the south boundary of Bradley Ranch that is anticipated to be discharged adjacent Basin PE6 (clearly north of boundary.
- Indicates flows from Basin A-1 in Cottonwood Creek (eastern portion of Bradley Ranch Filing No.1) shall be discharged to a Regional Detention Pond northeast of Powers Blvd and Research (Pond DF-1). The report discusses that the final volumes for the pond, including WQ will need to be adjusted after a site plan for Wolf Ranch firms up.
- Report indicates that Regional ponds have been expanded to provide WQ for developed watershed and now include 20% increase in the volume to account for sediment.

Master Development Drainage Plan - Wolf Ranch Development, Kiowa Engineering, February 2005 Update (Sept 2013).

- Assumes flow from discharged from Basin A-1 (of which Bradley Ranch Filing No.l and upgradient offsite area is a portion) will be Q5=3 cfs and Q100=32 cfs.
- Indicates that Grades control structures will needed within Basin A-3 Wolf Ranch, downstream of the Bradley Ranch. The MDDP does not indicate what development triggers the construction of improvements.

Final Drainage report for Cordera Filing No. 3A & Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera Filing No.3 Pine Creek & Kettle Creek Drainage Basins, by Matrix Design Group, Inc., November 2007.

It should be noted that the design points referenced within the text and those shown on the provided maps do not always coincide, thereby making the report difficult to interpret.

- Assumes if development occurs within the Bradley Ranch area prior to the construction of the downstream facilities, Bradley Ranch shall discharge to sub-basin PP-1 at historic conditions.
- Establishes DF-7, a detention pond, with no water quality, which is to be constructed downstream

of Bradley Ranch, upstream of CSU gas line (DPJ21). This pond is to collect developed runoff from sub-basins, OP-1, PP-1, and design Point J1 (which includes Bradley Ranch OP-2, OP-3 and Union Boulevard OP-6, OP-7, OP-8 and OP-9); an area totaling 273.6 acres. Anticipates 100 year runoff of 363.9 cfs). This still assumes 230cfs+/- from Bradley Ranch (Filing No.1) and upgradient watershed.

• Established a temporary detention pond and 36" RCP to collect interim flows the southwestern portion of Bradley Ranch and the offsite area between Bradley Ranch and the Cordera Development located south of the CSU gas main. Flows to this location are limited to historic discharge.

Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 2A & Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera Filings 2A- 2D Pine Creek Drainage Basin, by Matrix Design Group, Inc., April 2006.

• Provides concept lot layout and grading for Cordera Subdivision areas adjacent to the south boundary of Bradley Ranch Filing No.1.

Amendment #1 to the Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3A & Amendment #1 to the Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera Filing No.3 & Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3F Pine Creek & Kettle Creek Drainage Basins, by Matrix Design Group, Inc., October 2013.

- Eliminates Pond DF-7, by increases size of Pond DF-5 located within Cordera Filing No. 3.
- Pond DF-5 provides no water quality for offsite areas upstream of Cordera Filing No. 3.
- Fully Developed Condition Model appears to assume that two ponds area developed within Bradley Ranch by formally separating the outfall of Basins OP2 and OP3. Model indicates northern portion of Bradley Ranch Basins OP2 (offsite & onsite ~ 87.52 acres) and Milan Road Basins OP6 & 7 outfall to DP-SCS21, while the southern portion of Bradley Ranch Basin OP3 and Milan Road Basin OP8 and 9 outfall to DP-SCS7.
- A 54" Pipe at SCS21 will intercept 310 cfs (Referred to as System 3), a 42" Pipe at SCS7 will intercept 200 cfs (Referred to as System 4)
- Fully Developed Condition anticipates 100-Year developed Flow Rates for Basin OP-2 = 116.6cfs, OP6 = 10.2cfs and OP7 = 10.2cfs and 100-Year developed Flow Rates for Basin OP3 = 144.1cfs, OP8 = 6.8cfs and OP9 = 6.8cfs,

PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN

A proposed drainage plan for the fully develop condition is presented graphically on two maps contained in the appendix of this study. General developed drainage patterns from the proposed Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 will follow the recommendations of the Drainage Planning Basin Planning Studies and for both Cottonwood Creek and Pine Creek watersheds as well as the Master Development Drainage Plans for the adjacent developments. Both a general and detailed summary of the proposed development drainage plan is as follows. The discussion has been divided into two sections one per major watershed. A short discussion regarding the four step process

precedes the drainage discussion.

FOUR STEP PROCESS

Step 1 Employ Runoff Reduction Practices. – Several large 0.5 acres lots will be constructed with custom homes with limited grading to preserving the natural vegetation. Approx. 8.7 Acres of ground within the project is being set aside for Open Space/Neighborhood Park. 5.5 acres of the Park are designated as a preservation area. Roof drains will be directed to side yard swales and in a case roadway flows will be directed to a grass lined swale to aid minimize direct connection of impervious surfaces.

Step 2 Implement BMPs that provide a water quality capture volume with slow release. – Multiple Full Spectrum Detention Facilities are planned for the site which will incorporate water quality capture volumes that are intended to slowly drain in 40 hours and excess urban runoff volumes that are intended to drain within 72 hours.

Step 3 Stabilize streams. – The site is not directly adjacent to main branch of the Pine Creek or Cottonwood Creeks; however it does discharges into smaller up-gradient un-named tributaries. The developed discharge from the site is anticipated to be less that existing and therefore is not anticipated to have negative effects on downstream drainageways. A minor amount of frequent discharge to the downstream tributaries should be of benefit by providing water to existing wetlands vegetation which to provides habitat and functions to stabilize the existing channel banks and channel bed. Several onsite constructed grasslined channels have been constructed to convey runoff and provide water quality benefits.

Step 4 Implement site specific and other source control BMPs. – A final grading and erosion control plans will be submitted for review and approval and will address site specific needs. The proposed project will use silt fence, a vehicle tracking control pad, concrete washout area, inlet protection, check dams, sediment control logs, mulching and reseeding to mitigate the potential for erosion across the site.

Pine Creek Drainage Basin

General Description

Runoff produced within the rural offsite areas located to the north of the subject site are to be intercepted by proposed storm sewer extensions or conveyed onsite via side lot swales and natural drainageways into the streets of the Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 development. Developed runoff produced onsite shall combine with the aforementioned offsite runoff in underground storm sewer systems that are to convey flows to a single full spectrum detention pond located in the southwest corner of the site. As recommended as an alternative within the early iterations of the Pine Creek DBPS and early Cordera MDDP's, a single regional detention facility has been selected over multiple ponds to treat runoff given that proposing to construction a second pond near the western boundary, functions poorly with the site topography, significantly impacts the development footprint, and maybe unnecessary given the assumption that the parcels to the north will see little to no expansion given that they lie within the heavily treed portion of the Black Forest and sufficient volume can be obtained at a single site.

As delineated within the Previous Reports section of the report, the assumptions regarding the allowable discharge from Bradley Ranch and the method for discharge to downstream basins within the Pine Creek Watershed has altered as various downstream developments have been brought on line. One of the more recent MDDPs in the area (Amendment #1 to the Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3A & Amendment #1 to the Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera Filing No.3 & Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing

No. 3F Pine Creek & Kettle Creek Drainage Basins, by Matrix Design Group, Inc., October 2013), has HEC-HMS modeling and maps detailing discharge from two contributing watersheds denoted as Basins "OP-2" and "OP-3". These basins are bound to the west by proposed/future Union Boulevard and are separated by the existing knoll which falls along the middle of the western boundary of Bradley Ranch. According the interim condition model runoff discharged at the north side of the knoll from "Basin OS-2" is anticipate to reach flow rates of 16.7cfs in the 5 year and 129.6 cfs in the 100 year while discharge from the south side and "Basin OS-3" is anticipated to reach flow rates of 9.9 and 74.4 cfs in the respective events. According the fully developed model runoff anticipated from "Basin OS-2" is similar with anticipated flow rates of 20.5cfs in the 5-year and 116.6 cfs in the 100-year while discharge from "Basin OS-3" was significantly increased to 28.3 and 144.1 cfs in the respective events. The interim condition discharge rates are in line with the historic flows calculated in the existing condition section of this report of 22.3cfs and 129.3 cfs at design Point 3 although not as restrictive as the 7.2 and 41.6cfs calculated at design point 24.

Thus in an attempt to conform to the MDDP assumptions regarding the discharge of runoff to Pine Creek from Bradley Ranch discharge from FSD 1 will be limited to the more restrictive historic flow rates calculated by this study. To accommodate the multi-basin discharge assumption from the site with only a single pond a splitter box is proposed below the pond outlet. This will allow for runoff to be distributed to both downstream watersheds ensuring runoff to the vegetation with both basins. Runoff discharged from the proposed FSD Pond 1 will be over-detained to discharge around MDDP's assumed 100-year 144.1 cfs thus allowing for a single discharge into Basin OS-3 if desired, thus limiting downstream infrastructure improvements that might impact offsite development.

It should be noted that at the time of the preparation of this study, is was determined that a development plan for the Cordera Parcel immediately adjacent to the west side of proposed Union Boulevard was being submitted to the City of Colorado Springs for review, but was not yet approved and thus was not available to the public. It is unclear at this time how that report may affect the planned discharge for this development; M&S plans to meet with civil engineers for the Cordera properties prior to issuing subsequent drainage report to further discuss the best alternative that works for all parties.

Detailed Description

The following is a detailed description of the onsite basins, offsite flows and the overall proposed drainage patterns and recommended infrastructure required for the development facility within the Pine Creek portion of of Bradley Ranch Filing No.1. The following Design Points and Basins were determined using the Rational Method since each individual basin is less than 100 acres and the combined acreage at any Design Point are also less than 100 acres. The proposed drainage design for this subdivision is typical for single family residential, consisting of; homes, landscaping, rear and side lot drainage swales, curb & gutter, streets, curb inlets, and pipes to convey developed flows downstream. Rear and side lot swales will be constructed to get developed flows to street curb and gutter.

Storm Sewer System A

Basin A is located along western boundary of the site and contains approximately 1.53 acres of the western half of proposed Union Boulevard. This offsite basin produces design flow rates of 5.7 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 10.3 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff produced within Basin A will be conveyed via proposed curb and gutter to a low point and a proposed 6' Sump D-10R inlet located at **Design Point A1** ($Q_5=5.7$ cfs, $Q_{100}=10.3$ cfs). Storm water runoff collected by the proposed inlet will be conveyed

under planned Union Boulevard in a proposed 24" RCP (Pipe 1).

Basin B is adjacent to **Basin A** and contains approximately 4.32 acres of the eastern half of proposed Union Boulevard as well as a portion of planned residential lots planned within the future Cordera Subdivision to the south of the subject site. **Basin B** has proposed design flow rates of 9.7 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 19.8 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year).

Basin C is located to the east of basin **Basin B** and will consist of approximately 2.32 acres of single family residential back yard lots and proposed tracts adjacent to proposed Union Boulevard. **Basin C** produces runoff of 2.5 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 7.1 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from **Basin C** will combine with runoff from **Basin B** and flow-by from inlets located within Tochal Drive. The combined flows will be conveyed via proposed curb and gutter to a proposed 16' sump inlet located at **Design Point A2** ($Q_5=10.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=25.7$ cfs). Runoff captured by the proposed sump inlet ($Q_5=10.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=25.7$ cfs) and runoff carried in **Pipe 1** are to be discharged to the proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Facility Pond 1**, via a proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe 2**) at peak flow rates of 14.3 cfs and 33.3 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively.

Storm Sewer System B

<u>Basin OS3</u> (Q5 = 5.1 cfs, Q100 = 29.8 cfs) is a 16.17 acre offsite watershed located north subject site and east of existing Milan Drive. For the purposes of the study, the basin (**OS3**) is anticipated to remain in its undeveloped/highly pervious state. Runoff produced within the basin travels in a southwesterly direction as both sheet flow and as concentrated flow within several small natural shallow drainages, ultimately reaching the northern boundary of the property.

Basin J (Q5 = 2.7 cfs, Q100 = 8.6 cfs) consists of approximately 3.06 acres of the rear half of residential lots located along the northwest corner of the site. Runoff produced within **Basin J** is to be conveyed to a proposed trapezoidal shaped earthen swale in the landscaping set back which parallels the northern boundary.

<u>**Basin K**</u> (Q5 = 0.6 cfs, Q100 = 3.8 cfs) is a narrow 1.64 acre basin located along the northern boundary at the northwest corner of the site. The onsite basin possess a small of portion of undeveloped land and portion which will be utilized as a drainage corridor, and house a proposed trapezoidal shaped earthen swale that will function to collect runoff from within the basin as well as protect residential lots by diverting intercepted offsite flows to **Design Point B1**.

Basin OS2 (Q5 = 10.2 cfs, Q100 = 59.2 cfs) is a large 47.03 acre offsite basin located north of the subject site that extends from Milan Road to the ridgeline that separates the Pine Creek and Kettle Creek drainage basins. The watershed possesses a mixture of pine forest canopies native brush and grasses providing relatively good ground cover. A few exposed rock outcroppings are also present. A hand full of rural housing structures and outbuildings are present. For the purposes of the study, the watershed (**OS2**) is anticipated to remain in its relatively undeveloped/highly pervious state. Runoff produced within the basin is conveyed east to west within several natural drainages from the top of the Pine Creek watershed to northwest corner of the subject site and **Design Point B1** (Q5 = 15.6 cfs, Q100 = 86.4 cfs). At **DP-B1** drainage from **Basins K**, **OS2** and **OS3** will combine at a localized depression and a proposed 48" RCP culvert (**Pipe 3**) at peak flow rates of Q5 = 15.6 cfs, Q100 = 86.4 cfs.

It is recommended that the addition site inspection be conducted to further interpret offsite drainage patterns so

that the sizing of the trapezoidal ditch can be adjusted to adequately intercept and convey runoff. It should be noted that the side slopes of the proposed swale may require slope protection, such as a permanent soil loaded geotextile blanket which will function to arrest erosion. Riprap lining may be required in locations where significant concentrated enters the swale from larger offsite parcels. These efforts are anticipated to be furthered analyzed and discussed within subsequent drainage reports.

Basin L2 (Q5 = 3.6 cfs, Q100 = 7.8 cfs) consists of approximately 1.61 acres of the rear of residential lots and the north half of Tochal Drive located to the west of **Design Point X1**. Runoff from Basin L2 will combine with flow-by from **DPX1** in the northern curb and gutter of Tochal Road and conveyed westward to a 14' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point B2** (Q5 = 3.5 cfs, Q100 = 12.2 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 4**) will convey the collected flows of Q5 = 3.5 cfs, Q100 = 10.0 cfs south under Tochal Drive.

Basin L4 (Q5 = 1.6 cfs, Q100 = 3.1 cfs) consists of approximately 0.51 acres of a portion of the southern half rights of way of Tochal Drive west of **Design Point X2**. Runoff produced within **Basin L4** will combine with by-pass flows from **Design Point D9** and continue westward via curb and gutter to a 14' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point B3** (Q5 = 1.5 cfs, Q100 = 12.9 cfs). A proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe 5**) will convey the combined runoff in **Pipe 4** and flow intercepted at **DPB3** of Q5 = 3.9 cfs, Q100 = 15.5 cfs underground where it will combine with flow in Pipe3. Pipe 6 a 48" RCP will convey runoff of Q5 = 18.1 cfs, Q100 = 96.1 cfs to proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1 summary). Flows by-passing the proposed inlets at **DPB2** and **DPB3** will continue westward within Tochal Drive to Union Boulevard (refer to **Storm System A**).

Storm Sewer System X

Basin OS4 (Q5 = 0.3 cfs, Q100 = 1.8 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 0.63 acres located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. This offsite area contains a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses. Runoff from the basin generally travels as southwesterly as sheet flow to the north boundary prior to entering onsite **Basin M**.

Basin M (Q5 = 3.1 cfs, Q100 = 9.7 cfs) consists of approximately 3.01 acres of the 0.5 acre residential lots and streets located along the north half of Lonzo Drive. Runoff produced within **Basin M** combines within runoff from **Basin OS4** prior to reaching the curb and gutter of Lonzo drive. Similar to the basin located along the north side of Yari Drive is anticipated that this area will require additional planning during final design with the implemented drainage solution being highly dependent upon final roadway elevations and the placement of houses on half acre lots. Once again, potential solutions could range from the grading of a small ditch along a portion of the lots behind the sidewalk and directing runoff to the back of the proposed inlet, to the installation of a small culvert or culvert(s), or individual lot side lot swale grading to prevent concentrated from reaching the design point. Additional drainage analysis and drainage recommendation will be provided within subsequent drainage reports or letters. For the purposes of this report, runoff will be collected and conveyed via the curb and gutter conveyed to the intersection of Wrangell Circle and Lonzo Drives where it they enter **Basin U**.

Basin U (Q5 = 4.1 cfs, Q100 = 10.3 cfs) consists of approximately 2.80 acres of residential lots and streets, which includes a portion of the south half of proposed Lonzo Drive and a portion of the east half of Wrangell Circle. Runoff produced within residential lots of **Basin U** will be conveyed via side lot swales to the adjacent

streets, where it will combine with the runoff produced **Basins OS-4** and **M** at the northeast corner of Tochal and Wrangell Circle.

Basin U1 (Q5 = 2.3 cfs, Q100 = 5.4 cfs) consists of approximately 1.16 acres of the front half of residential lots and street along a portion of proposed Yari Drive and a portion of the north half of Tochal Drive. Runoff produced within residential lots of **Basin U1** will be conveyed via side lot swales to the adjacent streets, where it will combine with the flow-by from inlets located at **Design points DPD4** thru **DPD8**, and **Basins M OS4** and **U** in the north curb line of proposed Tochal Drive.

Basin L1 (Q5 = 2.6 cfs, Q100 = 5.6 cfs) consists of approximately 1.15 acres of the rear of residential lots located along the north half of Tochal Drive east of Wrangell Circle. Runoff from **Design points DPD4** thru **DPD8**, and **Basins M OS4** and U will combine with runoff produced within **Basin L1** and continue westward via curb and gutter to a 14' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point X1** (Q5 = 10.1 cfs, Q100 = 19.4 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 54**) will convey the collected flows of Q5 = 9.6 cfs, Q100 = 14.4 cfs south under Tochal Drive.

Basin L3 (Q5 = 3.4 cfs, Q100 = 7.2 cfs) consists of approximately 1.50 acres of a portion of the southern half rights of way of Tochal Drive between Yari Drive and **Design Point X2.** Runoff produced within **Basin L1** will combine with by-pass flows from **Design Point D9** and continue westward via curb and gutter to a 14' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point X2** (Q5 = 3.4 cfs, Q100 = 26.5 cfs). A proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe 55**) will convey the collected flows from **DPX2 and Pipe 54 of** Q5 = 12.3 cfs, Q100 = 27.3 cfs underground to proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1** summary). Runoff by-passing the proposed inlets at **DPX1** and **DPX2** will continue westward within Tochal Drive to Union Boulevard (refer to **Storm System B**).

Storm Sewer System C

Basin D (Q5 = 4.6 cfs, Q100 = 9.9 cfs) consists of approximately 2.26 acres of the front half of residential lots and streets located along the north and west halves of a portion of proposed Wrangell Drive in the northwest corner of the site. Runoff produced within the residential lots is conveyed via side lot swales to the streets where they combine with runoff produced within **Basin D** and conveyed via curb and gutter to a proposed 10' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point C1** (Q5 = 4.6 cfs, Q100 = 9.9 cfs). A proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe** 7) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 4.5 cfs, Q100 = 7.3 cfs) under Wrangell Drive to **Pipe 9**.

Basin H (Q5 = 6.2 cfs, Q100 = 14.1 cfs) consists of approximately 3.56 acres of lots, backyards and streets located along north half of Olympus Drive. Runoff produced within the residential lots is conveyed via side lot swales to the street section. Runoff within Basin H conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 12' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point C2** (Q5 = 6.2 cfs, Q100 = 10.7 cfs). A proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 8.1**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 8.9 cfs) under Olympus Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPC2** continue south within the east half of Wrangell Circle.

Basin G (Q5 = 3.3 cfs, Q100 = 7.2 cfs) basin consists of approximately 1.72 acres of the residential lots and streets located along the south half of proposed Olympus Drive. Runoff produced within the residential lots is conveyed via side lot swales to the street section. Runoff within **Basin G** is conveyed westward via curb and

gutter to a proposed 12' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point C3** (Q5 = 3.3 cfs, Q100 = 10.6 cfs). Runoff intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 3.3 cfs, Q100 = 8.8 cfs) will combine with those conveyed by **Pipe 8.1**. **Pipe 8.2**, a proposed 24" RCP, will convey flood flows of Q5 = 9.4 cfs, Q100 = 17.7 cfs southwesterly underground. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPC3** continue south within the east half of Wrangell Drive.

Basin I (Q5 = 4.0 cfs, Q100 = 8.5 cfs) basin consists of approximately 1.87 acres of the residential lots and streets located along a portion of the south and eastern halves of proposed Wrangell Circle. Runoff produced within **Basin I** combines with flow-by from **DPC2 and DPC3** and is conveyed to the west and then south via curb and gutter to a proposed 12' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point C4** (Q5 = 4.0 cfs, Q100 = 8.5 cfs). A proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe 8.3**) (Q5 = 13.2 cfs, Q100 = 25.0 cfs). will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet at **DPC4** (Q5 = 4.0 cfs, Q100 = 7.6 cfs) and the runoff conveyed within **Pipe 8.2** under Wrangell Circle combine with the flows conveyed by **Pipe 7**. **Pipe 9**, a proposed 30" RCP, will convey flood flows of Q5 = 17.1 cfs, Q100 = 31.2 cfs down-gradient. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DP4** continue south within the east half of Wrangell Circle.

Basin F (Q5 = 6.4 cfs, Q100 = 13.9 cfs) basin consists of 3.01 acres of the residential lots and streets located along a portion of proposed Wrangell Circle. Runoff produced within **Basin F** will be conveyed to the south and west where it will combine with flow-by from **DPC4** at **Design Point C5** (Q5 = 6.4 cfs, Q100 = 14.3 cfs). A proposed 12' Sump D-10R inlet and a proposed 24'' RCP (**Pipe 10**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 6.4 cfs, Q100 = 14.3 cfs) under Wrangell Drive. Runoff contained within **Pipe 10** will combine with those conveyed by **Pipe 9** in a proposed 36'' RCP (**Pipe 11**), which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 22.3 cfs, Q100 = 43.0 cfs under Wrangell Circle.

Basin D1 (Q5 = 1.2 cfs, Q100 = 2.6 cfs) consists of 0.57 acres of the residential lots and streets located along a portion of the western half proposed Wrangell Drive. Runoff produced within **Basin D1** combines within flow-by from the inlet at **DPC1**. The combined runoff is directed to a low point and a sump inlet at the southwest corner of the site at **Design Point C6**.

Basin E (Q5 = 3.8 cfs, Q100 = 8.1 cfs) basin consists of 0.72 acres of the residential lots and streets located along the south half of proposed Wrangell Circle. Runoff produced within **Basin E** will be conveyed to the west where it will combines with runoff from **Basin D1** and flow-by from **DPC1** at **Design Point C6** (Q5 = 3.4 cfs, Q100 = 7.2 cfs). Runoff intercepted by the 12' sump inlet (Q5 = 5.1 cfs, Q100 = 14.0 cfs) will combine with those conveyed by **Pipe 11** in a proposed 36'' RCP (**Pipe 12**), which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 26.6 cfs, Q100 = 54.8 cfs to proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1**. It should be noted that the proposed tract located below **DPC6** will be need to be graded in a manner to accommodate a safe path for overflow in the case the storm sewer system becomes clogged.

Storm Sewer System D

Basin OS6 (Q5 = 3.3 cfs, Q100 = 19.3 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 7.99 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover. Runoff from the basin generally travels north to south as both sheet flow and as concentrated ditch flow to the northern boundary ultimately entering onsite **Basin O**.

<u>Basin O</u> (Q5 = 0.8 cfs, Q100 = 4.7 cfs) consists of 1.76 acres of undeveloped open space within the proposed neighborhood park. Runoff from **Basin O** combines with runoff produced within **Basin OS6** at **Design Point**

D1 (Q5 = 3.9 cfs, Q100 = 22.5 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP culvert (**Pipe 13**) will intercept the runoff reaching **DP1**. A Berm/Swale is proposed downstream of the culvert, to protect the adjacent lot and provide an overflow path to the Yari Drive in the case that the culvert were to become clogged. **Pipe 13** continues underground to the west below Proposed Yari Drive.

Basin O1 (Q5 = 0.2 cfs, Q100 = 1.1 cfs) consists of 0.39 acres of undeveloped open space within the proposed neighborhood park. Runoff from **Basin O1** will be conveyed within trapezoidal earthen swale. (also proposed as an overflow path downstream of the culvert). **Basin O1** will be discharge to Yari Drive likely via a proposed sidewalk chase. A small permanent sediment basin is recommended to be placed upstream of the sidewalk chase to prevent sediment from entering the roadway on a frequent basis. The facility will be inside the park and thus shall be privately maintained by the Bradley Ranch Home Owners Association.

Basin OS5 (Q5 = 3.2 cfs, Q100 = 18.6 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 6.81 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. This offsite area contains a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses provide relatively good ground cover. Runoff from the basin generally travels as both sheet flow and as concentrated ditch flow to the northern boundary ultimately entering onsite **Basin O2**.

Basin O2 (Q5 =0.3 cfs, Q100 = 1.5 cfs) is a narrow 0.63 acre basin located along the northern boundary near the middle of the site. The onsite basin possesses a small segment of land which will be utilized as a drainage corridor and landscaping buffer. A trapezoidal earthen swale/berm will collect runoff from within the basin as well as protect residential lots by diverting intercepted offsite flows from **Basin OS5** to **Design Point D2** (Q5 = 3.5 cfs, Q100 = 20.3 cfs). A 24" RCP Culvert (**Pipe 15**) will collect runoff from **DPD2** and convey it underground to downstream facilities. A public drainage easement is provided for access to the storm system and to allow for an overflow path should the culvert become clogged.

Basin N1 (Q5 = 3.7 cfs, Q100 = 12.0 cfs) basin consists of approximately 4.01 acres of the 0.5 acre residential lots and streets located along the north half of proposed Yari Drive. Runoff produced within Basin N1 will combine with flows from in **Basin O1** and continue to the west via curb and gutter to **Design Point D3** (Q5 = 3.9 cfs, Q100 = 12.9 cfs). A proposed 10' At-grade D-10R inlet is provided as a placeholder at **DPD3** as this portion of the site will require additional planning during final design, with the implemented drainage solution being highly dependent upon final roadway elevations and the placement of houses on half acre lots. Potential solution could range from the grading of a small ditch along a portion of the lots behind the sidewalk and directing runoff to the back of the proposed inlet, to the installation of a small culvert(s), or individual lot side lot swale grading to prevent concentrated from reaching the design point. Additional drainage analysis and drainage recommendation will be provided within subsequent drainage reports or letters. For the purposes of this report, runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 3.9 cfs, Q100 = 8.4 cfs) and conveyed under in a proposed 18" RCP (Pipe 14). Runoff contained within Pipe 14 will combine with the runoff conveyed by Pipe 15 in a proposed 36" RCP (Pipe 16), which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 7.4 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs southwesterly under Yari Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD3** continue south within the north half of Yari Drive. Runoff conveyed within Pipe 13 combines with flows in Pipe 16, before continuing west under the roadway within an 36" RCP (**Pipe 17**) at flow rates of Q5 = 10.9 cfs, Q100 = 48.8 cfs.

Basin N (Q5 = 2.6 cfs, Q100 = 7.8 cfs) consists of approximately 2.22 acres of the 0.5 acre residential lots and streets located along the north half of proposed Yari and Lonzo Drives. Runoff produced within **Basin N** will be combine with flow-by from **DPD3** and conveyed to the west to **Design Point D4** (Q5 = 2.3 cfs, Q100 = 9.4 cfs). Similar to **Basin N1**, a proposed 12' At-grade D-10R inlet is provided as a placeholder at **DPD3** as this portion of the site will require additional planning during final design, with the implemented drainage solution

being highly dependent upon final roadway elevations and the placement of houses on half acre lots. As before, potential solutions could range from the grading of a small ditch along a portion of the lots behind the sidewalk and directing runoff to the back of the proposed inlet, to the installation of a small culvert or culvert(s), or individual lot side lot swale grading to prevent concentrated from reaching the design point. Additional drainage analysis and drainage recommendation will be provided within subsequent drainage reports or letters. For the purposes of this report, runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 2.3 cfs, Q100 = 8.2 cfs) and conveyed under in a proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 18**). Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD4** continue south within the western half of Yari Drive.

Basin P (Q5 = 3.7 cfs, Q100 = 8.0 cfs) consists of approximately 1.69 acres of the front halves of residential lots and street located along south half of Yari Drive. Runoff produced within the residential lots is conveyed via side lot swales to the street section. Runoff within **Basin P** is conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 12' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point D5** (Q5 = 3.7 cfs, Q100 = 10.6 cfs). Runoff intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 3.7 cfs, Q100 = 8.8 cfs) will combine with flows conveyed by **Pipe19** in **Pipe 20**, a proposed 42" RCP, which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 16.0 cfs, Q100 = 63.3 cfs south underground. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD5** continue south within the east half of Yari Drive.

Basin Q (Q5 = 8.6 cfs, Q100 = 19.5 cfs) falls within the north central section of the proposed site. The 4.96 acres basin consists of the rear half of residential lots on the south side of Yari Drive and several residential lots located along the north side of Sirbal Drive as well as a small portion of the neighborhood park site. Runoff produced within the basin will be directed within side lots swales to the north half of Sirbal Drive and gutter to a 14' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point D6** (Q5 = 8.6 cfs, Q100 = 12.6 cfs). A proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 21**) will convey the collected flows (Q5 = 8.2 cfs, Q100 = 10.6 cfs) south under Sirbal Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD6** continue south within the east half of Yari Drive.

Basin R (Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 6.2 cfs) consists of approximately 1.31 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along south half of Sibral Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin R** will be conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 14' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point D7** (Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 13.7 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 22**) will convey the collected flows from the inlets at **DPD6** and **DPD7** of Q5 = 10.9 cfs, Q100 = 20.8 cfs west underground where they will combine with flows in **Pipe 20** in a proposed 42" RCP (**Pipe 23**). **Pipe 23** will convey flood flows of Q5 = 25.6 cfs, Q100 = 81.2 cfs under Yari Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD7** continue south within the east half of Yari Drive.

Basin S (Q5 = 4.4 cfs, Q100 = 9.5 cfs) consists of approximately 2.01 acres of the rear halves of residential lots located along north half of Tochal Drive, between Tallac Drive and Yari Drive as well as portion of the adjacent streets. Runoff produced in **Basin S** will be conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 12' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point D8** (Q5 = 4.4 cfs, Q100 = 9.5 cfs). A proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 24**) will convey the collected flows (Q5 = 4.4 cfs, Q100 = 7.8 cfs) south under Tochal Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD8** combine with flow-by from **DP4** thru **DP7** continue west within the north half of Yari Drive.

Basin S1 (Q5 = 1.7 cfs, Q100 = 3.2 cfs) consists of approximately 0.5 acres of a portion of the southern half rights of way of proposed Tochal Drive between Tallac Drive and **Design Point D9**. Runoff produced in **Basin S1** will be conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 6' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **DPD9** (Q5 = 1.7 cfs, Q100 = 3.2 cfs). A proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 25**) will convey the collected flows (Q5 = 1.7 cfs, Q100 = 2.6 cfs) north under Tochal Drive. Runoff contained within **Pipe 25** will combine with those

conveyed by **Pipe 24** in a proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 26**), which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 10.3 cfs westerly under Tochal Drive. Runoff contained within **Pipe 26** will combine with those conveyed by **Pipe 23** in a proposed 48" RCP (**Pipe 27.1**), which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 30.4 cfs, Q100 = 89.4 cfs south under Yari Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPD8** combine with flow-by from DP4 thru DP7 continue west within the north half of Yari Drive.

Basin V1 (Q5 = 1.4 cfs, Q100 = 2.9 cfs) consists of approximately 0.53 acres of residential lots and streets located along south half of proposed Sirbal Drive east of Tallac Drive as well as a portion of Tallac. Runoff produced in **Basin V1** conveyed in the proposed curb and gutter of Tallac Drive to the south.

Basin T (Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 13.2 cfs) consists of approximately 2.84 acres of the residential lots and streets located along the north half of proposed Makalu Drive between proposed Tallac and Yari Drives. Runoff produced within the residential lots is conveyed via side lot swales to the street section. Runoff within **Basin T** combines with runoff from **Basin V1** and flow-by from the inlet at **DPE7** at a proposed 16' At-grade inlet located at **Design Point D10** (Q5 = 10.8 cfs, Q100 = 24.8 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 27.2**) will convey the collected runoff from the inlet to the west where it will combine with flows conveyed in a 48" RCP (**Pipe 27.3**) at Q5 = 39.1 cfs, Q100 = 103.2 cfs.

Basin T1 (Q5 = 2.8 cfs, Q100 = 5.9 cfs) consists of approximately 1.42 acres of residential lots and streets located along the north half of proposed Makalu Drive between the far west cul-de-sac and proposed Yari Drive. Runoff from **Basin T1** and up-gradient inlet flow-by at **DPD10** continues within the curb and guttered Makalu Drive to **DPD11** at the aforementioned cul-de-sac.

Basin X1 (Q5 = 2.1 cfs, Q100 = 4.4 cfs) consists of approximately 0.88 acres of residential lots and streets located along south half of proposed Makalu Drive between **DPE8** and proposed Piran Drive. Runoff within **Basin X1** combines with flow-by from **DPE8** and flow-by from the inlet at **DPD7** prior to entering **Basin X**.

Basin X (Q5 = 3.6 cfs, Q100 = 7.6 cfs) consists of approximately 1.71 acres of residential lots and streets located along south half of proposed Makalu Drive west of between the far west cul-de-sac and Piran Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin X** combines with flow-by from **DPE7**, **DPE8** and runoff from **Basins**, V1, X, T and T1 at a proposed 16' Sump inlet located at **Design Point D11** (Q5 = 6.7 cfs, Q100 = 20.0 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 28**) will convey the collected runoff from the inlet to the northwest where it will combine with flows conveyed in **Pipe 27**. A proposed 48" RCP (**Pipe 29**) will convey the combined flow Q5 = 43.4 cfs, Q100 = 117.2 to the proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1** summary). Should the inlet at **DPD11** become clogged runoff will overtop the curb and flow to the **FSD Pond 1**.

Storm Sewer System E

Basin OS7 (Q5 = 4.8 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs) is an offsite basin consisting of 11.84 acres and is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. The area possesses a mixture of pine forest canopy, brush, and native grasses providing relatively good ground cover with a few residential structures. Runoff from the basin generally travels as both sheet flow and concentrated ditch flow to the northern boundary ultimately entering onsite **Basin KK**.

Basin KK (Q5 =0.2 cfs, Q100 = 0.9 cfs) is a narrow 0.35 acre basin located along the northern boundary near

the northeast corner of the site. The onsite basin possesses a small segment of land which will be utilized as a drainage corridor and landscaping buffer. A proposed trapezoidal earthen swale/berm will collect runoff from within the basin as well as protect residential lots by diverting runoff to **Design Point E1** (Q5 = 4.9 cfs, Q100 = 28.4 cfs). A 30" RCP Culvert (**Pipe 30**) will collect runoff from **DPE1** and convey it underground to downstream facilities in Odin Drive. A public drainage easement is provided for access to the storm system and to allow for an overflow path should the culvert become clogged.

Basin JJ3 (Q5 = 3.0 cfs, Q100 = 6.8 cfs) consists of approximately 1.57 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along south and east half of proposed Odin Drive. Runoff within **Basin JJ3** is conveyed west then south via curb and gutter to a proposed 14' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point E2** (Q5 = 3.0 cfs, Q100 = 13.7 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 31**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 3.0 cfs, Q100 = 11.5 cfs) under Odin Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE2** continue south within the east half of the roadway.

Basin JJ (Q5 = 2.2 cfs, Q100 = 7.2 cfs) is a 1.83 acre watershed located at the northeast corner of the site. This basin consists of both onsite and offsite naturally vegetated lands as well as a portion of proposed Odin Drive. It is anticipated that the offsite area will remain in its existing undeveloped state, while the onsite portion of the basin will be developed into half acre design custom home lots and a portion of street. As is the case with several of the larger custom lots located along the north side of the property, additional drainage analysis and infrastructure recommendations will be provided within subsequent detailed drainage reports or letters. For the purposes of this report, runoff will be directed collected and conveyed via the curb and gutter conveyed to the intersection of Odin Drive.

Basin JJ1 (Q5 = 2.0 cfs, Q100 = 6.8 cfs) consists of approximately 2.23 acres of the 0.5 acre residential lots and streets located along the north half of proposed Yari and Lonzo Drives. Runoff from **Basin JJ1** will be conveyed south along the west property via an earthen swale/berm (which also serves to protect the lots from flows reaching **DPE1** should the culvert become clogged. Runoff from **Basin O1** is planned to be discharge to Yari Drive via a proposed sidewalk chase. A small permanent sediment basin is recommended to be placed upstream of the sidewalk chase to prevent sediment from entering the roadway on a frequent basis. The small basin would be privately maintained by the Bradley Ranch Home Owners Association. The option to extend the storm sewer within Odin Drive to collect runoff from **Basin JJ** and **JJ1** can be assessed with future detailed drainage reports.

Basin JJ2 (Q5 = 2.5 cfs, Q100 = 7.8 cfs) basin consists of approximately 2.23 acres of the standard 5du/ac residential lots and street section located along a portion of proposed Odin Drive as well as a small portion a steep hillside located inside the nearby proposed neighborhood park site. Runoff produced within **Basin JJ2** will be conveyed via side lot swales to the street section where it will combine with flows from **Basin JJ** and **JJ1**. The combined runoff travels southwesterly within the west half of Odin Drive to proposed 14' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **DPE3** (Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 13.2 cfs). Runoff intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 11.2 cfs) will combine with those conveyed by **Pipes 31**. **Pipe 33** a proposed 36" RCP, will convey flood flows of Q5 = 13.5 cfs, Q100 = 49.9 cfs from **Pipes 30** and **32** to a proposed trapezoidal earthen channel located along the southern boundary of the park site. Runoff discharged to the channel continues westward towards Proposed Tallac Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE3** continue south within the west half of Odin Drive. .

Basin LL1 (Q5 = 0.9 cfs, Q100 = 5.5 cfs) consists of approximately 2.11 acres of proposed Bradley Ranch Neighborhood Park site. It should be noted that with the development of the subdivision disturbances within

the park site are to be limited in an attempt to retain is natural state. Runoff produced within **Basin LL1** is to be conveyed as surface runoff via natural drainages to a small proposed triangular shaped swale located at the south end of the park. A private 18" culvert (**Pipe 34**) located at **Design Point E4** (Q5 = 0.9 cfs, Q100 = 5.5 cfs) conveys the runoff from the top of the hillside to the invert of the proposed drainage channel located at the bottom of the slope.

Basin LL2 (Q5 = 0.6 cfs, Q100 = 3.6 cfs) consists of approximately 1.33 acres of proposed Bradley Ranch Neighborhood Park site. With the development of the subdivision the majority of the park site is to remain undisturbed or preserved. Runoff produced within **Basin LL2** is to be conveyed as surface runoff via natural drainages to a small proposed triangular shaped swale located along the south end of the parksite. A private 18" culvert (**Pipe 35**) located at **Design Point E5** (Q5 = 0.6 cfs, Q100 = 3.6 cfs) conveys the collected runoff from the top of the hillside to the invert of the aforementioned drainage channel.

It should be noted that riprap inlet protection, outlet aprons and/or stilling basins are likely required at the culvert entrances and outlets when implemented. These erosion protection measures will need to be revisited and further detailed within subsequent drainage reports. Future grading and drainage analysis should attempt to limit grading within the park site whenever feasible.

Basin MM (Q5 =0.8 cfs, Q100 = 4.1 cfs) is a 1.54 acre basin located along the southern boundary of the proposed neighborhood park site. The area will be the site of a drainage conveyance corridor that functions collect and convey runoff from the basin as well as from storm sewer pipes (**33**, **34** and **35**) to **Design Point E6** (Q5 = 13.6 cfs, Q100 = 54.1 cfs). A 36" RCP Culvert (Pipe 36) will collect runoff from DPE6 and convey it underground to downstream facilities located within Tallic Drive. Care should be taken in final design to provide an emergency overflow path to Tallac Drive in the case that the culvert were to become clogged.

Basin V (Q5 = 5.4 cfs, Q100 = 11.9 cfs) consists of approximately 2.75 acres of residential lots and streets located along the north half of proposed Makalu Drive between proposed Odin and proposed Tallac Drives. Runoff generated by **Basin V** combines with flow-by from **DPE2**, **DPE3** and **DPF1** before being directed to a 16" At-grade inlet located at **Design Point E7** (Q5 = 5.3 cfs, Q100 = 12.1 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 37**) will convey the runoff intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 5.3 cfs, Q100 = 11.2 cfs) under Makalu Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE7** will continue west within the roadway.

Basin X3 (Q5 = 1.3 cfs, Q100 = 2.7 cfs) consists of approximately 0.51 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along south half of Makalu Drive between Odin and Elgon Drives. Runoff produced in **Basin X3** and flow-by from **DPF2** will be conveyed westward within the south half of the roadway.

Basin X2 (Q5 = 1.7 cfs, Q100 = 3.7 cfs) consists of approximately 0.74 acres of residential lots and streets located along the south half of proposed Makalu Drive immediately east of Elgon Drive. Runoff generated by **Basin V** combines with flow-by from **DPF2** and **Basin X3** before being directed to a 16" At-grade inlet located at **Design Point E8** (Q5 = 2.7 cfs, Q100 = 11.9 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 38**) will convey the runoff intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 2.7 cfs, Q100 = 11.1 cfs) under Makalu Drive. Runoff contained within **Pipe 38** will combine with those conveyed by **Pipe 37** in a proposed 36" RCP (**Pipe 39**), which will convey flood flows of Q5 = 7.9 cfs, Q100 = 22.2 cfs westerly under Makalu Drive. Runoff contained within **Pipe 39** will combine with runoff carried within **Pipe 36** and continue west via a proposed 42" RCP (**Pipe 40**). The total peak flows calculated to be conveyed by **Pipe 40** are Q5 = 19.7 cfs, Q100 = 70.8 cfs. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE8** will continue west within south half of Makalu Drive.

Basin BB (Q5 = 5.5 cfs, Q100 = 13.4 cfs) consists of approximately 3.88 acres of residential lots and streets located east of Elgon Drive and north of Janga Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin BB** is conveyed via the adjacent curb and guttered street sections to a proposed 14' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point E9** (Q5 = 5.5 cfs, Q100 = 13.4 cfs). A proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 41**) will convey the collected flows of Q5 = 5.5 cfs, Q100 = 11.0 cfs west under the Janga Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE9** continue westward within the north half of Janga Drive.

Basin AA (Q5 = 3.7 cfs, Q100 = 8.3 cfs) consists of approximately 2.16 acres of residential lots, backyards and streets located along north half of Janga Drive to the west of Elgon Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin AA** and flow-by from **DPE9** continues west in the north half of Janga Drive.

Basin Z (Q5 = 3.6 cfs, Q100 = 8.1 cfs) consists of approximately 2.13 acres of residential lots, backyards and streets located along north half of Janga Drive to the west of Piran Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin Z** combines with runoff from **Basin AA** and flow-by from **DPE9** and continues within the local streets to a proposed 16' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point E10** (Q5 = 6.6 cfs, Q100 = 14.1 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 42**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet of Q5 = 6.6 cfs, Q100 = 12.3 cfs under Janga Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE10** continue westward within the north half of Janga Drive.

Basin CC (Q5 = 5.8 cfs, Q100 = 12.4 cfs) consists of approximately 2.91 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along the south half of proposed Janga Drive to the west of Piran Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin CC** is conveyed to the west via curb and gutter to a proposed 16' At-Grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point E11** (Q5 = 5.8 cfs, Q100 = 15.8 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 43**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 5.8 cfs, Q100 = 13.2 cfs) under Janga Drive to **Pipe 44**, where flows will combine with runoff conveyed in **Pipe 42**. **Pipe 44** has been calculated to convey flood flows of Q5 = 17.0 cfs, Q100 = 34.6 cfs. Runoff contained within **Pipe 44** will combine with runoff carried within **Pipe 40** and continue west via a proposed 48" RCP (**Pipe 45**). The total peak flows calculated to be conveyed by **Pipe 45** are Q5 = 34.6 cfs, Q100 = 100.2 cfs. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPE11** continue westward within the south half of Janga Drive.

Basin Y (Q5 = 6.8 cfs, Q100 = 15.1 cfs) consists of approximately 3.99 acres of residential lots, backyards and streets located along north half of Janga Drive between Piran Drive and the proposed cul-de-sac. Runoff produced within **Basin Y** combines with flow-by from **DPE10** prior to reaching the low point in the proposed Janga Drive cul-de-sac.

Basin Y1 (Q5 = 2.7 cfs, Q100 = 5.7 cfs) consists of approximately 1.26 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along the south half of proposed Janga Drive and between Piran Drive and the proposed cul-de-sac. Runoff produced in **Basin Y1** combines with flows from **Basin Y** and flow-by from **DPE10 and DPE11**, at a proposed 16'Sump D-10R inlet located at **Design Point E 12** (Q5 = 8.4 cfs, Q100 = 22.5 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 46**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 8.4 cfs, Q100 = 22.5 cfs) behind the cul-de-sac to **Pipe 47**, where flows will combine with those conveyed within **Pipe 45**. **Pipe 47**, a proposed 48" RCP is anticipated to convey flood flows of Q5 = 41.3 cfs, Q100 = 118.1 cfs to proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1** summary). A shared maintenance and access road located behind the inlet can serve to function as an emergency overflow path in case of clogging of the inlet.

Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1

Six (6) storm sewer pipes with a combined peak runoff rate of Q5=130.5 cfs and Q100=412.6 cfs discharge to the proposed Full Spectrum Detention Pond 1 (Design Point P1). Based upon the existing basin drainage basin characteristics and the developed conditions impervious ratio the proposed detention and water quality facility requires a minimum of 2.51 ac-ft of water quality storage, 6.17 ac-feet of excess urban runoff volume and 14.6 ac-ft of 100-year storage (see UD-Detention Worksheet in appendix). Although not shown on the enclosed drainage map the pond will be constructed with a concrete forebays, concrete T-stem wall energy dissipaters, concrete low flow 'trickle' channels, a concrete outlet structure with micropool, trash rack, trash screen and restrictor/orifice plate(s) in accordance with the City of Colorado Spring and Urban Drainage and Flood Control District manual. The proposed facility will route the WQCV and EURV will be routed through an orifice plate. While larger storm events will be detained by the outlet box grate opening and a restrictor plate placed on the outlet pipe. In the event of clogging or outlet pipe failure, runoff at FSD1 will over top the emergency spillway at a low point in Union Boulevard and outfall to west to natural drainage ways. A concrete stem wall and 4:1SS buried trapezoidal riprap spillway will need to be provided on the west side of Union Boulevard and should be further evaluated with final design of Union Boulevard. The roadway/pond embankment height and slope and roadway median elevation will be need to be designed in a manner that will allow for runoff to overtop the roadway section the in the case that the emergency spillway for the pond was operational. A proposed 48" pipe will discharge runoff from the pond to a proposed splitter box planned to be located within the right of way. Runoff exiting the box will be conveyed to the two downstream discharge points (and into Basins OP-2/PP-1 and OP-3/PP-2) via a pair of proposed 42" RCP pipes. Until downstream development occurs it is proposed that the splitter box be designed in a manner that limits discharge to the historic flow rates determined by the existing conditions analysis. In this scenario, the southern pipe (Pipe 57) would be limited to a historic 100-year release rate of 41.5 cfs, (refer to existing drainage map and DP26) and the remaining developed discharge of 107.5 cfs would be conveyed to the north via Pipe 58, also at a rate which is less than the existing calculated runoff of 129.3 cfs (existing drainage map DP3).

By initially over sizing Pipe 57 to convey not just the existing flow but the entire developed discharge from the FSD pond 1 of 149 cfs, the existing parcels located to the north of the subject site (within Black Forest) could one day be redeveloped to a greater development density (also assuming the downstream development has in Cordera has occurred), thereby meeting the design assumptions discussed and illustrated within the Amendment #1 FDR for Cordera 3 Report and Drainage Map, which assumed that approximately 144.1 cfs (OP3) + 6.8 cfs (OP8) + 6.8 cfs (OP9) or ~ 150* cfs would be discharged from the subject site in Basin PP-13, and 116.6 cfs (OP2) +10.2 (OP6) +10.2 (OP7) or ~ 120 cfs* could be discharged into Basin PP-1 (refer to Cordera Filing No. 3, Fully Developed Drainage Map). Additional engineering, outside the scope of this project, would be required to evaluate how to further divide the drainage at the northwest corner of the subject site to accomplish this aforementioned distribution of runoff. (*not calculated. Conservative rounding)

A rip rap pad will be required at the two outlet pipes to dissipate energy and prevent local scour. Additional coordination will be required with the adjacent property owners to agree on the development of conveyance systems improvements to direct runoff from the subject site to the downstream developments/improvements and to acquire easements as needed. The City of Colorado Springs has requested that written agreements from adjacent property owners will be required to obtain approval of the Final Drainage Reports and permission to grade from offsite owners will be required with the Grading and Erosion Control Plan where offsite grading is required. Coordination with the Dam Safety Engineer will be conducted prior to the completion of construction drawings. Detailed calculations and construction plans for the pond will be submitted separately to the City for review and acceptance.

Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin

In general, runoff produced within the rural offsite and more density developed onsite watersheds are to be directed via side lot swales and natural drainageways to the proposed streets to storm sewer systems located within the eastern portion of Bradley Ranch Filing No.1. Runoff captured by the conveyance systems are then to be directed to a proposed full spectrum detention pond located in the southeast corner of the site. To coincide with development assumptions, the proposed pond will discharge at or less than historic or to the discharge rate of Q100=3cfs Q100=32 cfs anticipated by the Wolf Ranch Master Plan, whichever is more restrictive. Based upon existing conditions analysis historic runoff conveyed to the south property line within the Cottonwood Creek basin at Design Point 24 is 7.2 cfs and 41.6 cfs, thus discharge rates will be meet those set by the Wolf Ranch MDDP. Additional coordination is anticipated with adjacent property owners to agree on the development of conveyance systems and/or channel improvements, and aquire easements as needed to direct runoff from the subject site to the downstream facilities.

Detailed Description

The following is a detailed description of the onsite basins, offsite flows and the overall proposed drainage patterns and recommended infrastructure required for the development facility within the Pine Creek portion of of Bradley Ranch. The following Design Points and Basins were determined using the Rational Method since each individual basin is less than 100 acres and the combined acreage at any Design Point is also less than 100 acres. The proposed drainage design for this subdivision is typical for single family residential, consisting of; homes, landscaping, rear and side lot drainage swales, curb & gutter, streets, curb inlets, and pipes to convey developed flows downstream. Rear and side lot swales will be constructed to get developed flows to street curb and gutter.

Storm Sewer System F

Basin W1 (Q5 = 0.7 cfs, Q100 = 1.9 cfs) consists of approximately 0.47 acres of residential lots and associated street located at the eastern end of proposed Makalu Drive. Runoff produced within the basin is conveyed via side lot swales to roadway. Runoff produced within **Basin W1** is continues west in the north curb line to Basin W2. A drainage tract located within the basin houses a proposed storm sewer and an overflow swale.

Basin W2 (Q5 = 5.5 cfs, Q100 = 13.6 cfs) consists of approximately 3.42 acres of residential lots, backyards and streets located north half of proposed Makalu Drive near the east subdivision boundary. Runoff produced within the residential lots is conveyed via side lot swales to the proposed roadway where it combines with runoff from **Basin W1**. Runoff continues westward within the in the north curb and gutter to a proposed 14' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point F1** (Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 10.1 cfs). A proposed 18" RCP (**Pipe 48**) will convey the runoff from intercepted by the inlet (Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 9.3 cfs) under Makalu Drive. Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPF1** continue westward within the north half of the roadway (see Storm Sewer System E)

Basin W (Q5 = 3.1 cfs, Q100 = 6.5 cfs) consists of approximately 1.18 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along south half of Makalu Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin W** will be conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 14' At-grade D-10R inlet located at **Design Point F2** (Q5 = 3.1 cfs, Q100 = 12.4 cfs). A proposed 24" RCP (**Pipe 49**) will convey the collected flows from the inlet at **DPF2** and Pipe 48 of Q5 = 9.0 cfs, Q100 = 18.9 cfs west underground south and east to the inlet at **Design Point F3**.

Flows by-passing the proposed inlet at **DPF2** continue westward within the south half of the Makalu Drive (see Storm Sewer System E)

Basin DD (Q5 = 4.6 cfs, Q100 = 11.2 cfs) consists of approximately 2.73 acres of residential lots and associated street located at the eastern end of proposed Makalu Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin DD** will be conveyed westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 8' Sump D-10R inlet located at **Design Point F3** (Q5 = 4.6 cfs, Q100 = 10.2 cfs). A proposed 30'' RCP (**Pipe 50**) will convey the collected flows from the inlet at **DPF2** and **Pipe 49** of Q5 = 12.9 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs south to the inlet at **Design Point F4**

Basin DD1 (Q5 = 1.4 cfs, Q100 = 3.4 cfs) consists of approximately 0.86 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along south half of Odin Drive between Makalu and Janga Drives. Runoff produced in **Basin DD1** will be conveyed south and westward via curb and gutter to a proposed 8' sump D-10R inlet located at **Design Point F4.**

Basin OS10 (Q5 = 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 0.6 cfs) is a small 0.22 acre offsite watershed located along the eastern boundary of the site within the Cottonwood Creek watershed. The basin undeveloped covered with native grasses. Runoff from **Basin OS10** sheet flows onsite into **Basin EE**.

Basin EE (Q5 = 1.9 cfs, Q100 = 4.9 cfs) consists of approximately 1.28 acres of the front halves of residential lots and streets located along south side of Odin Drive just east of Janga Drive. Runoff produced in **Basin EE** will be conveyed east via curb and gutter, combining with runoff from **Basin OS10 and Basin DD1** at a proposed 8' sump D-10R inlet located at **Design Point F4** (Q5 = 3.5 cfs, Q100 = 9.9 cfs). Intercepted runoff of Q5 = 3.5 cfs, Q100 = 9.9 cfs combines with flows from **Pipe 50**. A proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe 51**) will convey the combined flow Q5 = 16.3 cfs, Q100 = 37.3 to the proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2** summary). In the case that the storm sewer system at DPF3 and DPF4 become obstructed flow will overtop a high point in Janga Drive and continue south within the roadway section.

Basin OS8 (Q5 = 3.8 cfs, Q100 = 22.3 cfs) is a 10.69 acre watershed located to the north and east of the subject site. This watershed is anticipated to remain in its undeveloped/low density state, with the exception of the existing water tank site. Runoff produced within the basin conveyed to the south by the natural drainage ways along the eastern boundary, where it will combine with **Basins MM1** and **MM2** at **DPG1**.

Basin MM1 (Q5 = 0.6 cfs, Q100 = 2.3 cfs) consists of approximately 0.72 acres of a portion of a 0.5-acre lot at the northeast corner of the subject site. Runoff from **Basin MM1** will combine with runoff from **Basin OS8** along the eastern boundary of the site. Care should be taken regarding the elevation and placement of the house foundation on this lot ensures that offsite drainage safely routed around the structure.

Basin MM2 (Q5 = 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 0.4 cfs) is approximately 0.13 acres located along the eastern boundary of the subject site. **Basin MM2** will contain a small localized depression and proposed 24" culvert (**Pipe 52**) which will function to collect runoff of Q5 = 4.3 cfs, Q100 = 23.9 cfs reaching **Design Point G1** from **Basins OS-8**, **MM1** and **MM2**. As previously discuss a small overflow swale will be provided to convey drainage reaching **DPG1** in the case that the culvert becomes clogged. The proposed 30" RCP (**Pipe 51**) culvert at **DP G1** will continue thru a series of drainage tracts ultimately out falling into the proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of

Detention Pond 2. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2** summary).

Basin OS9 (Q5 = 1.3 cfs, Q100 = 7.5 cfs) is a 3.32 acre watershed located to the east of the subject site. Based upon the concept layout and site grading, the planned Wolf Ranch subdivision, will redirect much of the runoff from Basin OS-9 to the east thereby decreasing the runoff anticipated to reach the eastern boundary of the site, when compared to the existing condition. As such the existing condition basin was used to determine the largest peak flow at **Design Point G2**. The lots and grading have been lightly shown on the proposed drainage map for reference.

Basin NN (Q5 = 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 0.4 cfs) is approximately 0.15 acres located along the eastern boundary of the subject site. **Basin NN** will contain a small localized depression and proposed 24" culvert (**Pipe 53**) which will function to collect runoff of Q5 = 1.2 cfs, Q100 = 7.1 cfs reaching **Design Point G1** from **Basins OS-9** and **NN**, ultimately discharges into the proposed **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2**. A concrete forebay with a t-shaped energy dissipation wall will be provided at the end of the RCP FES in the pond. Concrete trickle channels will convey low flows to a single outlet structure within the bottom of the pond (refer to **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2** summary).

Basin OS11 (Q5 = 4.1 cfs, Q100 = 24.0 cfs) is a 2.07 acre watershed located to the east of the subject site. This watershed has been analyzed based undeveloped/low density state as flow rates are lesser in the future based upon the concept layout and grading of the adjacent Wolf Ranch subdivision. Ruonff conveyed by OS011 will be directed to the proposed full spectrum in the interim. The lots and grading have been lightly shown on the proposed drainage map for reference.

Basin FF (Q5 = 3.6 cfs, Q100 = 15.5 cfs) basin consists of approximately 3.2. acres open space dedicated for stormwater detention and water quality. Runoff produced within **Basin FF** will combine with runoff from **Basin OS11** and **Pipes 51 thru 53** in **Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2**.

Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2

Developed runoff reaching the Full Spectrum Detention Pond 2 totals Q5=22.9 cfs and Q100=79.5 cfs at **Design Point P2.** Based upon the existing basin drainage basin characteristics and the developed conditions impervious ratio the proposed detention and water quality facility requires a minimum of 0.33 ac-ft of water quality storage, 0.73 ac-feet of excess urban runoff volume and 1.985 ac-ft of 100-year storage (see UD-Detention Worksheet in appendix). Although not shown on the enclosed drainage map the pond will be constructed with a concrete forebays, concrete T-stem wall energy dissipaters, concrete low flow 'trickle' channels, a concrete outlet structure with micropool, trash rack, trash screen and restrictor/orifice plate(s) in accordance with the City of Colorado Spring and Urban Drainage and Flood Control District manual. The proposed facility will route the WOCV and EURV will be routed through an orifice plate. While larger event storms will be detained by the outlet box grate opening and a restrictor plate place on the outlet pipe. The estimated peak release rate from **Pond 2** of Q5=3.4 cfs and Q100=31.9 cfs will outfall, via a 36" RCP into the Cottonwood Creek drainage basin. This discharge is approximately equivalent to the allowable discharge of O5=3 cfs and O100=32 cfs anticipated by the 2013 Wolf Ranch Master Plan. In the event of clogging or outlet pipe failure, runoff at FSD2 will over top a proposed emergency spillway which shall be constructed along the east embankment to safely convey overflow down the slope to the natural channel, until such time the channel is formalized with the planned Wolf Ranch development. A rip rap pad will be constructed to property owners to agree on the development of conveyance systems improvements to direct runoff from the subject site to the downstream developments/improvements. Coordination with the Dam Safety Engineer will be conducted prior to the completion of construction drawings. The City of Colorado Springs has requested that written agreements from adjacent property owners will be required to obtain approval of the Final Drainage Reports and permission to grade from offsite owners will be required with the Grading and Erosion Control Plan where offsite grading is required. Coordination with the Dam Safety Engineer will be conducted prior to the completion of construction drawings. Detailed calculations and construction plans for the pond will be submitted separately to the City for review and acceptance.

Inter-basin Transfer Pine Creek to Cottonwood Creek

It should be noted that the proposed development plan for the 120.193 acre Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 redistributes portions of the small percentage of the historic watershed between Pine Creek and Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basins.

Prior to development approximately 110.796 acres Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 fell within the Pine Creek watershed with the remaining 10.134 acres in the Cottonwood Creek Watershed.

After development approximately 4.066 acres will be redirected from the Pine Creek Drainage Basin into Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin into resulting in 106.730 acres of Bradley Ranch Filing No.1, within Pine Creek and 14.200 acres in Cottonwood Creek.

This modification is minor resulting in a 3.8% change and is driven by grading constraints associated with the lot layout and existing topography coupled with a sensible utility layout

It should be noted that the proposed Full Spectrum Pond No.2 provides detention and releases at or below the historic discharge rates thereby reducing any flood management impacts from the inter-basin transfer.

CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

All proposed drainage facilities within Bradley Ranch Filing No.1, will be constructed by the developer and publically owned and maintained with the exception of the small sediment basins at a few of the culvert entrances which are to maintained by the Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 Homeowner's Association. The cost of the sediment basins is considered negligible.

Item	Description	Quantity	Unit Cost		Cost
1	18" RCP	1371	\$50	/LF	\$68,550.00
2	24" RCP	1844	\$85	/LF	\$156,740.00
3	30" RCP	2532	\$115	/LF	\$291,180.00
4	36" RCP	1152	\$140	/LF	\$161,280.00
5	42" RCP	1131	\$157	/LF	\$177,567.00
6	48" RCP	3661	\$175	/LF	\$640,675.00
7	54" RCP	154	\$220	/LF	\$34,650.00
8	Full Spectrum Det. Pond 1	1	\$40,000	/EA	\$40,000.00

Public Drainage Facilities (Non Reimbursable)

9	Full Spectrum Det. Pond 2	1	\$20,000	/EA	\$20,000.00
10	FSD Pond 1 Outlet Structure	1	\$12,000	/EA	\$12,000.00
15	FSD Pond 2 Outlet Structure	1	\$10,000	/EA	\$10,000.00
16	FSD Pond 1 Spillway	1	\$30,000	/EA	\$30,200.00
17	FSD Pond 2 Spillway	1	\$15,000	/EA	\$15,000.00
18	6' CS D-10-R Inlet	2	\$3,800	/EA	\$7,600.00
19	8' CS D-10-R Inlet	2	\$4,000	/EA	\$8,100.00
20	10' CS D-10-R Inlet	2	\$4,200	/EA	\$8,400.00
21	12' CS D-10-R Inlet	8	\$4,400	EA	\$35,200.00
22	14'CS D-10-R Inlet	11	\$4,550	/EA	\$50,050.00
23	16' CS D-10-Rond 1	8	\$4,700	/EA	\$37,600.00
				Total	\$1,806,492.00

DRAINAGE FEES

This subdivision lies within both the Cottonwood Creek and Pine Creek Drainage Basin. Pine Creek is a closed, no fee basin.

The 2017 Drainage Bridge and Pond fees per the City of Colorado Springs for Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 are as follows;

Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1(Cottonwood Creek)

DRAINAGE FEES:	14.200* acres	х	\$12,692.00	\$180,226.40
BRIDGE FEES:	14.200* acres	х	\$ 1,002.00	<u>\$ 14,228.40</u>

Total: \$182,662.83

*4.066 acres transferred from Pine Creek Drainage Basin.

Bradley Ranch Filing No. 1 (Pine Creek) is a closed fee basin

M & S Civil Consultants, Inc. (M & S) cannot and does not guarantee the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular. The above is only an estimate of the facility cost and drainage basin fee amounts in 2017. Upon completion of the aforementioned improvements, M & S shall submit the actual construction costs to the City of Colorado Springs/City Drainage Board for reimbursement.

SUMMARY

The proposed Bradley Ranch Filing No.1 site is located within the Cottonwood and Pine Creek Drainage Basins. Developed runoff both offsite and onsite basins are collected and conveyed to full spectrum detention facilities located in the southwest and southeast corners of the site. All stormwater collected by the ponds will be detained, treated, and released in accordance with the requirements of the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria. All drainage patterns and outfall conditions remain closely mimic historic and/or align with previous approved reports, therefore developed runoff discharged from Bradley Ranch is not anticipated to adversely affect the surround developments.

REFERENCES

- 1.) "El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual", Volumes 1 & 2, City of Colorado May 2014.
- 2.) Web Soils Survey", United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service, http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm.
- 3.) FEMA Flood Map Service Center", Federal Emergency Management Agency https://msc.fema.gov/portal
- 4.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 1, January 2016, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.
- 5.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 2, Revised November 2016, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.
- 6.) "Amendment No. 3 to Pine Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study and Master Development Plan for Pine Creek and Cordera Neighborhoods (Portions Contributing to Pine Creek), by JR Engineering, February 2003.
- 7.) "Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study", by URS Consultants, Inc. August 1995.
- 8.) "Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study", by Ayres Associates Inc. June 2000.
- 9.) "Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 1A, & Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera and Briargate Crossing East, Pine Creek and Cottonwood Creek Basins, by Matrix Design Group, November 2004.
- "Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 2A, & Master Development Drainage Plan for Cordera Filing 2A-2D, Pine Creek Drainage Basins, by Matrix Design Group, October 2006.
- 11.) "Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 2B, Pine Creek Drainage Basins, by Matrix Design Group, October 2007.
- 12.) "Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3A & Master Development Drainage Plan Cordera Filing No. 3, Pine Creek and Kettle Creek Drainage Basins, by Matrix Design Group, October 2007.
- 13.) "Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3A & Amendment #1 to the Master Development Drainage Plan Cordera Filing No. 3, & Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3F Pine Creek Basin, by Matrix Design Group, October 2013.
- 14.) "Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3B & Final Drainage Report for Cordera Filing No. 3D Pine Creek Drainage Basin, by Matrix Design Group, October 2014.
- 15.) "Master Development Drainage Plan Wolf Ranch Development, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, Revised February 2005.
- "Wolf Ranch Master Development Drainage Plan Update", by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, Revised September 2013.

APPENDIX

VICINITY MAP



N.T.S.

AERIAL MAP

AERIAL MAP OF SITE AND VICINITY


SOILS MAP



Tables — Hydrologic Soil Group —	Summary By Map Unit											
Summary by Map Unit — El Paso	County Area, Colorado (CO625)											
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating										
0 Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes B												
41	Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes	в										
68	Peyton-Pring complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	в										
69	Peyton-Pring complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	в										
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В										



BRADLEY RANCH



CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC

FLOODPLAIN MAP



HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

		STREET	rs / Deve	ELOPED	OVERL A	ND / DEV	ELOPED	OVERL A	AND / UNDE	VELOPED	WEIG	HTED
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
EX1	16.17	0.0	0.90	0.96	16.17	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX2	4.76	0.0	0.90	0.96	4.76	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX3	9.23	0.0	0.90	0.96	9.23	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX4	5.52	0.0	0.90	0.96	5.52	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX5A	2.45	0.0	0.90	0.96	2.45	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX5B	3.73	0.0	0.90	0.96	3.73	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX6	8.54	0.0	0.90	0.96	8.54	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX7	2.43	0.0	0.90	0.96	2.43	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX8	13.02	0.0	0.90	0.96	13.02	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX9	8.66	0.0	0.90	0.96	8.66	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX10	9.73	0.0	0.90	0.96	9.73	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX11	4.80	0.0	0.90	0.96	4.80	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX12	4.86	0.0	0.90	0.96	4.86	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX13	15.46	0.0	0.90	0.96	15.46	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX14	2.89	0.0	0.90	0.96	2.89	0.11	0.38	0.00	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX15	7.40	0.0	0.90	0.96	7.40	0.11	0.38	0.00	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX16	48.06	0.0	0.90	0.96	48.06	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX17	16.17	0.0	0.90	0.96	16.17	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX18	0.63	0.0	0.90	0.96	0.63	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX19	7.99	0.0	0.90	0.96	7.99	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX20	6.81	0.0	0.90	0.96	6.81	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38
EX21	11.84	0.0	0.90	0.96	11.84	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX22	8.78	0.0	0.90	0.96	8.78	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX23	7.50	0.0	0.90	0.96	7.50	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38
EX24	2.59	0.0	0.90	0.96	2.59	0.11	0.38	0.0	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.38

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

(Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff Coef	ficient Summa	у			OVER	LAND		STRE	EET / CH	ANNEL F	TLOW	Time of Travel (T_t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	IOTAL (Acres)	From DCM	A Table 5-1		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fns)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)
EX1	16.17	0.11	0.38	0.11	130	8	11.2	1195	4.6%	1.5	13.3	24.5	2.8	4.7	5.0	28.8
EX2	4 76	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	16.0	7.2	394	8.6%	2.1	3.2	10.4	4.1	6.8	2.1	12.4
EX3	9.23	0.11	0.38	0.11	115	12.0	8.8	1335	5.1%	1.6	14.1	22.9	2.9	4.8	2.9	17.0
EX4	5.52	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	6	9.9	564	4.6%	1.5	6.3	16.2	3.4	5.7	2.1	12.0
EX5A	2.45	0.11	0.38	0.11	85	4	9.9	563	4.6%	1.5	6.3	16.1	3.4	5.7	0.9	5.3
EX5B	3.73	0.11	0.38	0.11	65	14	5.2	511	7.4%	1.9	4.5	9.7	4.2	7.0	1.7	9.9
EX6	8.54	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	10	7.7	1153	4.6%	1.5	12.8	20.5	3.1	5.1	2.9	16.6
EX7	2.43	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	4	10.4	485	4.9%	1.5	5.2	15.6	3.5	5.8	0.9	5.4
EX8	13.02	0.11	0.38	0.11	80	10	6.9	1123	5.5%	1.6	11.4	18.3	3.2	5.4	4.6	26.7
EX9	8.66	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	6	9.9	1054	6.0%	1.7	10.2	20.1	3.1	5.2	2.9	17.0
EX10	9.73	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	10	8.4	1050	6.7%	1.8	9.7	18.0	3.2	5.4	3.5	20.1
EX11	4.80	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	6	9.1	668	4.5%	1.5	7.5	16.6	3.4	5.7	1.8	10.3
EX12	4.86	0.11	0.38	0.11	70	12	5.9	867	7.8%	2.0	7.4	13.2	3.7	6.2	2.0	11.5
<i>EX13</i>	15.46	0.11	0.38	0.11	85	10	7.3	1586	5.1%	1.6	16.7	24.0	2.8	4.7	4.8	27.8
EX14	2.89	0.11	0.38	0.11	75	6	7.8	513	4.6%	1.5	5.7	13.5	3.7	6.2	1.2	6.8
EX15	7.40	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	12	7.2	1310	5.9%	1.7	12.8	20.1	3.1	5.2	2.5	14.6
<i>EX16</i>	48.06	0.11	0.38	0.11	98	12	7.7	3318	5.3%	1.6	34.3	42.1	2.0	3.3	10.4	60.5
EX17	16.17	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	14	7.5	1651	6.5%	1.8	15.4	22.9	2.9	4.8	5.1	29.8
EX18	0.63	0.11	0.38	0.11	85	14	6.5	147	12.2%	2.4	1.0	7.5	4.6	7.6	0.3	1.8
EX19	7.99	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	16	6.6	686	7.2%	1.9	6.1	12.6	3.8	6.3	3.3	19.3
EX20	6.81	0.11	0.38	0.11	70	10	6.2	417	12.1%	2.4	2.9	9.1	4.3	7.2	3.2	18.6
EX21	11.84	0.11	0.38	0.11	80	16	5.9	940	8.3%	2.0	7.8	13.7	3.7	6.1	4.8	27.6
EX22	8.78	0.11	0.38	0.11	115	10	9.4	940	9.4%	2.1	7.3	16.7	3.4	5.6	3.2	18.8
EX23	7.50	0.11	0.38	0.11	120	6	11.5	1140	8.8%	2.1	9.1	20.7	3.0	5.1	2.5	14.5
EX24	2.59	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	4	10.4	540	4.8%	1.5	5.9	16.2	3.4	5.7	1.0	5.6

Calculated by: <u>CMN</u> Date: <u>6/15/2017</u> Checked by: _____

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summa	ry		Time of Travel (T_i)	PIP	E / CHA	NNEL FLO)W	Total Time of Travel (T_t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA100	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀		Q5	Q100
	AND DESIGN POINTS			(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)		(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
1	EX16	5.29	18.26	42.1					42.1	2.0	3.3	Design Point	10.4	60.5
2	EX17	1.78	6.14	22.9					22.9	2.9	4.8	Design Point	5.1	29.8
3	DP-1, DP-6, DP-7, DP-8	9.28	32.05 16.00	27.9	322	4.3%	1.5	3.7	31.6	2.4	4.0	Design Point	22.3	129.3
4	EX18	0.07	0.24	7.5					7.5	4.6	7.6	Design Point	0.3	1.8
5	DP4, EX6	1.01	3.48	7.5	1244	5.1%	1.6	13.1	20.7	3.0	5.1	Design Point	3.1	17.8
6	DP2, DP5, EX5A	3.06	10.56	20.7	650	4.6%	1.5	7.2	27.9	2.6	4.3	Design Point	7.9	45.9
7	EX5B	0.41	1.42	9.7					9.7	4.2	7.0	Design Point	1.7	9.9
8	EX2	0.52	1.81	10.4					10.4	4.1	6.8	Design Point	2.1	12.4
9	EX3	1.02	3.51	22.9					22.9	2.9	4.8	Design Point	2.9	17.0

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summa	ury		Time of Travel (T_t)	PIP	E / CHA	NNEL FLC)W	Total Time of Travel (T_t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA5	CA100	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀		Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	AND DESIGN POINTS			(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)		(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
10	DP9, EX1	2.79	9.65	22.9	917	4.4%	1.5	10.4	33.3	2.3	3.9	Design Point	6.5	37.6
11	EX4	0.61	2.10	16.2					16.2	3.4	5.7	Design Point	2.1	12.0
12	EX7	0.27	0.92	15.6					15.6	3.5	5.8	Design Point	0.9	5.4
13	EX20	0.75	2.59	9.1					9.1	4.3	7.2	Design Point	3.2	18.6
14	EX19	0.88	3.04	12.6					12.6	3.8	6.3	Design Point	3.3	19.3
15	DB12 DB14 EVO	2.59	0.01	12.6	1150	6 40/	1.0	10.0	22.5	2.0	4.0	Desis a Deint	7.2	12 (
15	DP13, DP14, EX9	2.58	8.91	12.6	1152	6.4%	1.8	10.8	23.5	2.8	4.8	Design Point	/.3	42.0
	DD45 DV0	4.01	12.07	22.5	027	2.00/		10.2	22.5		2.0	D 1 D 1	0.2	
16	DP15, EX8	4.01	13.86	23.5	836	3.8%	1.4	10.2	33.7	2.3	3.9	Design Point	9.3	53.7
													• •	
17	EX12	0.53	1.85	13.2					13.2	3.7	6.2	Design Point	2.0	11.5
10														
18	DP17, EX10	1.61	5.55	13.2	500	5.6%	1.7	5.0	18.3	3.2	5.4	Design Point	5.2	30.0
19	DP18, EX11	2.13	7.37	18.3	361	3.3%	1.3	4.7	23.0	2.9	4.8	Design Point	6.1	35.6

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summa	ıry		Time of Travel (T_t)	PIP	E / CHA	NNEL FLO)W	Total Time of Travel (T_t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA100	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀		Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	AND DESIGN POINTS			(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)		(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
20	EX21	1.30	4.50	20.7					20.7	3.0	5.1	Design Point	4.0	23.0
												_		
20A	EX22	0.97	3.34	16.7					16.7	3.4	5.6	Design Point	3.2	18.8
21	DP20, EX13	3.00	10.38	20.7	1586	5.5%	1.6	16.1	36.8	2.2	3.7	Design Point	6.5	37.9
	F374.4	0.22		10.5					10.5		()		1.0	(0
22	EX14	0.32	1.10	13.5					13.5	3.7	6.2	Design Point	1.2	6.8
22.4	EV22	0.83	2.85	20.7					20.7	3.0	5.1	Design Deint	25	14.5
23A	Ел23	0.85	2.63	20.7					20.7	3.0	5.1	Design Point	2.3	14.5
23	DP20A, DP23A, EX15	2 60	9.00	16.7	1415	5.9%	17	13.8	30.5	2.5	4 1	Design Point	6.4	371
25	Di zon, Di zon,Emio	2.00	2.00	1017	1110	51570	,	1510	2010	2.0		Design I onn	0.7	5/11
24	DP22, DP23	2.92	10.10	30.5					30.5	2.5	4.1	Design Point	7.2	41.6
	,											5		
25	EX24	0.28	0.98	16.2					16.2	3.4	5.7	Design Point	1.0	5.6
26	DP10, DP25	3.08	10.64	16.2					33.3	2.3	3.9	Design Point	7.2	41.5
				33.3										
										Calcu	lated by:	CMN		

Date: 6/15/2017

Checked by:

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

				ST	REETS / D	DEVELOPE	ED			01	ERLAND / D	EVELOPE	D		WEIG	HTED
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (SF)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₂₅	C ₅₀	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₂₅	C ₅₀	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
A	66530.9212	1.53	1.53	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.96
В	188065.867	4.32	2.12	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.20	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.59	0.73
С	100937	2.32	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.32	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.30	0.50
D	98490	2.26	0.46	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.80	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.64
D1	24659	0.57	0.11	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.46	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.64
Ε	74914	1.72	0.38	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.34	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.51	0.65
F	130957	3.01	0.49	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.52	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.48	0.63
G	74892	1.72	0.30	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.42	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.49	0.63
Н	154965	3.56	0.28	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.28	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.44	0.59
Ι	81494	1.87	0.46	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.41	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.52	0.66
J	133255	3.06	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.06	0.25	0.32	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.25	0.47
K	71349	1.64	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.64	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
L1	49934	1.15	0.26	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.89	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.51	0.65
L2	70288	1.61	0.26	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.35	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.48	0.62
L3	65155	1.50	0.31	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.19	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.64
L4	22027	0.51	0.26	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.25	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.66	0.77
М	130935	3.01	0.21	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.80	0.22	0.30	0.37	0.41	0.46	0.27	0.49
N	96674	2.22	0.18	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.04	0.22	0.30	0.37	0.41	0.46	0.28	0.50
N1	174624	4.01	0.20	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.81	0.22	0.30	0.37	0.41	0.46	0.25	0.48
0	76752	1.76	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.76	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
01	16776	0.39	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.39	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
02	27567	0.63	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.63	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
Р	73541	1.69	0.39	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.30	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.52	0.65
Q	216135	4.96	0.40	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	4.56	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.44	0.59
R	57044	1.31	0.31	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.00	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.52	0.65
S	87348	2.01	0.38	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.63	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.49	0.64
Т	123868	2.84	0.42	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.42	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.47	0.62
T1	61784	1.42	0.29	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.13	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.64
<i>S1</i>	21713	0.50	0.30	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.20	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.70	0.80

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

				ST	TREETS / D	DEVELOPE	ED			01	VERLAND / D	EVELOPE	CD		WEIG	HTED
	TOTAL	TOTAL														
BASIN	AREA	AREA	AREA	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₂₅	C ₅₀	C ₁₀₀	AREA	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₂₅	C ₅₀	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
	(SF)	(Acres)	(Acres)						(Acres)							
U	121932	2.80	0.28	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.52	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.36	0.55
U1	50666	1.16	0.30	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.86	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.45	0.62
V	119852	2.75	0.35	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.40	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.46	0.61
V1	23058	0.53	0.17	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.56	0.69
W	51318	1.18	0.32	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.86	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.54	0.67
W1	20280	0.47	0.05	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.42	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.36	0.55
W2	148978	3.42	0.32	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.10	0.34	0.40	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.39	0.58
X	74636	1.71	0.40	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.31	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.52	0.65
X1	38500	0.88	0.21	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.67	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.52	0.66
X2	32320	0.74	0.17	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.57	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.51	0.65
X3	22277	0.51	0.15	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.55	0.68
Y	173877	3.99	0.47	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.52	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.46	0.61
Y1	54970	1.26	0.30	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.52	0.66
Z	92917	2.13	0.20	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.93	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.45	0.60
AA	93919	2.16	0.17	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.99	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.44	0.59
BB	168811	3.88	0.56	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.32	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.39	0.57
СС	126967	2.91	0.67	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.24	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.51	0.65
DD	118921	2.73	0.30	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.43	0.34	0.40	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.40	0.59
DD1	37455	0.86	0.15	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.71	0.30	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.40	0.58
EE	55671	1.28	0.14	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.14	0.31	0.37	0.43	0.47	0.51	0.37	0.56
FF	138224	3.17	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.17	0.15	0.23	0.34	0.37	0.42	0.15	0.42
GG	136759	3.14	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.14	0.40	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.40	0.56
HH	322869	7.41	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	7.41	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
JJ	79716	1.83	0.11	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.72	0.21	0.28	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.25	0.48
JJ1	97202	2.23	0.07	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.16	0.21	0.28	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.23	0.47
JJ2	96985	2.23	0.14	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.09	0.21	0.28	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.25	0.48
JJ3	68304	1.57	0.36	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.21	0.34	0.40	0.46	0.50	0.54	0.47	0.64
KK	15403	0.35	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.35	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
LL1	91737	2.11	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.11	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
LL2	57893	1.33	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.33	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

				Si	TREETS / L	DEVELOPE	ED			01	ERLAND / D	EVELOPE	CD		WEIG	HTED
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (SF)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₂₅	C ₅₀	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₂₅	C ₅₀	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
ММ	66924	1.54	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.54	0.13	0.21	0.31	0.35	0.4	0.13	0.40
MM1	31520	0.72	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.72	0.21	0.28	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.21	0.45
MM2	5454	0.13	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.13	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
NN	6555	0.15	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.15	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS1	42775	0.98	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS2	2048813	47.03	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	47.03	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS3	704442	16.17	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	16.17	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS4	27268	0.63	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.63	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS5	296741	6.81	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	6.81	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS6	348137	7.99	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	7.99	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS 7	515821	11.84	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	11.84	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
<i>OS8</i>	465654	10.69	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	10.69	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS9	144573	3.32	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.32	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS10	9778	0.22	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.22	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38
OS11	90040	2.07	0.00	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.07	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.11	0.38

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runofj	^r Coefficient Sumn	nary			OVERL	4ND		ST	REET / CH	ANNEL FLO	W	Time of T	ravel (T ₁)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)				(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
A	1.53	0.90	0.96	0.90	65	2.0	2.0	1170	1.6%	2.5	7.7	9.7	16.9	4.2	7.0	5.7	10.3
В	4.32	0.59	0.73	0.59	65	2.0	5.1	1170	1.6%	2.5	7.7	12.7	16.9	3.8	6.3	9. 7	19.8
С	2.32	0.30	0.50	0.30	130	14.0	7.5	1010	1.9%	2.7	6.1	13.7	16.3	3.7	6.1	2.5	7.1
D	2.26	0.50	0.64	0.50	70	1.5	7.0	780	3.6%	3.8	3.4	10.5	14.7	4.1	6.8	4.6	9.9
D1	0.57	0.50	0.64	0.50	70	1.5	7.1	240	1.0%	2.0	2.0	9.0	11.7	4.3	7.2	1.2	2.6
Ε	1.72	0.51	0.65	0.51	60	2.0	5.5	770	3.6%	3.8	3.4	8.9	14.6	4.3	7.2	3.8	8.1
F	3.01	0.48	0.63	0.48	90	4.0	6.5	415	3.4%	3.7	1.9	8.4	12.8	4.4	7.4	6.4	13.9
G	1.72	0.49	0.63	0.49	100	2.0	8.8	505	3.4%	3.7	2.3	11.1	13.4	4.0	6.7	3.3	7.2
Н	3.56	0.44	0.59	0.44	160	12.0	7.8	660	3.0%	3.5	3.2	10.9	14.6	4.0	6.7	6.2	14.1
I	1.87	0.52	0.66	0.52	61	1.5	6.0	950	3.7%	3.8	4.1	10.2	15.6	4.1	6.9	4.0	8.5
J	3.06	0.25	0.47	0.25	220	8.0	14.9	640	5.0%	1.6	6.8	21.7	14.8	3.5	5.9	2.7	8.6
K	1.64	0.11	0.38	0.11	60	6.0	6.5	720	4.6%	1.5	8.0	14.5	14.3	3.6	6.0	0.6	3.8
L1	1.15	0.51	0.65	0.51	85	4.0	5.9	435	3.2%	3.6	2.0	7.9	12.9	4.5	7.5	2.6	5.6
L2	1.61	0.48	0.62	0.48	70	4.0	5.3	535	5.2%	4.6	1.9	7.2	13.4	4.6	7.8	3.6	7.8
L3	1.50	0.50	0.64	0.50	65	2.0	6.0	435	3.2%	3.6	2.0	8.0	12.8	4.5	7.5	3.4	7.2
L4	0.51	0.66	0.77	0.66	50	1.0	4.5	535	5.2%	4.6	1.9	6.4	13.3	4.8	8.0	1.6	3.1
М	3.01	0.27	0.49	0.27	155	10.0	10.1	440	4.3%	4.2	1.8	11.9	13.3	3.9	6.5	3.1	9.7
N	2.22	0.28	0.50	0.28	155	16.0	8.6	260	4.2%	4.1	1.1	9.6	12.3	4.2	7.0	2.6	7.8
NI	4.01	0.25	0.48	0.25	150	4.0	13.5	500	4.4%	4.2	2.0	15.5	13.6	3.7	6.2	3.7	12.0
0	1.76	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	16.0	7.2	260	7.7%	1.9	2.2	9.4	12.0	4.2	7.1	0.8	4.7
01	0.39	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	10.0	8.4	70	8.6%	2.0	0.6	8.9	10.9	4.3	7.2	0.2	1.1
02	0.63	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	8.0	9.0	390	3.1%	1.2	5.3	14.3	12.7	3.8	6.3	0.3	1.5
Р	1.69	0.52	0.65	0.52	60	1.5	6.0	660	3.6%	3.8	2.9	8.9	14.0	4.3	7.2	3.7	8.0
Q	4.96	0.44	0.59	0.44	160	11.0	8.0	770	3.9%	3.9	3.3	11.2	15.2	4.0	6.6	8.6	19.5
R	1.31	0.52	0.65	0.52	50	1.0	5.9	580	2.6%	3.2	3.0	8.9	13.5	4.3	7.2	2.9	6.2
S	2.01	0.49	0.64	0.49	90	6.0	5.5	540	2.8%	3.3	2.7	8.2	13.5	4.4	7.4	4.4	9.5
Т	2.84	0.47	0.62	0.47	60	4.0	4.7	615	2.3%	3.0	3.4	8.1	13.8	4.4	7.5	6.0	13.2
TI	1.42	0.50	0.64	0.50	100	2.0	8.6	560	2.1%	2.9	3.2	11.8	13.7	3.9	6.5	2.8	5.9
SI	0.50	0.70	0.80	0.70	55	1.5	3.8	500	2.8%	3.3	2.5	6.3	13.1	4.8	8.1	1.7	3.2
U	2.80	0.36	0.55	0.36	120	6.0	8.6	460	3.5%	3.7	2.1	10.7	13.2	4.0	6.8	4.1	10.3
Ul	1.16	0.45	0.62	0.45	60	2.0	6.1	550	4.7%	4.3	2.1	8.2	13.4	4.4	7.4	2.3	5.4

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runof	f Coefficient Sumn	nary			OVERLA	4ND		S7	REET / CH	ANNEL FLO)W	Time of T	ravel (T _t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)				(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
V	2.75	0.46	0.61	0.46	105	6.0	6.6	670	3.9%	3.9	2.8	9.5	14.3	4.2	7.1	5.4	11.9
VI	0.53	0.56	0.69	0.56	75	2.0	6.1	240	8.3%	5.8	0.7	6.8	11.8	4.7	7.9	1.4	2.9
W	1.18	0.54	0.67	0.54	50	1.0	5.7	130	13.1%	7.2	0.3	6.0	11.0	4.9	8.2	3.1	6.5
W1	0.47	0.36	0.55	0.36	100	4.0	8.4	30	1.0%	2.0	0.3	8.7	10.7	4.3	7.3	0.7	1.9
W2	3.42	0.39	0.58	0.39	145	9.0	8.4	450	4.4%	4.2	1.8	10.2	13.3	4.1	6.9	5.5	13.6
X	1.71	0.52	0.65	0.52	50	1.0	5.9	770	1.9%	2.8	4.6	10.5	14.6	4.1	6.8	3.6	7.6
XI	0.88	0.52	0.66	0.52	50	1.0	5.9	390	2.6%	3.2	2.0	7.9	12.4	4.5	7.5	2.1	4.4
X2	0.74	0.51	0.65	0.51	50	1.0	5.9	350	3.4%	3.7	1.6	7.5	12.2	4.6	7.7	1.7	3.7
X3	0.51	0.55	0.68	0.55	70	1.5	6.5	225	4.4%	4.2	0.9	7.4	11.6	4.6	7.7	1.3	2.7
Y	3.99	0.46	0.61	0.46	160	6.0	9.5	650	2.2%	2.9	3.7	13.2	14.5	3.7	6.2	6.8	15.1
Y1	1.26	0.52	0.66	0.52	60	1.0	6.9	590	2.2%	3.0	3.3	10.2	13.6	4.1	6.9	2.7	5.7
Z	2.13	0.45	0.60	0.45	160	6.0	9.6	380	1.1%	2.1	3.1	12.7	13.0	3.8	6.3	3.6	8.1
AA	2.16	0.44	0.59	0.44	165	5.0	10.6	310	3.5%	3.8	1.4	12.0	12.6	3.9	6.5	3.7	8.3
BB	3.88	0.39	0.57	0.39	115	1.5	12.7	565	2.5%	3.1	3.0	15.6	13.8	3.6	6.1	5.5	13.4
CC	2.91	0.51	0.65	0.51	50	1.0	5.9	1140	2.6%	3.2	5.9	11.8	16.6	3.9	6.5	5.8	12.4
DD	2.73	0.40	0.59	0.40	140	10.0	7.8	325	2.0%	2.8	1.9	9.7	12.6	4.2	7.0	4.6	11.2
DD1	0.86	0.40	0.58	0.40	75	1.5	8.6	315	2.1%	2.9	1.8	10.5	12.2	4.1	6.8	1.4	3.4
EE	1.28	0.37	0.56	0.37	60	1.0	8.6	325	2.0%	2.8	1.9	10.5	12.1	4.1	6.8	1.9	4.9
FF	3.17	0.15	0.42	0.15	140	14.0	9.5	290	0.7%	0.6	8.3	17.8	12.4	3.8	6.4	1.8	8.5
GG	3.14	0.40	0.56	0.40	50	2.0	5.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	5.7	10.3	5.0	8.4	6.3	14.7
HH	7.41	0.11	0.38	0.11	380	42.0	15.8	200	0.5%	0.5	6.7	22.5	13.2	3.7	6.2	3.0	17.5
JJ	1.83	0.25	0.48	0.25	90	18.0	5.4	184	9.8%	4.1	0.8	6.2	11.5	4.9	8.2	2.2	7.2
JJ1	2.23	0.23	0.47	0.23	160	10.0	10.8	160	2.5%	3.2	0.8	11.7	11.8	3.9	6.5	2.0	6.8
JJ2	2.23	0.25	0.48	0.25	95	14.0	6.1	360	3.3%	2.4	2.5	8.7	12.5	4.3	7.3	2.5	7.8
JJ3	1.57	0.47	0.64	0.47	55	1.0	6.9	600	5.2%	3.0	3.4	10.3	13.6	4.1	6.9	3.0	6.8
KK	0.35	0.11	0.38	0.11	70	2.0	10.6	180	4.4%	1.5	2.0	12.6	11.4	3.9	6.6	0.2	0.9
LL1	2.11	0.11	0.38	0.11	105	16.0	7.5	350	8.0%	2.0	2.9	10.4	12.5	4.1	6.8	0.9	5.5
LL2	1.33	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	14.0	7.5	220	10.0%	2.2	1.7	9.1	11.8	4.3	7.2	0.6	3.6
ММ	1.54	0.13	0.40	0.13	40	8.0	4.1	570	3.7%	1.3	7.1	11.2	13.4	4.0	6.6	0.8	4.1
MM1	0.72	0.21	0.45	0.21	90	6.0	8.2	165	6.1%	1.7	1.6	9.7	11.4	4.2	7.0	0.6	2.3
MM2	0.13	0.11	0.38	0.11	70	8.0	6.7	30	13.3%	2.6	0.2	6.9	10.6	4.7	7.9	0.1	0.4

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff	^C Oefficient Summ	nary			OVERL.	4ND		ST	REET / CH	ANNEL FLO	W	Time of T	ravel (T _t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)				(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
NN	0.15	0.11	0.38	0.11	70	6.0	7.4	30	13.3%	2.6	0.2	7.6	10.6	4.5	7.6	0.1	0.4
OS1	0.98	0.11	0.38	0.11	125	12.0	9.5	270	7.4%	1.9	2.4	11.8	12.2	3.9	6.5	0.4	2.4
OS2	47.03	0.11	0.38	0.11	98	12.0	7.7	3318	5.3%	1.6	34.3	42.1	N/A	2.0	3.3	10.2	59.2
OS3	16.17	0.11	0.38	0.11	100	14.0	7.5	1651	6.5%	1.8	15.4	22.9	N/A	2.9	4.8	5.1	29.8
OS4	0.63	0.11	0.38	0.11	85	14	6.5	147	12.2%	2.4	1.0	7.5	N/A	4.6	7.6	0.3	1.8
OS5	6.81	0.11	0.38	0.11	70	10	6.2	417	12.1%	2.4	2.9	9.1	N/A	4.3	7.2	3.2	18.6
OS6	7.99	0.11	0.38	0.11	90	16	6.6	686	7.2%	1.9	6.1	12.6	N/A	3.8	6.3	3.3	19.3
OS7	11.84	0.11	0.38	0.11	80	16	5.9	940	8.3%	2.0	7.8	13.7	N/A	3.7	6.1	4.8	27.6
OS8	10.69	0.11	0.38	0.11	120	20.0	7.7	1125	7.2%	1.9	10.0	17.7	N/A	3.3	5.5	3.8	22.3
OS9	3.32	0.11	0.38	0.11	145	16.0	9.7	570	7.0%	1.9	5.1	14.9	N/A	3.5	5.9	1.3	7.5
OS10	0.22	0.11	0.38	0.11	140	14.0	9.9	60	10.0%	2.2	0.5	10.3	N/A	4.1	6.8	0.1	0.6
<i>OS11</i>	2.07	0.11	0.38	0.11	135	14.0	9.6	231	7.8%	2.0	2.0	11.6	N/A	3.9	6.6	0.9	5.2

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

Calculated by: <u>CMN</u> Date: <u>6/15/2017</u> Checked by: <u>VAS</u>

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVE	ERLAND		PIP	PE / CHA	ANNEL FLO)W	Time of Travel (T_t)	INTE	NSITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA100	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀	COMMENTS
(DP)					(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	4
St	torm Sewer System A																-
AI	А	1.37	1.47									9.7	4.2	7.0	5.7	10.3	6' D-10-R Sump Inlet
A2	B, C	3.26	4.29									20.0	3.1	5.2	10.1	25.7	16' D-10-R Sump Inlet
	Flowby DPB2, DPB3	0.00	0.67														
	Total	3.26	4.96														
Si	torm Sewer System B																-
BI	J, K, OS2, OS3	7.90	26.08						Tc from	Basin OS2	-	42.1	2.0	3.3	15.6	86.4	Culvert
<i>B</i> 2	1.2	0.78	1.01					Av	erage of L2	and Flowby	X1	12.5	3.8	6.4	35	12.2	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby X1	0.15	0.91												510		
	Total	0.93	1.92														
B3	L4	0.33	0.39					Av	erage of L4	and Flowby	X2	7.2	4.6	7.8	1.5	12.9	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby X2	0.00	1.28														
	Total	0.33	1.67														_
Si	torm Sewer System C																-
CI	D	1.13	1.45									10.5	4.1	6.8	4.6	9.9	10' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
C2	Н	1.56	1.59									10.9	4.0	6.7	6.2	10.7	12' D-10-R At Grade Inlet Total CA100=3.18 Split Between DPC2 & DPC3 For Crown Overflow
СЗ	G	0.84	1.59									11.1	4.0	6.7	3.3	10.6	12' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
C4	I	0.98	1.23									10.2	4.1	6.9	4.0	8.5	12' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby DPC2, DPC3	0.03	0.54														
	Total	1.00	1.77														
C5	F	1.45	i	1	i	i			Tc from	n Basin F		8.4	4.4	7.4	6.4	14.3	12' D-10-R Sump Inlet
	Flowby DPC4	0.00															Total CA100=3.86 Split Between
	Total	1.45	1.93														DPC5 & DPC6 For Crown Overflow
<i>C6</i>	D1, E Flowby DBC1	1.16							Te fror	n Basın E	r	8.9	4.3	7.2	5.1	14.0	12' D-10-R Sump Inlet
	Total	1.18	1.93														
Si	torm Sewer System D	1.10															
D1	O, OS6	1.07	3.71	0.11	90	16	6.6	830	6.9%	1.8	7.5	14.1	3.6	6.1	3.9	22.5	Culvert
D2	02, 085	0.82	2.83						Tc from	Basin OS6	L	9.1	4.3	7.2	3.5	20.3	Culvert
	02,000														5.5	20.0	
D3	N1, O1	1.06	2.09						Te from	n Basin N1		13.6	3.7	6.2	3.9	12.9	10' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
D4	N	0.61						TeefJ	Rasin N and	d Flowby con-	sidered	12.5	3.8	6.4	23	9.4	12'D 10 B At Grada Jalat
54	Flowby DPD3	0.00										12.0	5.0	0.4	2.5	7.4	Total CA100=2.94 Split Between
	Total	0.61	1.47														DPD4 & DPD5 For Crown Overflow
D5	Р	0.87	1.47									8.9	4.3	7.2	3.7	10.6	12' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
D6	Q	2.18	1.90									11.2	4.0	6.6	8.6	12.6	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet Total CA100=3.80 Split Between DPD6 & DPD7 For Crown Overflow

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVI	ERLAND		PIP	E / CHA	NNEL FL)W	Time of Travel (T_t)	INTE	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA5	CA100	C ₅	Length	Height	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q100	COMMENTS
(DP)					(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	
D 7	R	0.68	1.90									8.9	4.3	7.2	2.9	13.7	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
D.9	e e	0.00	1.27									8.2		7.4		0.5	
<i>D</i> 8	8	0.99	1.27									8.2	4.4	7.4	4.4	9.5	12 D-10-R At Grade Inlet
D9	<u>\$1</u>	0.35	0.40									6.3	4.8	8.1	1.7	3.2	6' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	~~ -																
D10	T, V1	2.62	3.44									10.0	4.1	6.9	10.8	24.8	16' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby E7	0.00	0.13														
		2.62	3.57														-
DII	T1, X, X1	2.06	2.61	0.53	120	6	6.6	1450	2.0%	2.8	8.5	20.0	3.1	5.2	6. 7	20.0	16' D-10-R Sump Inlet
	DPE8, DPD10	0.10	1.24														
S.	1 Otal torm Sawar Systam F	2.15	3.83														-
El	KK. OS7	1.34	4.63						Tc from	Basin OS7		13.7	3.7	6.1	49	28.4	Culvert
21	111,007															-0.7	
E2	JJ3	0.73	2.00									10.3	4.1	6.9	3.0	13.7	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
																	Total CA100=4.00 Split Between
																	DPE2 & DPE3 For Crown Overflow
E3	JJ, JJ1, JJ2	1.54	2.00	0.24	140	12	9.0	600	4.4%	4.2	2.4	11.4	3.9	6.6	6.1	13.2	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
E4	111	0.22	0.80									10.4	4.1	6.9	0.0		- Conhund
E4	LLI	0.23	0.80									10.4	4.1	0.8	0.9	5.5	Culvert
E5	LL2	0.15	0.51									9.1	4.3	7.2	0.6	3.6	Culvert
-																	
E6	MM	0.20	0.61	Te	of (PR) Pipe	Run 33	13.0	440	4.3%	1.5	5.1	18.1	3.2	5.4	13.6	54.1	Culvert
	Flow PR33, PR34, PR35	4.01	9.32														
	Total	4.21	9.93														
E7	v	1.28		0.46	100	6	6.4	800	3.5%	3.7	3.6	10.0	4.1	6.9	5.3	12.1	16' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby DPF1, DPE2, DPE3	0.00															Total CA100=3.50 Split Between
	Total	1.28	1.74														DPE7 & DPE8 For Crown Overflow
E8	X2, X3	0.66		0.53	60	1	6.7	800	3.5%	3.7	3.6	10.3	4.1	6.9	2.7	11.9	16' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby DPF2	0.00	1.74										I				
F 0	lotal	0.00	1.74									12.0	26	(1		12.4	
Ly	DD	1.50	2.20									13.8	3.0	0.1	5.5	15.4	14 D-10-R At Grade Injet
														_			
F10	7.44	1 90		0.45	170	4	11.5	800	2.8%	33	4.0	15.5	3.5	5.8	6.6	14.1	16' D-10-P. At Grade Inlat
210	Flowby DPE9	0.00		0.15				000	2.075	5.5		10.0	5.5	5.0	0.0	14.1	Total CA100=4.84 Split Between
	Total	1.90	2.42										I				DPE10 & DPE11 For Crown Overflow
E11	CC	1.50	2.42									11.8	3.9	6.5	5.8	15.8	16'D-10-R At Grade Inlet
2	00														2.0		
E12	Y, Y1	2.49	3.25	0.49	180	6	9.9	1010	1.7%	2.6	6.5	16.4	3.4	5.7	8.4	22.5	16' D-10-R Sump Inlet
	Flowby DPE10, DPE11	0.00	0.71										I				
		2.49	3.96	1													

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OV	ERLAND		PIP	E / CH/	ANNEL FLO)W	Time of Travel (T ₁)	INTE	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA100	C ₅	Length	Height	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q100	COMMENTS
(DP)					(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	
Si	torm Sewer System F																
FI	W1, W2	1.51	1.51	0.38	130	6	8.9	500	4.2%	4.1	2.0	11.0	4.0	6.7	6.0	10.1	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
																	Total CA100=3.02 Split Between
																	DPF1 & DPF2 For Crown Overflow
F2	w	0.63	1.51									6.0	4.9	8.2	3.1	12.4	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
F 2	DD.	1.10	1.45									0.7	4.2	7.0	16	10.2	
rs	DD	1.10	1.45									9.1	4.2	7.0	4.0	10.2	8 D-10-R Sump Inlet
																	DBE2 & DBE4 E-a Group Oursel
F4	DD1 FF OS10	0.85	1.45	0.30	100	8	73	500	1.9%	2.8	3.0	10.3	41	69	35	9.9	2 D 10 B Sume lalet
14	DD1, EE, 0310	0.05	1.15	0.50	100	Ŭ	1.5	500	1.770	2.0	5.0	10.5		0.7	5.5		8 D-10-K Sump met
Si	torm Sewer System G																
G1	MM1, MM2, OS8	1.34	4.44	0.11	120	20	7.7	1200	7.2%	1.9	10.6	18.4	3.2	5.4	4.3	23.9	Culvert
1	, ,																
G2	NN, OS9	0.38	1.32	0.11	145	16	9.7	500	1.9%	1.0	8.6	18.4	3.2	5.4	1.2	7.1	Culvert
Si	torm Sewer System X																
XI	L1, U, U1, M, OS4	3.00		0.37	160	8	9.8	1900	4.0%	4.0	7.9	17.7	3.3	5.5	10.1	19.4	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby DPD4 To DPD8	0.10															Total CA100=7.08 Split Between
1/2		3.10	3.54						T. from	Deals L2			4.6		24	26.5	DPX1 & DPX2 For Crown Overflow
X2	L3 El-mbri DBD0	0.75							1 c Iron	1 Basin L3	<u> </u>	8.0	4.5	7.5	3.4	20.5	14' D-10-R At Grade Inlet
	Flowby DFD9	0.00	2.54	-													
	Pond Dasian Points	0.73	3.34														-
P1	HH	0.82	2.82									26.0	2.7	4.5	130.5	412.6	Outlat Structure
	PR2, PR6, PR12, PR29, PR47	47.58	88.37									20.0	2.7	1.2	150.5	412.0	Outlet Subcure
	FSD Pond 1 Total	48.40	91.19	1													
P2	FF, OS11	0.70	2.12					1				15.0	3.5	5.9	22.9	79.5	Outlet Structure
	PR51, PR52, PR53	5.81	11.33										I			•	
	FSD Pond 2 Total	6.51	13.45					1								1	

DP - Design Point PR - Pipe Run

FB- Flow By from Design Point

Calculated by: <u>CMN</u> Date: <u>6/15/2017</u> Checked by: <u>VAS</u>

					Inten	sity*	Fl	ow
PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points	Equivalent CA 5	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	Maximum T _C	I_5	I 100	Q 5	Q 100
1	DPA1	1.37	1.47	9.7	4.2	7.0	5.7	10.3
2	DPA2, PR1	4.64	6.43	20.0	3.1	5.2	14.3	33.3
3	DPB1	7.90	26.08	42.1	2.0	3.3	15.6	86.4
4	DPB2	0.92	1.57	12.5	3.8	6.4	3.5	10.0
5	DPB3, PR4	1.25	2.91	19.0	3.2	5.3	3.9	15.5
6	PR3, PR5	9.14	28.99	42.0	2.0	3.3	18.1	96.1
7	DPC1	1.11	1.07	10.5	4.1	6.8	4.5	7.3
8.1	DPC2	1.53	1.33	10.9	4.0	6.7	6.1	8.9
8.2	DPC3, PR8.1	2.36	2.65	11.0	4.0	6.7	9.4	17.7
8.3	DPC4, PR8.2	3.33	3.75	11.1	4.0	6.7	13.2	25.0
9	PR7, PR8.3	4.44	4.82	12.0	3.9	6.5	17.1	31.2
10	DPC5	1.45	1.93	8.4	4.4	7.4	6.4	14.3
11	PR9, PR10	5.89	6.75	12.5	3.8	6.4	22.3	43.0
12	DPC6, PR11	7.07	8.69	12.8	3.8	6.3	26.6	54.8
13	DPD1	1.07	3.71	14.1	3.6	6.1	3.9	22.5
14	DPD3	1.06	1.36	13.6	3.7	6.2	3.9	8.4

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

					Inten	sity*	Fl	ow
PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points	Equivalent CA 5	Equivalent CA 100	Maximum T _C	Ι ₅	I 100	Q 5	Q 100
15	DPD2	0.82	2.83	9.1	4.3	7.2	3.5	20.3
16	PR14, PR15	1.88	4.19	11.5	3.9	6.6	7.4	27.6
17	PR13, PR16	2.96	7.90	13.5	3.7	6.2	10.9	48.8
18	DPD4	0.61	1.29	12.5	3.8	6.4	2.3	8.2
19	DPD5	0.86	1.22	8.9	4.3	7.2	3.7	8.8
20	PR17, PR18, PR19	4.42	10.41	14.0	3.6	6.1	16.0	63.3
21	DPD6	2.07	1.60	11.2	4.0	6.6	8.2	10.6
22	DPD7, PR21	2.75	3.13	11.2	4.0	6.6	10.9	20.8
23	PR20, PR22	7.17	13.54	14.5	3.6	6.0	25.6	81.2
24	DPD8	1.00	1.05	8.2	4.4	7.4	4.4	7.8
25	DPD9	0.35	0.32	6.3	4.8	8.1	1.7	2.6
26	PR24, PR25	1.35	1.37	8.0	4.5	7.5	6.0	10.3
27.1	PR23, PR26	8.52	14.91	14.5	3.6	6.0	30.4	89.4
27.2	DPD10	2.52	2.45	10.0	4.1	6.9	10.4	17.0
27.3	PR27.1, PR27.2	11.04	17.36	14.8	3.5	5.9	39.1	103.2
28	DPD11	2.15	3.85	20.0	3.1	5.2	6.7	20.0
29	PR27.3, PR28	13.19	21.22	17.5	3.3	5.5	43.4	117.2
30	DPE1	1.34	4.63	13.7	3.7	6.1	4.9	28.4

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

Intensity* Flow *Contributing* Equivalent Equivalent Maximum I_5 I 100 Q_5 Q 100 PIPE RUN **Pipes/Design Points** CA_5 CA 100 T_{C} 31 DPE2 0.74 1.68 10.3 4.1 6.9 3.0 11.5 32 2.29 3.9 9.0 22.4 3.38 11.3 6.6 **DPE3, PR31** 33 PR30, PR32 3.63 8.01 13.2 6.2 13.5 49.9 3.7 34 0.9 DPE4 0.23 0.80 10.4 4.1 6.8 5.5 35 DPE5 0.15 0.51 9.1 4.3 7.2 0.6 3.6 36 DPE6, PR33, PR34, PR35 4.21 9.93 18.1 3.2 5.4 13.6 54.1 10.0 11.2 37 DPE7 1.28 1.61 4.1 6.9 5.3 38 DPE8 0.66 1.62 10.3 4.1 6.9 2.7 11.1 10.3 39 1 94 3.23 4.1 6.9 7.9 **PR37, PR38** 22.2 40 PR36, PR39 6.15 13.16 18.5 3.2 5.4 19.7 70.8 41 DPE9 1.51 1.80 13.8 3.6 6.1 5.5 11.0 12.3 42 **DPE10** 1.90 2.11 15.5 3.5 5.8 6.6 DPE11 1.49 2.03 11.8 3.9 6.5 *43* 5.8 13.2 PR41, PR42, PR43 4.90 5.93 15.5 3.5 5.8 34.6 44 17.0 100.2 11.05 19.10 19.5 3.1 5.2 *45* **PR40, PR44** 34.6 *46* DPE12 2.49 3.96 16.4 3.4 5.7 8.4 22.5 47 PR45, PR46 13.54 23.05 20.5 3.1 5.1 41.3 118.1 *48* DPF1 1.50 1.39 11.0 4.0 6.7 6.0 9.3

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN (Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

					Inter	nsity*	Fl	ow
PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points	Equivalent CA 5	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	Maximum T _C	Ι ₅	I 100	Q 5	Q 100
49	DPF2, PR48	2.14	2.68	9.5	4.2	7.1	9.0	18.9
50	DPF3, PR49	3.23	4.13	11.0	4.0	6.7	12.9	27.6
51	DPF4, PR50	4.09	5.58	11.0	4.0	6.7	16.3	37.3
52	DPG1	1.34	4.44	18.4	3.2	5.4	4.3	23.9
53	DPG2	0.38	1.32	18.4	3.2	5.4	1.2	7.1
54	DPX1	2.93	2.62	17.7	3.3	5.5	9.6	14.4
55	DPX2, PR54	3.70	4.88	17.0	3.3	5.6	12.3	27.3
56	FSD POND 1 OUTLET PIPE	From U	JDFCD Pond Wo	rkbook			28.4	148.9
57	SOUTH BASIN DISCHARGE	Portic	on of flow from Pi	pe 56			7.2	41.5
58	NORTH BASIN DISCHARGE	Portic	on of flow from Pi	pe 56			21.2	107.4
59	FSD POND 2 OUTLET PIPE	From U	JDFCD Pond Wo	rkbook			3.4	31.9

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

DP - Design Point

EX - Existing Design Point

FB- Flow By from Design Point INT- Intercepted Flow from Design Point Calculated by: CMN Date: 6/15/2017

Checked by: VAS

Weighted Percent Imperviousness of WQ Pond 1											
Contributing	Area										
Basins	(Acres)	<i>C</i> ₅	Impervious % (I)	(Acres)*(I)							
A	1.53	0.90	100	152.73							
В	4.32	0.59	80	345.39							
С	2.32	0.30	40	92.69							
D	2.26	0.50	70	158.27							
D1	0.57	0.50	70	39.63							
E	1.72	0.51	72	123.82							
F	3.01	0.48	69	207.44							
G	1.72	0.49	70	120.35							
Н	3.56	0.44	64	227.68							
Ι	1.87	0.52	73	136.57							
J	3.06	0.25	30	91.77							
K	1.64	0.11	6	9.83							
L1	1.15	0.51	72	82.54							
L2	1.61	0.48	69	111.34							
L3	1.50	0.50	71	106.20							
L4	0.51	0.66	85	42.98							
М	3.01	0.27	34	102.20							
N	2.22	0.28	35	77.68							
N1	4.01	0.25	30	120.26							
0	1.76	0.11	6	10.57							
01	0.39	0.11	6	2.31							
02	0.63	0.11	6	3.80							
Р	1.69	0.52	73	123.24							
Q	4.96	0.44	61	302.67							
R	1.31	0.52	73	95.60							
S	2.01	0.49	70	140.37							
Т	2.84	0.47	68	193.37							
T1	1.42	0.50	70	99.29							
<i>S1</i>	0.50	0.70	88	43.86							
U	2.80	0.36	50	139.96							
U1	1.16	0.45	65	75.60							
V	2.75	0.46	66	181.59							
V1	0.53	0.56	76	40.23							
X	1.71	0.52	73	125.08							
X1	0.88	0.52	73	64.52							
X2	0.74	0.51	72	53.42							
X3	0.51	0.55	76	38.87							
Y	3.99	0.46	66	263.45							
Y1	1.26	0.52	73	92.12							
Z	2.13	0.45	65	138.65							
AA	2.16	0.44	64	137.99							

Imperviousness of WQ Pond 1	30.4	%		
Totals	197.56			6003.17
OS7	11.84	0.11	6	71.05
OS6	7.99	0.11	6	47.95
OS5	6.81	0.11	6	40.87
OS4	0.63	0.11	6	3.76
OS3	16.17	0.11	6	97.03
OS2	47.03	0.11	6	282.21
ММ	1.54	0.13	8	12.29
LL2	1.33	0.11	6	7.97
LL1	2.11	0.11	6	12.64
KK	0.35	0.11	6	2.12
JJ3	1.57	0.47	68	106.63
JJ2	2.23	0.25	30	66.79
JJ1	2.23	0.23	26	58.02
JJ	1.83	0.25	30	54.90
СС	2.91	0.51	72	209.86
BB	3.88	0.39	55	213.15

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

Project: Bradley Ranch

Basin ID: Pond 1	
ZONE 3	

ZONE 3	2 ONE 1		~											
100-YR EURY WOCY														
	<u> </u>	100-11	LAR		Depth Increment =	0.1	e.							
PERMANENT Frample Zone	1 AND 2 CES Configurati	on (Retent	ion Pond)		Stage - Storage	Stage	Optional	Length	Width	Area	Optional Override Area	Area	Volume	Volume
	oomgaraa	on (notoni	.ion r ondy		Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft^2)	(ft^2)	(acre)	(ft^3)	(ac-ft)
Required Volume Calculation Selected BMP Type =	FDB	1	Top of Micro	=7127.00	7128.00		0.00				10	0.000	513	0.012
Watershed Area =	197.56	acres	N		1120.00		3.00				29.129	0.669	30.688	0.705
Watershed Length =	11,550	ft	L/W Ratio	= 15.5		-	5.00				105,861	2.430	165,679	3.803
Watershed Slope =	0.052	ft/ft				-	7.00				118,743	2.726	390,283	8.960
Watershed Imperviousness =	30.40%	percent				-	9.00				129,298	2.968	638,324	14.654
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent					11.00				139,984	3.214	907,605	20.836
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent				-	13.00				150,901	3.404	1,196,490	27.514
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours												
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	UDFCD Defa	ult				-								
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	2.514	acre-feet	Optional Use	r Override		-								
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	6.170	acre-feet	1.10	linchor										
5-vr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	9.011	acre-feet	1.19	inches		-								
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	12.784	acre-feet	1.75	inches										
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	18.855	acre-feet	2.00	inches		-								
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	23.513	acre-feet	2.25	inches		-								
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	28.911	acre-feet	2.52	inches		-							l	
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	41.502	acre-feet		incries		-								
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	7.858	acre-feet				-								
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	8.726	acre-feet				-								
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	9.391	acre-feet				-								
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	11.169	acre-feet												
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	14.563	acre-feet												
Stage-Storage Calculation														
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	2.514	acre-feet												
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	3.656	acre-feet				-								
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	8.393	acre-feet											ļ	
Total Detention Basin Volume =	14.563	acre-feet												
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	π^3 #				_								
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft												
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	user	ft				-								
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{tc}) =	user	ft/ft											ļ	
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) = Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R) =	user	H:V												
basin congan to What Hallo (KQW) -	usei					-		-	-					
Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) =	user	ft^2												
Surcharge Volume Length (L _{ISV}) =	user	ft				-								
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{ISV}) =	user	ft												
Length of Basin Floor (Lenge) =	USEr	ft			-	-								
Width of Basin Floor (W _{FLOOR}) =	user	π ft				-		-	-					
Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2												
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3												
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user	ft												
Width of Main Basin (L _{MAIN}) =	user	ft												
Area of Main Basin (Amain) =	user	π #^2				-								
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3												
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V _{total}) =	user	acre-feet				-								
						-		-						
						-								
						-								
													—	
						-								
						-								
						-								
						-							j — J	
						-								



Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design														
Project: Bradley Ranch Basin ID: Pond 1														
Basin ID:	Pond 1													
ZONE 3 ZONE 2 ZONE 1	\frown													
100-YR				Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type	1							
VOLUME EURV WOCV			Zone 1 (WQCV)	4.41	2.514	Orifice Plate								
ZONE 1 AND 2	100-YEAI ORIFICE	R	Zone 2 (EURV)	5.95	3.656	Orifice Plate								
PERMANENT ORIFICES			'one 3 (100-year)	8.97	8.393	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)								
Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)			14.563	Total	-							
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically us	ed to drain WQCV in	i a Filtration BMP)				Calculate	ed Parameters for Un	derdrain						
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below th	e filtration media sur	face)	Unde	rdrain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft ²						
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches			Underdra	in Orifice Centroid =	N/A	feet						
Liser Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices o	r Ellintical Slot Weir	(typically used to dra	in WOCV and/or FUE	W in a sedimentation	BMP)	Calcu	lated Parameters for	Plate						
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basin k	oottom at Stage = 0 ft)	WQ O	rifice Area per Row =	5.611E-02	ft ²						
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	5.95	ft (relative to basin t	oottom at Stage = 0 ft	,)	E	lliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet						
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	27.20	inches			Elli	ptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet						
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	8.08	sq. inches (use recta	ngular openings)			Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft ²						
		•												
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice	Row (numbered from	m lowest to highest)						1					
Row 1 (required) Row 2 (optional) Row 3 (optional) Row 4 (optional) Row 5 (optional) Row 6 (optional) Row 7 (optional) Row 8 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) 0.00 1.98 3.97 <t< td=""></t<>														
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) 0.00 1.98 3.97 <														
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	. inches) 8.08 8.08 8.08													
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (ontional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (ontional)						
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	rtow o (optional)	rtow to (optional)	(optional)	rtow 12 (optional)	rtow to (optional)	rtow 14 (optional)	now to (optional)	rtow ro (optional)						
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	d (ft) Image: Constraint of the system Image: Consthe system													
	nches)													
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circ	ular or Rectangular)					Calculated	Parameters for Vert	ical Orifice						
	Not Selected	Not Selected					Not Selected	Not Selected						
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Area = N/A N/A ft ²													
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Area = N/A N/A ft ² N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Centroid = N/A N/A ft ²													
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches											
	and Grate (Elst or Sloped) Calculated Baramaters for Overflow Weir													
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G	rate (Flat or Sloped)	Not Selected	 1			Calculated	Parameters for Over	rflow Weir	1					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	ft (rolative to basin be	ttom at Stago - 0 ft)	Height of Gr	Calculated	Zone 3 Weir	rflow Weir Not Selected	foot					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Frige Length =	rate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19 50	Not Selected	ft (relative to basin bo	ttom at Stage = 0 ft)	Height of Gr	Calculated	Parameters for Over Zone 3 Weir 5.95	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A	feet					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slone =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate)	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area /	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-vr Orifice Area =	Parameters for Over Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be > 4					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slobe =	rate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate)	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Ope	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris =	Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ²					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70%	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) cotal area	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/ Debris =	Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft^2					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50%	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t %	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length 100-yr Orifice Area = an Area w/o Debris = pen Area w/ Debris =	Parameters for Over Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ²					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50%	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t %	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = pen Area w/ Debris =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² ft ²					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% cular Orifice, Restrict	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % ular Orifice)	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) iotal area	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = een Area w/ Debris = Calculated Parameter	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% cular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % :ular Orifice)	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = an Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/ Debris = Calculated Parameter	Parameters for Ove <u>Zone 3 Weir</u> 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restrict Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % :ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 f	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area =	Parameters for Over 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 20.50	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % :ular Orifice) ft (distance below basis inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) iotal area in bottom at Stage = 0 f	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/ Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.37	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e ft ² feet					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % (ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-1	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (Overflow Grate Op (t) (t) Out Central Angle of Rest	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/ Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 what or Transportable	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % (ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-(Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (verflow Grate Op (overflow Grate Op (cut) Central Angle of Rest	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Invert Stape	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% cular Orifice, Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % ; ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) iotal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op ((t) Out Central Angle of Rest	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calculate Design Elow Dentha	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 165	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A Pillway feet	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² ft ² ft ² ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Dameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Unvert Stage=	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 ular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % vular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-f	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stare a	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = en Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Ton of Freeboard =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Enest Length = Spillway Enest Length = Spillway Enest Length =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 yular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % gular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-(Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe W/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spill	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 70% 50% 50% cular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 yular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % tular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-1	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² ft ² ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slotes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % tular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) total area in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-f	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (G Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectant Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restrict Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 yular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Cor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % tular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches inches	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-f	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op to t) Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Sides = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectant Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restrict Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet EURV	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % (ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-4) 5 Year	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² e ft ² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slope = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Kater Surface = Rectangency Barbar Surface = Rectangency Barbar Surface = Rectangency Barbar Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 VQCV 0.53 2.51	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet EURV 1.07 ft (re	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % ;ular Orifice) ft (distance below basis inches inches oottom at Stage = 0 ft) <u>2 Year</u> 1.19	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) iotal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-4) <u>5 Year</u> 1.50	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = an Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 0.0 gr	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 S0 Year 2.25 2.55	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plate N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 2.00 cm	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 3.29					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Siope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Edspise Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (arce-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (arce-ft) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 ;ular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 VQCV 0.53 2.514	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet EURV 1.07 6.170	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % :ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0) <u>5 Year 1.50 9.011</u>	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 50 Year 2.25 23.513	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> 41.562					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert stage= Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Ed Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 yular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 VQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet EURV 1.07 6.170 6.169	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % ular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 4.887	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0) <u>5 Year 1.50 9.011</u> <u>9.003</u>	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 18.855	Parameters for Ovee Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 S0 Year 2.25 23.513	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911 28.913	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> 41.562 <u>41.564</u>					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slodes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectant Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Enst Length = Spillway Enst Surges = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Nesting Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% cular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 ular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A H:V feet EURV 1.07 6.170 6.169 0.00	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % gular Orifice) ft (distance below bas inches inches xottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 4.887 0.01	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 1 Half-0) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Centroid = let Orifice Centroid = itictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 18.855 0.79	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 50 Year 2.25 23.513 1.02	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911 28.913 1.31	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> <u>41.562</u> <u>41.564</u> <u>1.85</u>					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Stope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Resteridet Ploure Max Water Surface = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% cular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 ular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet EURV 1.07 6.170 6.170 6.169 0.00 0.0 0.0	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % tular Orifice) ft (distance below bas inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) <u>2 Year</u> <u>1.19</u> <u>4.886</u> <u>4.887</u> 0.01 <u>2.2</u>	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-f) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Ope Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op () (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 0.34 67.9	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.000 18.855 0.79 156.0	Parameters for Oven Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 S0 Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Pillway feet feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 2.8.911 2.8.913 1.31 2.58.0	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 41.562 41.564 1.85 365.2					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Design Ottor Peak Q (cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restrict Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 yular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WOCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.5	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Itor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A Itor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A Itor Plate, or Rectang N/A Itor	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % sular Orifice) ft (distance below basis inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 4.887 0.01 2.2 7.1.2	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) ootal area in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-f) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 20 f	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 1.75 1.75 1.778 1.75 1.778 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.784 1.75 1.778 1	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 0.79 156.0 2.72.8 2.12 r	Parameters for Oven Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 S0 Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 1.02 201.6	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 41.562 41.562 41.562 41.563 3.65.2 548.5 365.2 548.5					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectant Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outlow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Quittow Lorden Porten Promenton = Deak Outlow Q (cfs) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A itor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet H:O 6.169 0.00 0.0 89.9 1.5 N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % jular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 4.887 0.01 2.2 71.2 1.4 N/A	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) iotal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 3.3.9 131.3 28.4 0.8	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34 67.9 186.0 75.5 11	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 18.855 0.79 156.0 272.8 124.5 0.8	Parameters for Owe Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 starting con 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 50 Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Pillway feet feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911 28.913 1.31 258.0 412.9 0.6	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft^2 ft^2 fet radians 41.562 41.564 1.85 365.2 548.5 350.3 1.0					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slope = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = Spillway (rest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow Toredevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 VQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A Plate	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A itor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet H:V feet J.07 6.169 0.00 0.0 89.9 1.5 N/A Plate	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % rular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches inches ottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 0.01 2.2 71.2 1.4 N/A Plate	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-1) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 28.4 0.8 Overflow Grate 1	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34 67.9 186.0 75.5 1.1 Overflow Grate 1	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = Calcula Destar Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 0.79 156.0 272.8 124.5 0.8 Outlet Plate 1	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w// Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 1.2.50 3.40 SO Year 2.25 2.3.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7 Outlet Plate 1	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plate N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911 28.913 1.31 258.0 412.9 148.9 0.6 Spillway	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 41.562 41.562 41.564 1.85 365.2 548.5 350.3 1.0 5pillway					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slope = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = Spillway (Rest Length = Spillway (Rest Length = Spillway Crest = Spillway Crest Length = Spillw	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 VQCV 0.53 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A Plate N/A	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A itor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet It (relative to basin b feet It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet N/A It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet N/A It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet N/A N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % rular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 0.01 2.2 71.2 1.4 N/A Plate N/A	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 28.4 0.8 Overflow Grate 1 0.2	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34 67.9 186.0 75.5 1.1 Overflow Grate 1 0.5	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = Calcula Design Flow Depth= 25 Year 2.00 18.855 18.855 0.79 156.0 272.8 124.5 0.8 0.012 Plate 1 0.9	Parameters for Oven Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 SO Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7 Outlet Plate 1 1.0	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 28.911 28.913 1.31 258.0 412.9 148.9 0.6 Spillway 1.0	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians 3.29 41.562 41.564 1.85 365.2 548.5 350.3 1.0 \$pillway 1.1					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slope = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Invert Stage Spillway Invert Stage Spillway Ent Surgt = Spillway Ent Surgt = Preeboard above Max Water Surface = Reuted Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (arce-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (arce-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (arce-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/arce) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A Plate N/A N/A	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A tor Plate, or Rectang Not Selected N/A It (relative to basin b feet H:V feet It (relative to basin b feet N/A It (relative to basin b feet N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % gular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 4.887 0.01 2.2 71.2 1.4 N/A Plate N/A N/A	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 28.4 0.8 Overflow Grate 1 0.2 N/A	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34 67.9 186.0 75.5 1.1 Overflow Grate 1 0.5 N/A	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 	Parameters for Ove Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/victor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 SO Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7 Outlet Plate 1 1.0 N/A	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911 1.31 258.0 412.9 148.9 0.6 Spillway 1.0 N/A	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians $\frac{500 \text{ Year}}{1.562}$ 41.562 41.564 1.85 365.2 548.5 350.3 1.0 5 pillway 1.1 1.1 N/A					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Slotes = Overflow Grate Open Area % = Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Gi Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = Spillway (Rectany Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Ent Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Ent Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Ent Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Ent Stage= Preeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Deak Q (cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WOCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A Plate N/A N/A 39 40	Eury EURV 1.07 6.169 0.00 89.9 1.5 N/A	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % gular Orifice) ft (distance below bas inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft; 2 Year 1.19 4.886 4.887 0.01 2.2 71.2 1.4 N/A Plate N/A N/A 58 6 *	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 1 Half-0) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 28.4 0.8 Overflow Grate 1 0.2 N/A 68 74	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (t) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 0.34 67.9 186.0 75.5 1.1 Overflow Grate 1 0.5 N/A 66 72	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Centroid = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 0.79 156.0 272.8 124.5 0.8 014tet Plate 1 0.9 N/A 63 7 ^t	Parameters for Oven Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 50 Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7 Outlet Plate 1 1.0 N/A 61 60	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	feet feet should be \geq 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians $\frac{500 Year}{1.562}$ $\frac{1.562}{1.55}$ 3.29 41.562 $\frac{1.564}{1.85}$ 3.65.2 548.5 3.350.3 1.0 Spilliway 1.1 N/A 53 6c					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Stope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (G Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfail Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow q (cfs) acre) Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = Maximum Densth (hours) = Maximum Densth (hours) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restrict Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV 0.53 2.514 2.515 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A Plate N/A N/A 39 40 4.28	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A It (relative to basin to feet H:V feet H:V feet N/A I.07 6.170 0.00 0.00 N/A Plate N/A Plate N/A 67 71 5.81	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % rular Orifice) ft (distance below bas inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft; <u>2 Year</u> 1.19 4.886 <u>4.887</u> 0.01 2.2 71.2 71.2 71.2 1.4 N/A Plate N/A N/A 58 61 5 31	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) otal area in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-0) 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 28.4 0.8 Overflow Grate 1 0.2 N/A 68 74 630	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Ope Overflow Grate Ope Overflow Grate Ope Control Control Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34 67.9 1.86.0 75.5 1.1 Overflow Grate 1 0.5 N/A 66 73 6,65	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H _t = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 18.855 0.79 156.0 272.8 1224.5 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 0.9 N/A 63 71 7.25	Parameters for Oven Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 rted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 50 Year 2.25 23.513 1.02 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7 Outlet Plate 1 1.0 N/A 61 69 8,11	rflow Weir N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 28.911 28.913 1.31 258.0 412.9 0.6 Spiillway 1.0 N/A S8 68 68 9,22	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft^2 ft² feet radians					
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and G Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = Overflow Weir Slope = Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area % Debris Clogging % = User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Restrictor Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acreft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acreft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Deak Q (cfs) Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Maximum Ponding Depth (rt) = Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (rt) =	irate (Flat or Sloped) Zone 3 Weir 5.95 19.50 0.00 10.00 70% 50% rcular Orifice, Restric Zone 3 Restrictor 0.00 48.00 39.50 gular or Trapezoidal) 9.15 68.00 4.00 1.70 WQCV 0.53 2.514 WQCV 0.53 2.514 0.00 0.0 36.4 1.1 N/A Plate N/A N/A 39 40 4.28 1.79	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Itor Plate, or Rectange Not Selected N/A N/A Itor Plate, or Rectange Itor Plate, or Rectange N/A Itor Itor	ft (relative to basin bo feet H:V (enter zero for fl feet %, grate open area/t % rular Orifice) ft (distance below basi inches inches bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 4.886 0.01 2.2 71.2 1.4 N/A Plate N/A Plate N/A 58 61 5.31 2.48	ttom at Stage = 0 ft) at grate) iotal area in bottom at Stage = 0 l Half-0) 5 Year 1.50 9.011 9.003 0.17 33.9 131.3 28.4 0.8 Overflow Grate 1 0.2 N/A 68 74 6.30 2.62	Height of Gr Over Flow Grate Open Area / Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op Overflow Grate Op (Control of the open tit) Out Central Angle of Rest Spillway Stage a Basin Area a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 12.784 12.786 0.34 67.9 186.0 75.5 1.1 Overflow Grate 1 0.5 N/A 66 73 6.65 2.67	Calculated ate Upper Edge, H, = Weir Slope Length = 100-yr Orifice Area = en Area w/o Debris = ben Area w/o Debris = Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 18.855 0.79 156.0 272.8 124.5 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 0.9 N/A 63 71 7.25 2.76	Parameters for Owe Zone 3 Weir 5.95 10.00 12.34 136.50 68.25 starting 20n 3 Restrictor 11.06 1.79 2.27 ted Parameters for S 1.65 12.50 3.40 50 Year 2.25 23.513 201.6 338.7 134.0 0.7 Outlet Plate 1 1.0 N/A 61 69 8.11 2.86	rflow Weir Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Flow Restriction Plat N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Pillway feet feet feet feet 28.913 1.31 258.0 412.9 1.48.9 0.6 Spillway 1.0 N/A S8 68 9.22 2.99	feet feet should be ≥ 4 ft ² ft ² feet radians 41.562 41.564 1.85 365.2 548.5 350.3 1.0 \$pillway 1.1 N/A 53 66 10.10 3.10					



Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Storm Inflow Hydrographs

	The user can o	verride the calcu	ulated inflow hyd	drographs from	this workbook w	ith inflow hydrog	raphs develope	d in a separate p	rogram.	
	SOURCE	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
7.67	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.07 11111	0:07:40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.07.40	0.05	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.28
Hydrograph	0.13.20	1.67	3.32	2.80	4.34	5.51	7.10	8.16	9.22	9.27
Constant	0:23:01	4.40	9.85	8.01	13.68	18.49	25.74	31.02	36.72	42.21
0.652	0.30.41	11.98	26.21	21.46	36.09	48.41	66.87	80.29	94.81	110.58
	0:38.21	30.42	67.30	54.93	93.15	125.52	1/4.32	209.92	248.56	291.68
	0:40.01	30.37	80.00	(2.02	110.40	133.50	272.81	338.05	412.50	527.55
	1:01:22	25.97	65 59	51 55	97.09	139.81	209.37	263 39	395.51	475 52
	1:09:02	20.76	52 31	41 14	77.28	111.01	165.84	208.35	258.99	395.70
	1:16:42	16.42	41 57	32.65	61 55	88 58	132.61	166.81	207.44	319.91
	1:24:22	12.99	32.84	25.79	48.63	70.03	104.93	132.09	164.43	261.24
	1:32:02	10.53	26.50	20.81	39.24	56.48	84.59	106.45	132.56	217.51
	1:39:43	7.79	20.20	15.75	30.26	44.00	66.53	84.10	105.00	174.00
	1:47:23	5.78	14.67	11.50	21.83	31.59	47.61	60.13	75.07	124.08
	1:55:03	4.09	10.61	8.27	15.90	23.11	34.97	44.24	55.36	91.55
	2:02:43	2.92	7.45	5.83	11.09	16.06	24.21	30.58	38.29	65.26
	2:10:23	2.26	5.74	4.50	8.52	12.28	18.44	23.25	28.98	48.21
	2:18:04	1.83	4.61	3.63	6.83	9.81	14.68	18.47	22.99	38.26
	2:25:44	1.61	4.00	3.15	5.90	8.46	12.60	15.81	19.60	31.64
	2:33:24	1.53	3.78	2.99	5.54	7.89	11.67	14.59	17.99	27.68
	2:41:04	1.50	3.68	2.91	5.38	7.65	11.30	14.11	17.38	25.70
	2:48:44	1.50	3.67	2.91	5.36	7.61	11.22	13.99	17.20	24.97
	2:56:25	1.50	3.67	2.91	5.36	7.61	11.22	13.99	17.20	24.72
	3:04:05	1.04	2.77	2.15	4.19	6.14	9.35	11.85	14.80	22.36
	3:11:45	0.61	1.60	1.24	2.42	3.56	5.46	6.96	8.79	14.80
	3:19:25	0.35	0.93	0.72	1.41	2.07	3.17	4.04	5.12	9.26
	3:27:05	0.19	0.50	0.39	0.76	1.12	1.71	2.19	2.79	5.65
	3:34:46	0.09	0.26	0.20	0.40	0.60	0.93	1.20	1.54	3.26
	3:42:26	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.15	0.24	0.38	0.51	0.67	1.84
	3:50:06	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.15	0.82
	4:05:26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21
	4:03:20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4.13.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:28:27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:36:07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:43:47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:51:28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:59:08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:06:48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:14:28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:22:08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:29:49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:37:29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:52:49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:08:10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:15:50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:23:30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6-38-50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:46:31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:54:11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:01:51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:09:31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:17:11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:32:32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:40:12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:47:52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:55:32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:03:13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:10:53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:26:12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:33:53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:41:34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:49:14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	8:56:54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	9:04:34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	9:12:14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

BRADLEY RANCH EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CALCULATIONS FSD POND 1

Horizontal Broad-Crested Weir (Eqn 12-20 UDFCD)								
Variable				Solve For				
С	3.00			L (ft)	H (ft)	Q (cfs)		
L	68.00	ft		0.0	0.0	393.7		
Н	1.55	ft						
Q		cfs						

Total Q



 $Q = C_{BCW} L H^{1.5}$







Where:

Q = discharge (cfs)

 C_{BCW} = broad-crested weir coefficient (This ranges from 2.6 to 3.0. A value of 3.0 is often used in practice.) See Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22 for additional information.

L = broad-crested weir length (ft)

H = head above weir crest (ft)



Figure 12-20. Sloping broad-crest weir

Weighted Percent Imperviousness of WQ Pond 2							
Contributing Basing	Area	Area (Aaras) C I : e(4)					
Basins	(Acres)	C_5	Impervious % (I)	(Acres)*(1)			
W	1.18	0.54	75	88.36			
W1	0.47	0.36	50	23.28			
W2	3.42	0.39	55	188.10			
DD	2.73	0.40	56	152.88			
DD1	0.86	0.40	56	48.15			
EE	1.28	0.37	52	66.46			
FF	3.17	0.15	12	38.08			
<i>MM1</i>	0.72	0.21	22	15.92			
<i>MM2</i>	0.13	0.11	6	0.75			
NN	0.15	0.11	6	0.90			
OS8	10.69	0.11	6	64.14			
<i>OS</i> 9	3.32	0.11	6	19.91			
OS10	0.22	0.11	6	1.35			
OS11	2.07	0.11	6	12.40			
Totals	30.40			720.68			
Imperviousness of WQ Pond 2	23.7	%					

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER



DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER



Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design										
Project: Bradley Ranch										
Basin ID:	Pond 2									
ZONE 2 ZONE 2 ZONE 1		_		- (6)						
				Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-tt)	Outlet Type	1			
VOLOMET ENHAT MOCA			Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.14	0.330	Orifice Plate				
ZONE 1 AND 2	J 100-YEAI ORIFICE	а 1	Zone 2 (EURV)	2.83	0.396	Orifice Plate	1			
PERMANENT ORIFICES POOL Example Zone	Configuration (Re	etention Pond)	'one 3 (100-year)	4.31	1.259	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)				
				I	1.985	Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·		
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically us	ed to drain wQCv in	in a Filtration BMP) Calculate					N/A fr ²			
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	Inches	e Thuration means sur	(ace)	Underdra	an Orifice Centroid =	N/A N/A	ft ^r feet		
onderaran onnee blennete.	14/	menes			0110012-2	In onnice centroite	14/ .	ieet		
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices o	r Elliptical Slot Weir	(typically used to dra	in WQCV and/or EUF	RV in a sedimentation	BMP)	Calcu	lated Parameters for	Plate		
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basin t	oottom at Stage = 0 ft))	WQ Or	rifice Area per Row =	N/A	ft ²		
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	2.83	ft (relative to basin t	ottom at Stage = 0 ft))	E	lliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet		
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	5.60	inches			Ellip	ptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet		
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = N/A inches						Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft ²		
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice	Row (numbered from	m lowest to highest)	.		 	1	r	٦	
	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)		
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	0.94	1.89	└──── ┤						
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	1.55	1.25	1.25	I I					1	
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Pow 11 (optional)	Pow 12 (optional)	Pow 13 (optional)	Pow 14 (optional)	Pow 15 (optional)	Pow 16 (optional)	1	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	Row & (optional)	Row to (optional)	Row IT (optional)	Now 12 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Now 10 (optional)	Now to (optional)	1	
Orifice Area (sq. inches)		· · · · ·	· · · · ·		((
									ı 	
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circ	ular or Rectangular)	·				Calculated	Parameters for Vert	ical Orifice		
	Not Selected	Not Selected	1			I	Not Selected	Not Selected	1	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin b	ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	, Ve	ertical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft ²	
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin b	ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	, Vertic	al Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet	
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches							
	(r) + - + Cloned					Calculator	tor Ovo			
User input: Overnow wen (Dropson, and a	Tate (Flat or Stopen,	Not Selected	I			Laiculacca	Parameters for over	flow Weir	1	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	2.010 3 4 5		+ (-olotive to basin bo	them at Stage = 0 ft)	Height of Gr	rote Upper Edge, H _t =	20he 5 wen 3 56	NOt Selecces	faat	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	12.00	N/A	foot	ftom at stage - e .e,	Over Flow	Weir Slone Length =	3.00	N/A	feet	
Overflow Weir Slope =	4.00	N/A	H·V (enter zero for fl	lat grate)	Grate Open Area /	100-vr Orifice Area =	7.73	N/A	should be > 4	
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	2.91	N/A	feet	1. 5 ,	Overflow Grate Ope	en Area w/o Debris =	25.20	N/A	ft ²	
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	70%	N/A	%, grate open area/t	otal area	Overflow Grate Op	pen Area w/ Debris =	12.60	N/A	ft ²	
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%			-			1	
User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Ci	rcular Orifice, Restric	ctor Plate, or Rectanc	1					Flow Restriction Plat		
	[tion r hate, or heetang	ular Orifice)		c	alculated Parameter	's for Outlet Pipe w/	E	è	
	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	ular Orifice)		c	Calculated Parameter	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected		
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.50	Not Selected	ft (distance below basi	n bottom at Stage = 0 f	t) (Calculated Parameter	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26	Not Selected	ft ²	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter =	20ne 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00	Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (distance below basi inches	'n bottom at Stage = 0 f	t) (Outli	Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = et Orifice Centroid =	Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81	Not Selected N/A N/A	ft ² feet	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90	Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (distance below basi inches inches	in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-C	t) u Outl Central Angle of Restr	Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = et Orifice Centroid = ictor Plate on Pipe =	Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A	ft ² fcet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90	Not Selected N/A N/A	ft (distance below basi inches inches	in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-C	t) – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = ictor Plate on Pipe =	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A	ft ² feet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectanț Snillway Invert Stape=	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 ;ular or Trapezoidal) 4.80	Not Selected N/A N/A * * * * * * *	ft (distance below basi inches inches	in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-C	t) ' Outi Central Angle of Restr	Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = ictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Denth=	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway froat	e ft ² feet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectanț Spillway Invert Stage= Snillwav Crest Length =	20ne 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 ular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00	Not Selected N/A N/A Ift (relative to basin b feet	ft (distance below basi inches inches ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-(t) Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Staee at	Calculated Parameter Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= + Ton of Freeboard =	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet	e ft ² feet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectang Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 ular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00	Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V	ft (fistance below bas inches inches ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 f Half-(t) Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage at Basin Area at	Calculated Paramete. Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = + Top of Freeboard =	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	e ft ² feet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Gest Length = Spillway Mater Surface = Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 ular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00	Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet	In (distance below bas inches inches •ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 1 Half-(t) Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage at Basin Area at	Calculated Paramete. Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	ft ² ftet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectanj Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 yular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00	Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin b feet H:V feet	ft (distance below bas inches inches inctor at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 Half-((^t t) Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage at Basin Area at	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	ft ² feet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 zular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00	Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin t feet H:V feet	ft (distance below bas inches inches ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 Half-((Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area at	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard =	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	ft ² feet radians	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 2ular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 WQCV	Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin t feet H:V feet EURV	ft (distance below basi inches inches ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 Half-{ } <u>5 Year</u>	(Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a <u>10 Year</u>	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year	e ft ² feet radians 500 Year	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 	Not Selected N/A N/A If (relative to basin t feet H:V feet	ft (distance below bas inches inches ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	in bottom at Stage = 0 1 Half-{) <u>5 Year 1.50</u>	(Tentral Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2 C 77	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 acres	ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 24.00 4.00 1.00 WQCV 0.53 0.330	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A ft (relative to basin t feet H:V feet EURV 1.07 0.726	ft (distance below bas inches inches wottom at Stage = 0 ft) 2 Year 1.19 0.561	in bottom at Stage = 0 1 HalF-() <u>5 Year 1.50 1.151</u>	t) Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 	Not Selected N/A N/A n/A pillway feet feet acres	te ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> <u>6.154</u>	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 zular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 WQCV 0.53 0.330 0.330	Not Selected N/A N/A ft (relative to basin the feet H:V feet Understand H:V feet 0.725	ft (distance below bas inches inches inches inthes <u>2 Year</u> 1.19 0.561	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-() <u>5 Year 1.50 1.151</u>	t) Outi Central Angle of Resti Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rrictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677 2.679	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 	Not Selected N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres	te ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> <u>6.154</u> <u>6.151</u>	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 24.00 4.80 24.00 1.00 0.00 0.330 0.330 0.00	Not Selected N/A N/A It (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 0.725 0.00	2 Year 1.19 0.561 0.01	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-() 5 Year 1.50 1.151 1.151 0.17_	(ft) Central Angle of Resti Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721 1.721 0.34	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677 2.679 0.79	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02	Not Selected N/A N/A pillway feet feet 2.52 4.228 4.229 1.31	te ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> <u>6.154</u> <u>6.151</u> <u>1.85</u>	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Q (cfs) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 WQCV 0.53 0.330 0.00 0.00	Not Selected N/A N/A It (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 0.725 0.725 0.00 0.0	t (distance below bas inches inches inches inthes inthes inthes inthes inches inthes inches inthes inches inthes i	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-() <u>5 Year</u> 1.50 1.151 <u>1.151</u> 0.17 5.2	(Tentral Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 1.75 1.721 1.721 1.721 0.34 10.4	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 2.5 Year 2.00 2.677 2.679 0.79 24.0	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A feet feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7	te ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.151 1.85 56.2	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (n) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Deak Q (cfs) = Predevelopment Deak Q (cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 	EURV 1.07 0.726 0.725 0.00 0.0 13.7	2 Year 1.19 0.561 0.01 0.3 10.6	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-() <u>5 Year</u> <u>1.50</u> <u>1.151</u> <u>0.17</u> <u>5.2</u> <u>2.7</u>	(ft) Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 0.5	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= t Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 2.00 2.677 2.677 2.677 2.679 0.79 2.4.0 5.0.5 5	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 50 2	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 2.52 4.228 4.228 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1	te ft ² feet radians <u>500 Year</u> <u>3.29</u> <u>6.154</u> <u></u>	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Nutflow Q (cfs) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 WQCV 0.53 0.330 0.030 0.0 0.0 6.2 0.1 51/6	Eury EURV 1.07 0.725 0.00 13.7 0.2	2 Year 1.19 0.561 0.561 0.3 10.6 0.2	5 Year 1.50 1.151 0.17 5.2 21.7 3.4 0.7	(ft) Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= 't Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677 2.677 2.679 0.79 2.4.0 50.5 22.3 0.9	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet acres 2.52 4.228 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1 33.9 80.1 33.9 9 0 9	te ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.151 1.85 5.62 116.8 5.7.7 1.0	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Predevelopment Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 2.24.00 4.00 1.00 	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A If (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 0.725 0.00 13.7 0.2 N/A	Z Year 1.19 0.561 0.01 0.3 10.6 0.2 N/A N/A	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-() 5 Year 1.50 1.151 1.151 0.17 5.2 21.7 3.4 0.7 Overfluw Grafe 1	(ft) Central Angle of Resti Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9 Overflow Grafe 1	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= it Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677 2.679 0.79 2.677 24.0 50.5 22.3 0.9 Overflow Grate 1	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0 Curtlet Plate 1	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A interval feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 4.228 1.31 39.7 80.1 31.9 0.8 Ourlet Plate 1	te ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.151 1.85 56.2 116.8 57.7 1.0 Snillway	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Dak G(cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 24.00 4.80 24.00 1.00 0.53 0.330 0.330 0.330 0.00 0.0 0.0	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A It (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 0.725 0.00 0.725 0.00 13.7 0.2 N/A Plate N/A	Z Year 1.19 0.561 0.01 0.3 10.6 0.2 N/A	5 Year 1.50 1.151 0.17 5.2 21.7 3.4 0.7 0.1	(tt) Central Angle of Resti Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.4	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= it Top of Freeboard = t Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677 2.679 0.79 24.0 50.5 22.3 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.9	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0 0utlet Plate 1 1.2	Not Selected N/A N/A ny/A pillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1 31.9 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 1.3	te ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.151 1.85 56.2 116.8 57.7 1.0 Spillway 1.4	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Peak R(cfs) = Predevelopment Peak Q(cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 24.00 4.80 24.00 1.00 0.53 0.330 0.330 0.330 0.00 0.0 0.0	EURV 1.07 0.725 0.00 13.7 0.2 N/A	Z Year 1.19 0.561 0.561 0.561 0.561 0.7 N/A Plate N/A N/A	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-() 5 Year 1.50 1.151 0.17 5.2 21.7 3.4 0.7 Overflow Grate 1 0.1 N/A	(Th) Outi Central Angle of Resti Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 1079 1.721 1.721 1.721 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.4 N/A	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= it Top of Freeboard = it Top of Freeboard = 25 Year 2.00 2.677 2.679 0.79 2.4.0 5.0.5 5.0.5 2.2.3 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.9 N/A	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0 0utlet Plate 1 1.2 N/A	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A pillway feet feet feet 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1 31.9 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 1.3 N/A	SOO Year radians 3.29 6.154	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (Acres) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 2ular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 2.4.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.330 0.330 0.330 0.330 0.330 0.300 0.00 0.0 0.	Eury ft (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 1.07 0.725 0.00 0.00 0.01 13.7 0.2 N/A Plate N/A 66	Z Year 1.19 0.561 0.2 N/A Plate N/A N/A 56	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-()	() Central Angle of Resti Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 1.75 1.721 1.721 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.4 N/A 66	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= it Top of Freeboard = it Top of Freeboard = 2.677 2.00 2.677 2.679 0.79 2.4.0 5.0.5 2.2.3 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.9 N/A 62	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0 Outlet Plate 1 1.2 N/A 59	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A feet feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1 31.9 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 1.3 N/A 56	500 Year radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.154 1.85 56.2 116.8 57.7 1.0 Spillway 1.4 N/A 50	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 	Not Selected N/A N/A It (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 1.07 0.725 0.00 0.00 13.7 0.2 N/A Plate N/A 66 70 0.720	Z Year 1.19 0.561 0.561 0.10.6 0.7 N/A Plate N/A N/A S6 59	in bottom at Stage = 01 Half-()))))))))))))))))))	() Outi Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.4 N/A 66 72 2.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= it Top of Freeboard = it Top of Freeboard = it Top of Freeboard = 2.677 2.677 2.677 2.677 2.679 2.4.0 5.0.5 22.3 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.9 N/A 62 70 0.79 0.76	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0 Outlet Plate 1 1.2 N/A 59 69	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A ipillway feet feet acres 100 Year 2.52 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1 31.9 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 1.3 N/A 56 68	te ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.151 1.85 56.2 116.8 57.7 1.0 Spillway 1.4 N/A 50 65 5.2	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectan Spillway Invert Stage= Spillway Crest Length = Spillway End Slopes = Freeboard above Max Water Surface = Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period = One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = Predevelopment Deak Q (cfs) = Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow = Structure Controlling Flow = Max Velocity through Grate 1 (ps) = Max Velocity through Grate 2 (ps) = Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) = Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	Zone 3 Restrictor 0.50 36.00 16.90 gular or Trapezoidal) 4.80 24.00 4.00 1.00 	Eury ft (relative to basin t feet H:V feet 1.07 0.726 0.725 0.00 13.7 0.2 N/A Plate N/A 66 70 2.78 0.57	Z Year 1.19 0.561 0.561 0.7 10.6 0.2 N/A Plate N/A 56 59 2.52 0.50	5 Year Half-1 1.50 1.151 1.151 1.151 0.17 5.2 21.7 3.4 0.7 Overflow Grate 1 0.1 N/A 68 74 3.11 0.77	(ft) Central Angle of Restr Spillway Stage a Basin Area a 10 Year 1.75 1.721 0.34 10.4 32.4 9.9 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.4 N/A N/A N/A 66 72 3.39 0.9	Calculated Paramete Outlet Orifice Area = let Orifice Centroid = rictor Plate on Pipe = Calcula Design Flow Depth= it Top of Freeboard = it Top of Freeboard = it Top of Freeboard = 2.677 2.667 2.677 2.679 2.679 2.679 2.679 2.679 0.79 2.4.0 5.0.5 2.2.3 0.9 Overflow Grate 1 0.9 N/A 62 70 3.76 3.76 3.76 0.9 0	rs for Outlet Pipe w/ Zone 3 Restrictor 3.26 0.81 1.51 ted Parameters for S 1.07 6.87 1.19 50 Year 2.25 3.394 3.395 1.02 31.0 64.2 30.2 1.0 Outlet Plate 1 1.2 N/A 59 69 4.01 0.2 22 59 69	Not Selected N/A N/A N/A N/A interval feet feet acres 2.52 4.228 4.229 1.31 39.7 80.1 31.9 0.8 Outlet Plate 1 1.3 N/A	te ft ² feet radians 500 Year 3.29 6.154 6.151 1.85 5.62 116.8 57.7 1.0 Spillway 1.4 N/A S0 65 5.25 1.04	


Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Storm Inflow Hydrographs

	The user can o	verride the calcu	ulated inflow hyd	drographs from t	his workbook w	ith inflow hydrog	raphs develope	d in a separate p	rogram.	
	SOURCE	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK	WORKBOOK
Time Interval	TIME	WOCV [cfs]	FURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5 00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	2 (car [cib]	0.00	20 1001 [010]	20 1001 [010]	0.00		
5.69 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
the design of the	0.05.55	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.14
Hydrograph	0:11:47	0.35	0.73	0.58	1.11	1.58	2.29	2.76	3.27	4.32
Constant	0:17:40	0.85	1.80	1.41	2.78	4.04	6.07	7.53	9.17	12.79
0.849	0:23:34	2.34	4.96	3.89	7.64	11.07	16.51	20.36	24.67	34.05
	0:29:27	5.89	12.49	9.79	19.28	28.01	41.94	51.88	63.01	87.42
	0:35:20	6.24	13.70	10.62	21.69	32.37	50.49	64.20	80.14	116.79
	0:41:14	5.26	11.68	9.00	18.66	28.11	44.22	56.44	70.75	103.98
	0:47:07	4.22	9.42	7.25	15.12	22.88	36.09	46.09	57.83	85.15
	0.53.01	3.59	7.56	5.62	12.12	16.52	28.85	30.62	40.10	67.91
	1:04:47	2.05	3.94	4.57	9.55	14.46	12.62	29.10	30.00	33.97
	1:10:41	1.75	4.71	3.02	6.20	0.22	14.62	19.60	20.91	42.04
	1:16:34	1.75	2 79	2.33	0.20	6.82	14.02	13.00	17 57	26.22
	1.22.28	0.93	2.09	1.61	3 36	5.09	8.03	10.25	12.88	19.04
	1:28:21	0.64	1.45	1.01	2 35	3.58	5.69	7 31	9.23	13.78
	1:34:14	0.47	1.45	0.81	1 70	2.57	4.06	5.19	6.53	9.67
	1:40:08	0.37	0.82	0.63	1.70	1 99	3.14	4.01	5.04	7.45
	1:46:01	0.30	0.62	0.53	1.08	1.55	2 55	3.24	4.07	5.99
	1:51:55	0.27	0.60	0.46	0.95	1.02	2.33	2.83	3 54	5.20
	1:57:48	0.26	0.57	0.44	0.91	1.36	2.13	2.00	3 36	4 91
	2:03:41	0.26	0.56	0.43	0.89	1.33	2.07	2.63	3.28	4.78
	2:09:35	0.26	0.56	0.43	0.89	1.33	2.07	2.63	3.28	4.76
	2:15:28	0.26	0.56	0.43	0.89	1.33	2.07	2.63	3.28	4.76
	2:21:22	0.16	0.36	0.28	0.59	0.90	1.45	1.88	2.39	3.60
	2:27:15	0.09	0.21	0.16	0.34	0.53	0.85	1.09	1.38	2.07
	2:33:08	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.20	0.30	0.49	0.63	0.80	1.21
	2:39:02	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.16	0.26	0.34	0.43	0.65
	2:44:55	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.17	0.22	0.34
	2:50:49	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.12
	2:56:42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
	3:02:35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:08:29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:14:22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:26:09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:32:02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:37:56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:43:49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:49:43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:01:29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:07:23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:13:16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:19:10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:36:50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:42:43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:48:37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:54:30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:06:17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:12:10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:23:57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:29:50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:41:37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:47:31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:53:24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:59:17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:11:04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:16:58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:22:51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:28:44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:34:38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:40:31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:46:25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:52:18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7:04:05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

BRADLEY RANCH EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CALCULATIONS FSD POND 2

Horizontal Broad-Crested Weir (Eqn 12-20 UDFCD)								
Variable				Solve For				
С	3.00			L (ft)	H (ft)	Q (cfs)		
L	30.00	ft		0.0	0.0	76.8		
Н	0.90	ft						
Q		cfs						



Equation 12-20 $Q = C_{BCW} L H^{1.5}$







Q = discharge (cfs)

 C_{BCW} = broad-crested weir coefficient (This ranges from 2.6 to 3.0. A value of 3.0 is often used in practice.) See Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22 for additional information.

L = broad-crested weir length (ft)

H = head above weir crest (ft)



Figure 12-20. Sloping broad-crest weir

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS



Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.8	9.7	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L ₀ (C) =	6.00	6.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.36	0.68	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.68	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAIOR	
Total Inlat Interception Conspity (assumes classed as a differe)	o - F	c o		ofo
Total Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Qa -	6.ð	16.6	
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	5.7	10.3	cfs



Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.8	9.7	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L _o (C) =	16.00	16.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.36	0.68	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.55	0.92	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.77	0.96	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Intercention Canacity (assumes clogged condition)	0. =	11.2	36.6	cfs
Inlet Canacity IS COOD for Minor and Major Storms(>O BEAK)	0=	10.1	25.0	ofo
met capacity is GOOD for minor and major Storms(>Q PEAK)	✓ PEAK REQUIRED =	10.1	25.7	CIS







Design Information (Input)	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.5	10.0	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	2.2	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	82	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	1.5	10.4	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	2.5	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	80	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	4.5	7.3	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.1	2.6	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_o =	C% =	98	74	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	6.1	8.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.1	1.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	99	83	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.3	8.8	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	83	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	4.0	7.6	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.9	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	89	%



Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAIOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sr	prings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	7.9	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L ₀ (C) =	12.00	12.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	1
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67]
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.30	0.53	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.48	0.75	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.81	0.97	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A]
	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	6.1	17.4	cfs
WARNING: Inlet Capacity less than Q Peak for Minor Storm	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	6.4	14.3	cfs

Warning 5: The width of unit is greater than the gutter width.



Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	7.8	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C ₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{0}(C) =$	12.00	12.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	1
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	1
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.30	0.53	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.48	0.74	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.81	0.96	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A]
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	6.1	16.9	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	5.1	14.0	cfs







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.9	8.4	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	4.5	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	65	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.3	8.2	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.2	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	87	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.7	8.8	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	83	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.7	8.8	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	83	%







Design Information (Input)	1	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: WARNING: Q > ALLOWABLE Q FOR MINOR STORM		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	8.2	10.6	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.4	2.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	96	84	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.9	11.1	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	2.6	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	81	%






Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	12.00	12.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	4.4	7.8	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.7	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	82	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	6.00	6.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	1.7	2.6	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.6	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	99	81	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	10.4	17.0	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.4	7.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	96	69	%





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAIOR	
Type of Inlet	Type -	Colorado So	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous outter depression 'a' from above)	alocal =	4 00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	7.8	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L ₀ (C) =	16.00	16.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C ₀ (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.30	0.53	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.48	0.74	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.73	0.88	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A]
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	7.2	20.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	6.7	20.0	cfs







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	6.1	11.2	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	2.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	85	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	5.3	11.2	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.9	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	93	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.7	11.1	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	93	%







Design Information (Input)	T			MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Colorado Springs D-10-R	_	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to cont	tinuous gutter depression 'a')	a	LOCAL =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (C	Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate	or Curb Opening)		L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be gro	eater than W, Gutter Width)		W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit G	Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)		C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit C	urb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)		C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allow	able Street Capacity		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity			Q =	5.5	11.0	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow	bypassing inlet)		Q _b =	0.0	2.4	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =			C% =	100	82	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	6.6	12.3	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	87	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	5.8	13.2	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	2.6	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	84	%





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.5	8.2	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{o}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C ₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	-	MINOR	MAJOR	•
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L _o (C) =	16.00	16.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	1
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C ₀ (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.33	0.56	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.52	0.77	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.75	0.90	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	8.8	22.8	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	8.4	22.5	cfs







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	6.0	9.3	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	92	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	14.00	14.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.1	10.6	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	85	%





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	1
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	7.8	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L _o (C) =	8.00	8.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	1
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	1
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.30	0.53	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.52	0.79	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.96	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlat Intercention Canacity (accumes closed condition)	o =[5.0	12.2	cfe
Total met merception capacity (assumes clogged condition)	•••a =	ə.u	12.2	
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	4.6	10.2	cts





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAIOR	
Type of Inlet	Type -	Colorado So	rings D-10-R	1
Local Depression (additional to continuous outter depression 'a' from above)	alocal =	4 00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	7.8	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C ₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	-	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L _o (C) =	8.00	8.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C ₀ (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.30	0.53	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.52	0.79	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.96	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	5.0	12.2	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	3.5	9.9	cfs







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	9.6	14.4	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.5	5.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	95	74	%







Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Sp	orings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	16.00	16.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	3.4	16.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	9.6	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	64	%

REFERENCE MATERIAL



AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO PINE CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY AND MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR THE PINE CREEK AND CORDERA NEIGHBORHOODS (PORTIONS CONTRIBUTING TO PINE CREEK)

October 2002 Minor Text Revisions February 2003

Prepared For:

LP47, LLC dba LA PLATA INVESTMENTS 2315 Briargate Parkway, Suite 100 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 (719) 260-7477

Prepared By:

JR ENGINEERING, LLC 4310 Arrows West Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80907 (719) 593-2593

Job No. 8716.11

B. Fully Developed Condition Plan

1. Pine Creek North Fork (Sub-basins PNE1 through PNE14)

The watershed begins east of future Powers Boulevard. It is proposed that the peak 100year discharge from Sub-basins PNE1 and PNE2 located at the upper end of the major basin be limited to not more than 1.17 cfs per acre (the approximate average peak 100year discharge per acre in the existing condition). For the purpose of modeling the runoff from this area, future land uses were assumed to be consistent with the Bradley Ranch Master Plan within the area covered by that plan. A CN value of 77 was assumed for the unknown developed condition for areas outside of the Bradley ranch plan. Conceptual detention facilities were sized to limit the peak release rate from these Sub-basins to the above mentioned flow rate.

The actual size of the detention facilities for Sub-basins PNE1 and PNE2 shall be adjusted based on the actual density and basin characteristics of proposed future development. However, the 100-year peak outflow rates shall be as established in this study. Sub-basin PNE1 shall be limited to a peak 100-year discharge of 103 cfs and Sub-basin PNE2 shall be limited to a peak 100-year discharge of 127 cfs. If possible at the time of development it is recommended that the detention requirement for both of these sub-basins be met in a single regional facility with a 100 year peak outflow less than or equal to 230cfs. If a single facility is not practical, smaller privately owned and maintained ponds will be required to meet the discharge requirement.

It is proposed that the detained flows from Sub-basins PNE1 and PNE2 as well as the runoff from Sub-basin PNE3 be collected and conveyed in proposed storm sewers to a proposed diversion box at Analysis Point E1. For the purpose of the current analysis it was assumed that the proposed diversion box will be designed such that peak flows less than the approximate 5-year peak rate will be directed to a proposed storm sewer parallel to Pine Creek North Fork in Sub-basin PNE5. A trickle flow shall be discharged to the downstream natural channel in all runoff events to promote the growth of vegetation. Flow rates in excess of the approximate 5-year peak rate shall be allowed to overflow into existing Pine Creek North Fork located in Sub-basin PNE5. The HEC-1 models
developed for the report estimates a 100-year peak flow of 239 cfs at Analysis Point E1. Of this 156 cfs will be directed to the proposed downstream storm sewer and 131cfs will overflow to the North Fork Channel. The purpose of this division of flow is to utilize the conveyance capacity of the natural channel in large runoff events and mitigate the potential for erosion in the channel due to increased peak rates, volume, and frequency of runoff events that will occur with development. Some improvements to the natural channel as discussed in Section V. Paragraph D., Sub-paragraph 3. of this report will be required in order to assure capacity and mitigate the potential for erosion. At the time that detailed planning is done for this area this concept should be further analyzed to assure that it fits with the proposed land use and the conveyance system is optimized. A more detailed analysis of the natural channel with perhaps some select erosion control measures added may reveal that the natural channel can carry more frequent flows and the proposed downstream storm sewer can be downsized.

The flow diverted to the proposed storm sewer will be routed from Analysis Point E1 to Analysis Point E2, ($Q_5 = 164$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 310$ cfs) along with runoff collected from Subbasin PNE4. The flow that is allowed to overflow into the natural channel will be routed from Analysis Point E1 to Analysis Point E3 along with runoff from Sub-basin PNE5. At Analysis Point E3 the flow in the natural channel ($Q_5 = 5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 95$ cfs) should be intercepted by a proposed storm sewer and routed to Analysis Point E4 along with the flow from Analysis Point E2.

The peak 100-year runoff from Sub-basin PNE6 should be limited to 15 cfs if further development occurs in the sub-basin (similar to Sub-basins PNE1, and PNE2 discussed above). The runoff from Sub-basin PNE7 will be collected in future streets and storm sewers and conveyed to Analysis Point E4 along with the flow from Sub-basin PNE6. A diversion box is proposed to accept the runoff conveyed to Analysis Point E4 ($Q_5 = 238$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 543$ cfs) and divide it between a proposed downstream storm sewer and the downstream natural channel. The diversion box should be designed such that the modeled peak 100-year inflow is divided such that approximately 173 cfs is routed to the proposed downstream storm sewer and approximately 370 cfs is routed to the downstream portion North Fork Channel. During more frequent runoff events all of the

inflow up to approximately 130 cfs should be diverted to the proposed downstream storm sewer excepting the trickle flow discussed below. The 130 cfs rate corresponds to the expected 2-year peak rate at Analysis Point E4. A trickle flow shall be directed to the channel in all runoff events to promote the growth of vegetation in the channel. The purpose of this division of flow is to utilize the conveyance capacity of the downstream natural channel in large runoff events and mitigate the potential for erosion due to increased peak rates, volume, and frequency of runoff events that will occur with development of the upstream watershed.

A concept detail drawing of the proposed diversion boxes for Analysis Points E1 and E4 is included in the appendix of this report. It may be possible to combine the proposed diversion boxes with future curb inlets to reduce costs.

The storm sewer portion of the flow from Analysis Point E4 will be routed to Analysis Point E5 where runoff from Sub-basin PNE8 will be added to the proposed storm sewer for combined peak rates of $Q_5 = 185$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 269$ cfs. This flow will be routed to Analysis Point E6 along with flow collected from Sub-basin PNE9. The flow at Analysis Point E6 ($Q_5 = 194$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 299$ cfs) will be routed to Analysis Point 3 at the proposed rundown channel to proposed Regional Detention Facility "F". The flow allowed to overflow to the natural channel will be conveyed in the natural channel along with runoff from Sub-basin PNE10 to Analysis Point 3. The HEC-1 model indicates peak rates in the natural channel of $Q_5 = 180$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 437$ cfs (AP3a) at Analysis Point 3.

Runoff from Sub-basins PNE11 is to be collected on-site and conveyed to Analysis Point 1 in a proposed storm sewer. Runoff from Sub-basin PNE12 is to be collected onsite and added to the storm sewer at Analysis Point 1 ($Q_5 = 198$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 345$ cfs). The flow will then be routed to Analysis Point 2 in a proposed storm sewer. Runoff from Sub-basins PNE13 and PNE14 will be collected in the Union and Powers Boulevard right of ways and conveyed to Analysis Point 2 in a storm sewer system to be constructed by CDOT with Powers Boulevard. It is expected that the above-described systems will be joined at Analysis Point 2 for combined peak rate of $Q_5 = 255$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 493$ cfs. The flow will be routed in a proposed storm sewer to Analysis Point 3. The peak flow rates

Reaches PC7 through PC8

These reaches are generally well defined and contain some natural vegetation to aid in their stability. However, given the relatively steep natural slopes of these reaches and the lack of heavy vegetation it is anticipated that these reaches would not remain stable if exposed to the increased frequency, volumes and low sediment content of developed condition flows. Given this and the Federal requirement to minimize impacts to the natural channel due to the presence of Prebles Meadow Jumping Mouse Habitat, these reaches will only convey significant developed condition storm water flows in storms larger than the 2 year frequency storm per the current proposed plan. Runoff from more frequent storms and significant portions of the runoff from larger storms will be conveyed in a storm sewer to be constructed parallel to the Creek and outside of the Prebles habit to the extent possible. A trickle flow will be directed through the reach in all runoff events to encourage the growth of vegetation in the reach. This diversion of flows will be facilitated by a diversion structure to be constructed at the upstream end of reach PC8.

It is believed that the channel can convey the infrequent flows as proposed by the current plan in its current natural state without significant risk of uncontrolled erosion. These reaches are included in the detailed hydraulic analysis discussed in previous text for the downstream reaches. The stability of the reaches will be examined in the analysis.

Reach PC9

This majority of this reach can be better described as a wide shallow swale than a welldefined incised channel. Only the upper portion of the channel is incised and exhibits signs of head cutting in the existing condition. The current land plan for the area proposes to preserve the reach as a natural drainage conveyance. Given the relatively steep gradients and the lack of a defined channel in the reach, it is not likely that the reach can serve as the primary conveyance for developed condition flows without significant reshaping and the addition of significant grade control measures.

As both of the above mentioned treatments are contrary to maintaining the area in a relatively natural state and costly, the current plan proposes to shelter the reach from frequent flows and only utilize it to convey a portion of the flows in larger event. This is

the same concept presented for downstream Reaches 7 and 8. The current plan recommends that flows below the expected 5-year peak rate be conveyed in a storm sewer constructed parallel to the reach. A portion of the flow from larger runoff events will be conveyed as shallow flow through the reach. It is recommended that the incised upper portion of the reach be regraded to a wide shallow swale and vegetated with native grasses and shrubs. The current plan will shelter the reach from the normal increase in frequency and volume of runoff that accompanies development of the upstream watershed. It is believed that the existing stability of the reach can be maintained under this plan. Planning and design associated with this reach should be done with consideration that this reach will also serve as the emergency relief channel for the upstream watershed.

E. Proposed Drainage Discharge Constraints

The following discharge constraints are proposed for the study area:

a. The requirement for onsite detention to achieve a 35 percent reduction in the peak flow rate resulting from development (the difference between the historic and developed peak rates) on all office, research and development, commercial, and school properties as implemented with the original DB.P.S. will remain in effect for all existing developed properties and for future developing properties within Basins CS2, CS3, F1, F4, F5, F6, F7, PM6B and PM10 as shown on the Fully Developed Condition Drainage Map included in this study unless the following conditions are met.

• A separate detailed drainage analysis or the analysis done for this study demonstrates that the downstream existing or proposed drainage conveyance facilities are adequate to allow a greater discharge rate from the property.

• A detailed drainage analysis or the analysis performed for this study demonstrates that the greater discharge rate will not negatively impact downstream detention facilities or the overall discharge peak discharge goals of this study.

b. Runoff from Basin CS4 as shown on the Fully Developed Condition Drainage Map included in this study shall be routed through the pond labeled as "DFVC" a private detention pond as proposed in the approved "Master Development Drainage Plan for Village Center at Pine Creek and Preliminary /Final Drainage Report for Village Center



and a family of the later of the later of the second s
AJOR STREET INDEX
BLUFFS PARKWAY
TE PARKWAY
HELS DRIVE
NCH ROAD
OULEVARD
SUBDIVISION INDEX
Y MODLE SCHOOL NUMBER 4
TE BUSHESS CAMPUS FILING 1
TE BUSHESS CAMPUS FILING 2
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 7
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 9
TE BUSNESS CAMPUS FRANG 12
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 13
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 14
PRICE
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 16
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FRING 17
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 18
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 19
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 20
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 21
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 22
TE BUSINESS CAMPUS FILING 23
R GREENS FILING 1
R GREENS FILMG 2

adda. marketeries		1 appendig	<u></u>	
USINESS	CAMPUS	FILING	22	
USINESS	CAMPUS	FILING	23	
ENS FIL	NG 1			
ENS FIL	NG 2			
ENS FIL	NG 3			
Contraction of the local division of the				
- 1	· ·			
T.				
1				
2				
- P	1			
	£			
	E			
<u>د</u>				
· /	r			
- 1				
- <u><u><u></u></u></u>				
10				
a				
F				

NO.	SUBDIVISION INDEX
21.	CREEKSIDE FILING 1
22	CREEKSIDE FILING 3
23.	CREEKSIDE FILING 4
24.	FOOUS ON THE FAMILY
23	GATEHOUSE PARK
26.	CATEHOUSE VILLAGE FILING I
27,	GATEHOUSE VILLAGE FILING 2
28.	GATEHOUSE VILLAGE FILING 3
29.	GATEHOUSE VILLAGE FILING 4
30.	GATEHOUSE WILLAGE FILING 5
31.	GATEHOUSE WELAGE PENC 0
3.6	CATENDOSC MILLAGE FULLING R
34	CATEMONE WELAGE FEING 9
36.	GATEHOUSE VILLAGE FEING 10
36.	GATEHOUSE VILLAGE FILING 11
37.	GATEHOUSE VILLAGE FILING 12
38.	PINE CREEK FILING 1
39.	PINE CREEK FILING 2
40.	PINE CREEK FILING 3
41.	PINE CREEK FILING 4
42.	PINE CREEK FILING 5
43.	PINE CREEK FILING 6
44.	PINE CREEK FILING 7
43.	PWE CREEK FILMUG
47	PHE WEEK FILME 3
40	DHE OCCE FIND IN
49.	PINE CREEK FILING 12
50.	PINE CREEK FILING 13
51.	PINE CREEK FILING 14
52	PINE CREEK FEING 15
53.	PINE CREEK FILING 16
54,	PINE CREEK FILING 17
55.	PINE CREEK FILING 24
56.	PINE CREEK FILING 25
57.	PINE CREEK FILING 26
58.	PINE CREEK FILING 28
39.	PINE CREEK FILMIG 29
61	PHE CREEK FRING SV
87	Pher CREEK FRING 33
63.	PINE CREEK FILING 35
64.	PINE CREEK FILING 36
85.	PINE CREEK VILLAGE CENTER FILING 1
66.	PINE CREEK VILLATE CENTER FILING 2
87.	PINE CREEK VILLATE CENTER FILING 3
68.	PINE WOODS
70.	SPRING CREST FILING 2
71.	SUMMER FIELD FILING 1
72.	SUMMER FIELD FILING 2
73.	SUMMEN FIELD FIEING 3
75	STIMMER FIELD FILMES
76.	SUMMER FIELD FILING &
77.	SUMMER FIELD FILING 6
78.	SUMMER FIELD FILING 7
79.	SUMMER FIELD FILING 8
80.	SUMMER FIELD FIUNC &
81,	SAGEWOOD FILING 1
82.	SAGEWOOD FILING 2
83.	SAGEWOOD FILING 3
84.	SAGEWOOD FILING 4
65.	SAGEWOOD FILING 5
85.	SAULWOOU FILING 5
87.	DOMADICATE PRING 37
80	BRIARCATE FRING 45
90	REARCATE FUNC 48
91.	BRARGATE FILING 50
92.	BRIARGATE FILING 52 - YMCA
93.	BRIARGATE SUBORVISION FILING 27
94.	BRIARGATE SUBSTVISION FILING 59
95.	WEDGEWOOD @ BRIARGATE FILING 3
96	WEDGEWOOD & BRIARGATE FILING 4
	The subscription of the state o



J-R ENGINEERING

430 AnousWest Drive • Culorado Springs, CO 80807 719-593-2593 • Fac 719-528-6513 • www.juergineerrg.com

PINE CREEK DBPS
AMENDMENT 3
SUBDIVISION INDEX
JOB NO. 28716.11
DATE: 10/01/02
SHEET 4 OF 5



GENERAL NOTES:

PROPOSED LETENT NOICATE GENERAL ACTUAL LOCATION OF DETAILED DESI on facultes shown on this plan are only greaded to Locations and land area redured for these factores. and land area redured shall be determined at the thir w of the faculties.

4. EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE NOTED, THIS PLAN SHALL NOT MODIFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF PREMOUSLY APPROVED MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAMAGE PLANS AND FINAL DRAMAGE REPORTS FOR SHALL SHALL

KEYED NOTES: D SECTION OF THE ORGEN TO BE ELIMINATED.

- D FLOW IS TO BE DIVORTED TO A STORM SEWER IN FREQUENT EVENTS AND IS TO BE DIVOED DETVEEN THE STORM SEWER AND NATURAL CHANNEL IN LARDER EVENTS.
- O AN ENERGENCY OVERFLOW/PEUER ROLTE SHOULD BE PLANNED AGROSS THIS SITE. FROM THE LOW POINT OF TELSTAR DRIVE TO PHIE CHEEK.

PROPOSED TREATMENT FOR PINE CREEK CHANNEL-

42404 10	PROPOSED WEAMENT **
B	LEAVE NATURAL WAY ONE ORDERCONTROL STRUCTURE. (CONFLETE)
(CO)	LEAVE WARDAL WAY MAKE SET STATELEATON AND HOLFEATONS TO DE NE 1 READONS DANNED.
CD	LEANE NATURAL WTH GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURES
Ð	HEIRADE TO PROVIDE WOE DEPRESED AREA TO SERVE AS ENERGONE' HELSEF CHANNEL CONSTRUCT SA' STORM DRAM TO CONVEY TOO ICAN DEDON FLOW. (COMPLETE)
CD	LEAVE NATURAL MIN GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURES
(RD)	LEAVE NATURAL WITH DRADE CONTROL STRUCTURES.
3	LEAVE NATIONAL WITH PREGMENT FLOWS CONVEYED IN BY-PASS STORM BENER
CO	LEAVE NATURAL. FREQUENT PLOUS CONVEYED IN BY-PASS STORM SEVEN
CD	LEAVE NATURAL WITH BONE RESULATION. FREELENT FLOWS CONVERED IN GY-PASS STORM REVER
in Actua	IL TREATMENT REQUIREMENT TO BE DETERMINED WITH FUMARE DETAILED PROPARED ANALYSIS.
- MS#M	the state of the second s

EUCENT FOR THE REACHES NOTED MONE THAT CERTS ANALYSIC MEDITAL AT THE DEVIL AMARTER OCCUPES
EUCENT FOR THE REACHES NOTED MONE THAT THE STUDY DRACK CONTEXANCES AND ELIMINATED WITHIN THE STUDY NAL.

and the second se	nin meterini mana ina in	No. of Concession, Name	م منام من									International Tel	and of the supervision of the local diversion	And the local division of
DE FILM POR	1 HATCH	100 16	EAT	- PEN	SHPLOW	1 1	EAK OUT	FLOW	ESTAL	A TEL	AK 🛄	42018	101	1
FACILITY	1	1 .			(chi)	-	(cefa)	ł	E STORA	GZ (qet u	0310	-C-CHED		
1.02	1 200403	100 "		Qt	4995	1.0	2 11	\$2:22	1 10	1 40	2 2 91		5	74R/S
. jk	2. 2016	1. 0	T T		1.12272		6 I					AT LET	.I. E	110.0
	1	1.12	4. T.	1.27	4.3	111	1.1.1.1	219	1 7	1.2			1.1	12.000
- C	300 h, 4-30	1.1.1		1.2.1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		Sec. 1.	1.0	1. 33	1. 11			11.7.1	100519
- 01	1.1.1.1.1.1	0.3	EΤ		1.1.1		6	-	5	1 11		ALC: N	1 14	
02	1.84.0	1 01	<u> </u>	131	1 244		S	- 61				1.31		1. S. M
	1 174.4	1	8	254	1.1.1	1 1	57	20	· · · · · · · ·			3 8 4	1.11	
F	1.14.6	1 6.7	1.1.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1 (40)	- and the second	Conception of the local division of the loca	976	1 13			1.00	1.146	100.00
1 X	1	1 21	4 4	764	1 271			103	1 1	-	1.0		1 14	
ML 3	7 101.4	21	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	121	394		7	197	2			1997	1111	100.00
M.L	128	- 00	2 2	1			1 fr	will ever	1.1	1				1.
194. 1	1 2016.0	1 1 1	1 1	1155	1		See.	716		1000				1.040
	1027	1-11	a na sa	190	1 201	- hand		105	1 1	- Internal Property in the Internal Property i		. 17.1.2	1	10 March
and the second second	1.1.1						<u></u>			-		1000		-Xi 27-
	Assessive Room		lennia				Freesphere	*****	çaaren Meyre		[quanteen]	all a state	-denned	and an



1.11 A.	CALC S	an Thick	0.	0100	money definition notes
.A.(010)		(116397	LCD.	
			111		LUDAL PLOT
***	area Chart and		100		
			- 68-		
	22.0	the state of the second	112		A STAR WAS CARDED
	No. A	and the second	1.510	100	
240	and the second second	ALA	1 60	1.1	TACH TO CHARMY
14	76-6	A.C.	1.5	175	Aster Barth St. C. S. Manager
1			11.1		B1 H, Ball, American
and a shirth	No. 2 Years	8.4	1.114		Build Material
44	1981 - C. 2003	111	1.45.1	14.3	Support Bury Commission Commission
		114	107		\$2416 \$1375. (Manual Manual M Manual Manual
	_			174	DIA BOI
		0.13		144.4	101/4, FLOR
	1.0		1.5		anal, and the start of an and a start of
			-48		The rate of the second
and the second		and the second	1-102-	LL-1	AND CAME OF EASIANT
	100	100	1	- 25	TILLA DATE
	1111				TITLE DUTIE
24	10.51 2		1.315	1.1	DANE Male
	10 Y 10 10	1.51		413	TOTAL TICH
	1. S. S. S. S.		1 3460	102.11	1924 5100
		12.12	12.35	1937	\$1. J. W. A
7 7	45.0	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	8.7. 5	12 × 12	10137-748-14-1 10000
2à 1	NC 3 N	ulati-a-2 Juni	16112	6 15 X	New York Walks and the second s
		1000 () ANN		14 J	Bander Haufen, Annen
			100	17.16	TOTAL ELOW
				- 10-1	7010. 100.
			- 20	-	PETRIC PLOT
			- 16		10074 F1077
*****					AND ALL AND A REAL AND
15	10.0		194	100	TRUE BLAN
	EST .		2.1	1.1	THE DAY
- 17	1.1.1			1.1	TRITAL FLOW
1	1273	0.2.5	-	1251	TOTAL PLOW
44	776 24		1.60	1000	Real Plant Street
10.1	10,000	Link C . This	643	10.11	101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101
29	1000	100 C - 100	1.112	117.1	BOCH JOCK
21	26112	10 h h 1	232	10.07	TOTAL PLOR
	1728	1.27	111	1.2.2	TRYAL PLOA
		MA		-27-1	JUT ALL THE
- Alexand	Constant of the local division of the local	<u> </u>	1.131	- 200-1	THE GLOW
	- 1961.4	9.31	بطرينا		TOPAL FAOR
			1.1	-66-	
-		- California	148-1	1984	TAYA DIAN
744	NA.	and the second second	1 10	11	ALCON ALCO VILLA
	RA.	22.6	1994	100	SOF SLOW
25	THE COMPANY	2.44	1.45	1.1	TOTAL FLORE
2.05	NA.	Jack 1	1	120	STATISTICS
25	MA	NA	24	. 663	POL FLOW
26	2010.0	4.40	1.4.5	1.111	TOTAL PLOTS
		0.15	17.1	(abid)	TOTAL PLOW
28	26.5		1.2.12	15 11 1	TOTAL FLOW

	Contraction (Contraction)	Convert	
Real Stream International	8.01	1 1 2 4 1 2 3 4 4 1	
WHERE - , & MARKE MERY CAR DOM:	INCOMES AND A REAL PROPERTY AND	THE NUMBER OF STREET	
		THE N BUILDER AT SHE BRIDES (
	C.070 1 101	1.9.191	
100 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	THE ROAD HIS AND P. T. SHO	0.074	the second s
BARRIA SHARE BARRI STATE	100 (ALC: 200) (ALC: 200)	THE S - MILLION, NAME AND A	
		100 - K 3 - 100 State-2 4 - 100 March 5	
		9777	<u>i</u>
	1114		
panets a bitment page 275-3268	ME-E-S" IN DOG ANY AND	HE ALL HE HER IN SHE MANY	THE REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.
Construction of the second states			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
145 174			
Mail	MENTER BUILD	THE XT, MARRIED, A. MARRIED, V.	
SHELL & SHELL HAS SHELL		and fill statements in some states of	
P12 1 8.0	012 / 303		
SHELL SHEEP HERE & AND	E-1-10 F 101-	MARCHINE CONTRACTOR	
	IN TO ME AND T YOU	MICHEL SHERE S FOR DEPARTY	and Shith he film?
PM0 1 8.0 1	0.0.01.11.11.1		
Phil 1 23.1	ALLAN THE REAL PROPERTY.	6.123 86.5 54	
PRINE OF STREET	INCOME THE REAL PROPERTY AND	INCOME IN CONTRACTOR	AND THE R. L. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.
Phrs 33.6	and the second second	<u>911-</u> 749	
	- <u>19191 - 157</u>	9.223	200
FAIS 1 44.0	0.000	Intelligence in the second sec	13
tion of a local bard of special	INC. S.P. 200 (MILL) & 200	NUCLES SHE HAR COMPLEX.	and the failed
108.3	9.171 21.9	0.210 1 77.6 120	
	0.07	8 14 1 17 1 18	
Intelligence of Table States and States and	NK 9-3 100 BIRL 7 100		2
1000	1. (* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	INCOME AND A DESCRIPTION OF	
	0.103 17.5	0173 70.0 71	
	0.013	6.667 1 82.0 1 14	
Mail of All-pain page (Scalars)	11-11-1	ARE-41 381 286 . 4 288 8888-1	7.1
inter a line set of set	1.11		
	- 10 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12		
Aller ALLER PROPERTY.	1.072	BR-52 (300 and , 5-marates) [7	and suggest strength
	0.014 13.0	- 9.07	
	1000 1 120		
735 1 18.3 1	01110 1 1210		100
	REAL VIEW RINK FALSAN	HE SE SHEET A MANUAL	
	0.0.0 1.1.	0.110 07.0 00	
	4.054 12.5	0.175 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	minipunitifit
PE10 31.1 1		MACHERSON MARKED & MARKED AND CO.	90
	(1) こうご (第) (新成) オ (新)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	and a second
	- 0153 - 213 -	- 3-2-2	
	8034 1 16.7	0.317 74.5 79.5	
Martin Providence in State	NAMES AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	and the second
- Plant - Andrews		0.18 7.6 4	491
- 1 - 1	0.004 2 20.0	6146 3 76.4 42	100
	an a	INCOME INTERVIEW (1 NOT A DESCRIPTION OF A	and state Man
			131
	2011 12/		and and 22 me
THE ALL STATEMENT OF ALL SHOP	0.001 214		
101AL 1 2027-0 1	1.1.1.1		
Summing of the second s			10000
	DIME	COLER DODS	
	PINE	CREEK DBPS	
	PINE	CREEK DBPS	
	PINE	CREEK DBPS NDMENT 3	TER PLAN
	PINE AME BAB	CREEK DBPS NDMENT 3 IM MAP & MAS	TER PLAN
	PINE AME BAB JOB	CREEK DBPS NDMENT 3 IN MAP & MAS NO. 28716.11	TER PLAN
	PINE AME BAE JOB DATI	CREEK DBPS NDMENT 3 IN MAP & MAS NO. 28716.11 E: 10/01/02	TER PLAN
	PINE AME BAE JOB DATI SHEI	CREEK DBPS NDMENT 3 IM MAP & MAB NO. 28716.11 E: 10/01/02 ET 1 OF 5	TER PLAN



SUB-BASH DATA BUNNARY INTERNI CONDITION

L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L		A CONTRACTOR	Variation of Contract	LAG	- 191	122.00.0	
Chil	1-1-2	0.10	1 11.0	0.150		1	十册
(a.)	1.30.0	1.171	1.4	0.24	72.6		1.
and the second	1	11.6251	1	196-51 5-881	1 . C . H	T	1
(-1)	111	1.16.1		Real Address		1	1.1
	1	June Han		1.0.101		1	1.25
	4	1.0.04	1	1.9177		1	
	1-261-		17.			alan alian	-jan aligara
	1-00						
	1 1 2 1 1 1		-	1 1 1 1			
14	1 31 5	1.0.1		1.1.1.1	118		
	1 3/3	0.014	12.1	1 112	1.1	1	
1	1 12 1	TAX'E		E 19308	115 15 20	1. 72	1 131
	Finge L.C. State	THE LOOP	100.5 9 100	THE PETAN		1 13	104
111	1.0.5	CALC 1	1. C. L.	1.0.360	100 1 E 10	1	1 116
PH2	E_345.5	1 Pr 1	1. 17.7	1.4.377	120	1 1	1. 145
	Later & select	0.004		1.0.10		1. 22	B (19).
Pipe.		S.102			- 14-	-	
	- 8-2			-		the state of the s	-
				- #12 -1		+ - # -	
	1 2 3 4					÷	
	1-57	0.07		1 2 3 6		-post affects	
	1 81			1 8 1 8 1		transfilm)	edckm/en
	107.4	0.010	30.5	1.0.508	62.6	1 20	1 191
1955	1.251	10.00	1.1.1	MENK OF	100	1	1 8
	I THE	1		100.4	THE N. L.	1.15	1
1.1.1	1005 3.000	11111	1.11	10. 9-1.00	100 L P 10	Building all	1 1000 - SI
	34.5	1. 1. 1.	20.3	1 0,205	17.0	1 49	1
112		0.417	In States	1.0310		4	1. 101
	1			100 B C 100	- Indiana		1.77
		1. 1. 111	1.12	1.0120			1 3 10
	1-1211		-	in the second			1.1
	1 10		- <u>Alian</u>				
		CALL IN	Sector Sector	1.11.50.5		t it.	1 19F
2428	1.4.7	111112	10.5	0.00	(inf) (< 30	1.0	1 51
	1.43.6	E PLS FL	24.5	1. R. C.	INTE VALUE	T 20	1 (S)
1.1.1	8. 33.01	111C 1	11.	1		1 107	1. 373
	1 27 0	1.000		1 0121			1-12
	1.51			1-1-100-1	14.9	him fi	<u>- 112</u>
	<u> </u>		and the second			<u></u>	mpungginge
	+						1.48
100 C	1 141	1.1	22.1	8999		1-25-	
Ples	1 11	0.045	26.1	1 1 1 1	14.5	1	1 63
PHILS	1.44.5	0.060	SE.S	0.1	72.7	1	1.112
And the View	E 15.7	0.024	11.5	0,100		10000-000	1 71
2455	1 44.5	0.502.9	1.17	1.15112	17.2	1. 16.1	3 19.02
		5 PX 11	1000	1.111.111	1021 12	1.4	1.1.211
	E	ETOX -	1.4	MI () MI		1 0	1 100
	34.0	9.003	12	0.12	97.5	1.19	
	01	2031	TL.			1	1 12
	in fin		hr.}{{4}				-1
	-	2201				K	- frank fra
		-780-	17.3	6.023	100 C		1 721
and the second second	-	100	100	1 82.5	100	1	1
	1 61	100	243	1.146	74.3	1	1 121
NOTAL.	1 2017.0	0.50	11.2			1	1
			PINE	CREF	K DR	PS	
		1	AME	NOMEN	1 3		
		1	BA	en ma	P & I	MAST	er p
		1	INT	erini (OND	TION	
		1	108	NO. 2	8716.	11	
		1	DAT	E: 00	TOBE	R 200	12
				-	APT - 10		
		1	SHE	FTY 7	CH: 5		

ANALYSIS POINT DATA SUMMARY

73.7

			12.0	CON	DETION
ANDAL YELL	8470-5E	A PLA CH	1 65	0040	
FONTA	Lincen)	Caners Lon	scel.	(((1)))	PORE DUIDERICH
L. Harris	100 CA 100	CC 8. 50	1.62		http://www.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.ander.and
	24		1.97	10 C 1	Rager William and a state of the state of th
handle and		<u>I. 013</u>	<u>L.S.</u>	190.	Las a Egres, 2
					Lot of 15 of
-	134		- 1		
International Contraction		-96-	1-2-	- 141-	AUCH ALL PLATE
immed annual			-	- 36-	12-24 PLOT
hereiten				1.1	Busy Bar Bush 1 25
			1.55		
3	10		1 114		TRUM, FLOOR
1		780	1987	10.1	A MARKET AND A MARKET
	410		1.00	11.1	STATE PLOT
64	4.2		777	1.11	Busic Mars. 1
	44.2	0.55	1.00	4	1016 107-02
1		1.1.1	1.1.1	84 B	ROW MARY
7A	1000 27 2000	101-11-000	16151	重い道	mises and a second more second and a second s
	30K)2-808	1.1.2		62-7-Z	BOOL BUILDING STREET
	100.000	1.11		41332	Re-Mail and a
10		1.8	E. 19 E.		TGTAL FLOW
Landano		1.41	1111	.200.1	TOTAL FLOW
1.12	1.1	- 294 - 1	148		TOTAL FLOR
harrentiferren	and the second		144		THE PLAT OF STATE
[mana [Canada		for a state of the second	÷ 115-		TOTAL PLOT
100000		h-12 (0) - 30.00	1.0		AVAL II CON
	122	- K 47	1 10 1		WINE FLOOR
		0.23		231	TOTAL PLOW
and the second se	1243	1.1	1.500	31 1 3	TOTAL FLOW
194	1211		1.35	D.14	Cost Calo
	10.21		1700	\$1.34	BS B. WARG
	and the second	1.1.1	12/21	11.11	4.167 T 18.17 Million 19.17
	174	9.27	1.111	1. S. M.	1/3/34 AV/7
	HA.		1.8.1		SLOFACE FLOW
22	- MA		1.2	210	PPE BOW
23		0.31	1.114.	<u></u>	101AL 11.0
		21.0	1.0	-32-	DIFFACT FLOW
hand	24				
100	million	- 22	1.160	-512-	
in the second	world read		1-2	님먮님	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
[month]	- Chan	aar, 20,	1-20-	100	TUTA P THE
		an M. Risso	to the second	1.1.5	STATES AND
- 36		مسالكت، سار 264	1-10-1-1	1201	
	2022	6.41	1 646	116.5	TOTAL FLOW
27	1111	-	1.451	112	TOTAL FLOW
2	2075	6.57	1 1 1 1	111	TOTAL FLOW
And in case of the local division of	procession deliveration of the second s			لير الكارج عند	A STREET BELLEVILLE OF COMPLEX AND A STREET BELLEVILLE

REVISED 3-4-03

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT for "Cordera Filing No. 1"

&

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN for Cordera and Briargate Crossing East Pine Creek and Cottonwood Creek Basins

Prepared for: City of Colorado Springs, Colorado Engineering Division

On Behalf of: LP47, LLC dba La Plata Investments 2315 Briargate Parkway, Suite 100 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

Prepared by:



2925 Professional Place, Suite 202 Colorado Springs, CO 80904 (719) 575-0100 fax (719) 572-0208

Revised November 2004

03.104.015

D. Fully Developed Conditions

The fully developed analysis completed has focused upon the impacts to the development area to the southeast of the existing North Fork of Pine Creek. Recommendations within Addendum #3 of the DBPS will be used when a more in-depth study is completed for the development of the surrounding area. This analysis is being used primarily to help determine the infrastructure requirements impacting Cordera Filing No. 1 and the future residential area located to the north of Filing No. 1.

<u>Pine Creek Drainage Basin</u>

The development criteria set forth in Addendum #3 of the DBPS has been used to evaluate the future development of the site. Within the Pine Creek Drainage Basin, at least two additional detention ponds will be constructed in addition to the facility located east of Briargate Parkway and Powers Boulevard and completed with Filing No. 1. One basin, detention pond DF-4 will be constructed to the southeast of Powers Boulevard and the North Fork of Pine Creek. The second detention pond DF-5, will detain storm water upstream of the development from the off-site tributary area.

North Fork Pine Creek

The upstream off-site drainage basins, sub-basins PF11 and PF12 are currently comprised of undeveloped land and one acre single-family lots. It is unknown at this time what kind of development will occur on the vacant land and if the larger single family lots may be redeveloped. Detention will be required for development with runoff from the combined basins limited to approximately 130 cfs for the 5-year storm and 230 cfs for the 100-year storm. These runoff rates have been designated within Addendum #3 of the DBPS. The exact size and water quality requirements for the future ponds will be determined when the area is developed. For the purposes of this analysis, flows were reduced to the peak allowable and routed through a detention pond. Drainage from these sub-basins will not be allowed to be directed the adjacent sub-basin PF6. If sub-basin PF12 is developed prior to the future Cordera development subbasin PF6, runoff shall be redirected to proposed detention pond DF-5. If drainage basin PF6 is developed prior to the upstream development, care shall be taken to isolate the flows. This item will be addressed in the final drainage report for the development of the area.

Sub-basins PF16, PF17, and PF18 will be developed as commercial and residential sites. Flow from each of these sub-basins will be reduced to historic levels in future detention pond DF-4 and released into the North Fork of Pine Creek Channel. The total tributary area to the detention pond is 110.7 acres of land. Peak storm water runoff rates entering the pond have been calculated at Q(5)=197.0 cfs and Q(100)=431.0 cfs. Maximum release rates for each storm event are Q(5)=22.1 cfs and Q(100)=151.1 cfs requiring detention storage volumes of 6.0 and 12.3 acre-feet.

Sub-basins PF13, PF14, and PF15 have all been modeled with direct discharge to the North Fork of Pine Creek. Per Addendum #3, this may not be permissible due to the environmental impacts associated with the existing wild life living within the creek limits. When this area is developed, a more in-depth evaluation of the maximum permissible flows will have to be completed. A parallel storm sewer system may be required along the channel to prevent excessive runoff from entering the existing channel and reducing the potentially harmful impacts to the existing wild life in the area. The parallel system will also reduce the erosion potential in the creek. The combined tributary area at a point in the North Fork of Pine Creek and Powers Boulevard is 472 acres. The peak routed runoff rates with the two detention ponds as described above are Q(5)=148.0 cfs and Q(100)=556.5 cfs at design point P6.

A small area of commercial development will discharge to the existing storm sewer system constructed as part of the Powers Boulevard extension at Union Boulevard. Per the design plans produced by CDOT, a peak runoff rate of 78 cfs for the 100-year storm event can enter the system. Drainage sub-basin 17 has been sized to take full advantage of this outfall. Peak runoff rates from the 16.9 acre commercial development are Q(5)=39.3 cfs and Q(100)=75.9 cfs. BMP's for this area to address water quality issues shall be evaluated when the parcel is developed in a site-specific final drainage report.

South Fork Pine Creek

The proposed detention pond east of the intersection of Briargate Parkway and Powers Boulevard will have an ultimate outfall point of a proposed 72" RCP in Briargate Parkway that ultimately flows to an existing detention pond, designated as DF-C within Addendum #3 of the DBPS. Detention pond DF-C is located approximately one mile west of Cordera Filing 1 on the northwest corner of the intersection of Briargate Parkway and Union Boulevard. Flows from sub-basins PF1 through PF9 will be routed into the proposed detention pond on the Cordera may vary depending on the final layout of the adjacent Wolf Creek Ranch. The detention pond 634.0 cfs for the 100-year storm event. For the minor storm, 4.6 acre-feet of storage volume will allow a peak outflow rate of 22.1 cfs. The major storm outflow rate will be reduced to 267.3 cfs and will require 14.6 acre-feet of storage.

Runoff from sub-basin PF10 will enter the Briargate Parkway storm sewer system undetained to the proposed 72" RCP. The flow from the sub-basin will combine with the outflow from the detention pond. The differing times to each peak rate will produce a maxim flow of 152.6 cfs and 386.4 cfs for the minor and major storm events, as delineated within Addendum #3 of the DBPS. The site-specific final drainage report for the development shall provide BMP's for the site to address water quality issues for sub-basin PF10.

Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin

The tributary area for Cordera and Wolf Creek Ranch will drain to a proposed detention pond and then to an existing 8'x12' CBC under the future Powers Boulevard alignment. Upon full development of the basin, an area that has historically drained to Research Boulevard will be rerouted to the proposed detention pond. This will be completed in the future as part of the Wolf Creek Ranch Development. It is the intent of the developers of each master planning area to create a combined detention pond to reduce the land area requirements and eliminate on additional facility for the City of Colorado Springs to maintain. The sizing within this report will have to be verified upon the development of the Wolf Creek Ranch area. There is no defined land plan for Wolf Creek, which may result in different densities, zonings, or areas from what may be planned now. The final size and water quality capture volume must be verified upon future development.

A small drainage basin consisting of some residential and commercial development will follow historic drainage patterns and drain to an existing 48" RCP storm sewer that has been extended to the site as part of the residential development located to the west of Powers Boulevard. Sub-basin CF9 is 22.65 acres in size and will generate peak runoff rates of Q(5)=45.1 cfs and Q(100)=92.6 cfs. Per the *Final Drainage Report for Gatehouse Village at Briargate Filing No. 12*, the 48" RCP storm sewer can accept a maximum peak runoff rate of 125 cfs when this area

Sub-basins CF1 through CF7 consist of the fully developed Cordera master plan area totaling 140 acres in size. Peak runoff rates are Q(5)=153.4 cfs and Q(100)=444.2 cfs upstream of the proposed detention basin.

Sub-basins A-1 through A-10 consist of the predicted fully developed area for Wolf Creek Ranch totaling approximately 363 acres in size. The area will generate peak runoff rates of Q(5)=259.9 cfs and Q(100)=774.2 cfs at design point DPA. As with the historic conditions, coordination has occurred to determine the tributary area to design point DPA. Data for sub-basins A-1 through A-10 have been obtained from the analysis completed for the Wolf Ranch development.

The runoff from sub-basins CF1 through CF8 and A-1 through A-10 will be combined at design point C8 and enter proposed detention pond DF-1. Peak runoff rates into the pond at this point are Q(5)=385.5 cfs and Q(100)=1183.5 cfs. The peak release rates of the detention pond have been based upon the calculated historic runoff rates for the sub-basin so as not to overwhelm the existing down stream improvements. The peak release rates are Q(5)=48.1 cfs and Q(100)=362.7 cfs. Within the Cottonwood DBPS, a maximum of 901 cfs is allowed. The historic runoff rates have been maintained due to capacity issues at the next downstream detention pond in the Fairfax development and also because of the existing condition of the downstream channel. The channel from Powers Boulevard to Research Boulevard is currently in its historic state and suffering from erosion problems. By installing the proposed detention pond with the water quality capture volume, the downstream flow rates and flow frequency will be reduced helping to stabilize the downstream channel in its natural state.

E. Water Quality Capture Volume

The City of Colorado Springs has moved into the Phase II of providing water quality for new developments. The water quality capture volume required for this project will be combined with the proposed detention ponds. It should be noted that the previously listed required detention volumes do not include water quality volumes. The water quality capture volumes have included a 20% increase in the volume to account for sediment that will be trapped in the detention pond. The water quality portion of a detention pond will be the first portion of the pond to fill up (the lowest volume in the pond).

Extended Detention Basin (EDB) and Sedimentation Facility criteria will be used. The detention ponds will be "dry", requiring a 40-hour drain time for the water quality capture volumes. As part of this report, the required additional volumes have been calculated. The final outlet facility will be designed as part of the final construction drawings for the site.

For the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin, two conditions were evaluated – the fully developed conditions and interim conditions. See appendix. Upon full build out of the upstream tributary area, it is estimated that 11.2 acre-feet of volume will be required. The final volume will have to be verified once a firm site plan for the adjacent Wolf Creek Ranch is completed. The interim conditions for the development of Cordera Filing No. 1 will require 4.0 acre-feet of water quality capture volume.

For the Pine Creek Drainage Basin, the fully developed conditions will have two detention ponds constructed. The first pond will be located east of the intersection of Briargate Parkway and Powers Boulevard. This pond will ultimately require 5.0 acre-feet of storage. The second pond to be located east of the North Fork of Pine Creek and Powers Boulevard will require 2.4 acre-feet of storage. A third detention pond has been shown off-site of the Cordera development and will be sized by the future developer of the upstream area.

The interim conditions for Pine Creek will only require the one detention pond at Briargate Parkway and Powers Boulevard be constructed. The interim volume required will be 3.3 acrefeet of storage.



×





Drainage Basins

Prepared for: City of Colorado Springs, Colorado Engineering Division

On Behalf of: LP47, LLC dba La Plata Investments 1755 Telstar Drive, Suite 450 Colorado Springs, CO 80920



October 2007

06.104.070



established by the Kettle Creek DBPS. The table below summarizes the flowrates discharged into Kettle Creek under interim developed conditions and the allowable discharge rates.

Storm Event	Interim Devel	Allowable	
Storm Event	Peak Inflow (cfs)	Peak Outflow (cfs)	Discharge Rates
2-year	22.9 cfs	11.5 cfs	45 cfs
5-year	45.4 cfs	28.0 cfs	60 cfs
10-year	78.7 cfs	35.4 cfs	66 cfs
25-year	152.6 cfs	48.1 cfs	75 cfs
50-year	211.7 cfs	56.7 cfs	81 cfs
100-year	276.8 cfs	62.6 cfs	86 cfs

()	Table 4.4
Allowable	and Proposed Discharge Rates for Detention Facility

Sub-basin OK-12 consists of 26.76 acres of undeveloped land located in the northwestern portion of the site. The area generates runoff rates of Q(5) = 5.9 cfs and Q(100) = 39.5 cfs and are routed to Kettle Creek at historic rates via an existing 5' to 10' wide channel along Powers Boulevard.

C. Fully Developed Conditions

In anticipation of future development within the Cordera Master Plan area and its neighboring properties, fully developed conditions have been modeled to properly size all detention ponds and respective storm sewer systems within Cordera Filing No. 3. A development plan has not been created for the area north of the CSU gas main; however, a preliminary concept plan has been created. This preliminary concept plan proposes large, single-family lots and areas of open space. A sub-basin layout has been designed based upon this conceptual plan. In addition, the property to the northeast, known as Bradley Ranch, is assumed to be fully developed. The sub-basin descriptions within Filing No. 3 have not changed from the interim developed conditions; therefore, only design points within the Filing No. 3 area are discussed. The Fully Developed Drainage Map shows locations of the basins, while the Storm Sewer Exhibit shows the infrastructure proposed with the basin locations in the background.

Peak runoff rates for localized basin have been calculated using the SCS Method to size storm sewer laterals and inlets. The design points for the project exceed 100 acres in tributary area. A HEC-HMS model has been completed to determine the peak runoff rates at these points to size storm sewer infrastructure.

Pine Creek Drainage Basin (Fully Developed Conditions)

The development detains flow to a peak flow rate in accordance with the Pine Creek DBPS (Amendment 3, March 2003) and the MDDP for Cordera/BXE. Within the Pine Creek Drainage Basin, the detention pond and supporting storm sewer system has been designed to accommodate flows from all developed conditions. The detention pond has been placed in an open space area. Flows ultimately discharge into Pine Creek.

Sub-basin OP-1 consists of 12.28 acres of undeveloped area located at the northeastern corner of the Cordera property line. The peak flow rates of Q(5) = 1.9 cfs and Q(100) = 14.4 cfs are

directed to an existing 18 inch CMP located underneath Old Ranch Road. Runoff from this sub-basin is routed through sub-basin PP-1 to design point J21 (detention facility DF-7). In the event this area is developed, the peak 100-year runoff shall be limited to historic flows (Q(100) = 14.4 cfs). This requirement is consistent with the approved Pine Creek DBPS (Q(5) = 8 cfs and Q(100) = 15 cfs).

Sub-basins OP-2 and OP-3 encompasses 199.43 acres of the Bradley Ranch development. It is unknown at this time what kind of development will occur within these areas. As stated within the Pine Creek DBPS and MDDP for Cordera/BXE, detention is required for both of these sub-Runoff rates for the combined basins are restricted to Q(5) = 130 cfs and basins. Q(100) = 239 cfs per the DBPS. These runoff rates have been designated within the Pine Creek DBPS and MDDP for Cordera/BXE. The exact size and water quality requirements for the future pond(s) will be determined when the area is developed. For the purposes of this analysis, flows were reduced to the peak allowable and routed through a detention pond. If development occurs within the Bradley Ranch area prior to the construction of the downstream facilities by La Plata Investments LLC., Bradley Ranch shall discharge to the existing natural channel within sub-basin PP-1 at historic conditions. If development occurs within sub-basin PP-1 prior to the upstream development, care shall be taken to isolate flows. This item will be addressed in the final drainage report for the development of the area. Flows from these sub-basins, OP-6, and OP-7 are combined at design point J2 and routed through a future storm sewer system to design point J2.

The sequential numbering of sub-basins OP-4 and OP-5 have been skipped.

Sub-basin OP-6 comprises of 1.69 acres of the future extension of Milam Road. Runoff from this sub-basin travels south to a proposed sump inlet. Flows of Q(5) = 5.0 cfs and Q(100) = 9.4 cfs are routed through the above detention pond at design point J1.

Sub-basin OP-7 comprises of 1.66 acres of the future extension of Milam Road. Runoff from this sub-basin travels south to a proposed sump inlet. Flows of Q(5) = 5.0 cfs and Q(100) = 9.4 cfs are routed through the above detention pond at design point J1.

Sub-basin OP-8 comprises of 1.01 acres of the future extension of Milam Road. Runoff from this sub-basin travels south to a proposed inlet. Flows of Q(5) = 3.4 cfs and Q(100) = 6.3 cfs are routed through future storm sewer through sub-basin PP-10.

Sub-basin OP-9 comprises of 1.06 acres of the future extension of Milam Road. Runoff from this sub-basin travels north to a proposed inlet. Flows of Q(5) = 3.4 cfs and Q(100) = 6.3 cfs are routed through future storm sewer through sub-basin PP-10.

Please note that the current HEC-HMS model shows OP-6, OP-7, OP-8, and OP-9 discharging into PP-1. The model was not updated for this MDDP level as the changes to these basins were discovered at the last revision and did not significantly affect the results. These four basins will need to be modified with the final drainage report for this area, along with the changes that will occur due to the updated lot layout.

Design point J1 collects runoff from sub-basins OP-6 through OP-9 and design point J2; an area totaling 204.82 acres. Runoff rates of Q(5) = 38.3 cfs and Q(100) = 245.7 cfs are routed through a 54" RCP to detention facility DF-7 at design point J21.

The sequential numbering of sub-basin OP-10 has been skipped.

Sub-basin PP-1 consists of 56.51 acres of single-family development and open space within the future filing of Cordera. At this time, a development plan has not been created for any of the Cordera developments north of the exiting CSU gas main; however, a preliminary concept plan has been created. Major sub-basin boundaries have been established based upon the preliminary concept plan and discharge points have been identified to properly size and locate the proposed storm sewer within the limits of Cordera Filing 3. This sub-basin generates runoff rates of Q(5) = 40.1 cfs and Q(100) = 134.3 cfs. To allow some flexibility in the development of the future Cordera filing and to protect the downstream storm sewer system, a detention pond shall be constructed within sub-basin PP-1 at design point J21.

From the HMS model shown in the Appendix, sub-basins PP-1 through PP-9, PP-11, and PP-12 have been combined to form sub-basin PP-1 shown on the Fully Developed Drainage Map. The sub-basins created within the HMS model are based upon a preliminary concept plan for the future Cordera development north of the existing CSU gas main.

Design point J21 (design point P4 of the interim developed conditions) collects runoff from subbasins OP-1, PP-1 and design point J1; an area totaling 273.61 acres. Runoff rates of Q(5) = 71.5cfs and Q(100) = 363.9 cfs are collected by a future detention pond, referred to as Detention Facility DF-7, at this location. Detention Facility DF-7 discharges detained flowrates of Q(5) = 23.6 cfs and Q(100) = 236.0 cfs to a 54" RCP that will be extended from the Cordera Filing 3 area. Runoff from this design point is routed to design point J12. Further design considerations are discussed under part E, Detention and Water Quality, of Section IV.

Sub-basin PP-10 encompasses 8.91 acres of single-family development within the future Cordera development north of the existing CSU gas main. From the HMS model, sub-basins PP-10 and PP-13 are combined to form sub-basin PP-10 shown on the Fully Developed Drainage Map. The 30" RCP within Cordera Filing 3 (sub-basin PP-15) will be extended to sub-basin PP-10 to collect flowrates of Q(5) = 6.4 cfs and Q(100) = 21.7 cfs. These flows are routed through the 30" RCP to design point J11.

Design point J3 (Design point P8 of the interim developed conditions) collects runoff from subbasins PP-22 and PP-23 at rates of Q(5) = 5.6 cfs and Q(100) = 17.2 cfs and is routed through a proposed 24" RCP that connects to an existing 24" RCP within Union Boulevard. Flows from this pipe are routed to an existing detention pond located at the corner of Powers Boulevard and Briargate Parkway.

The sequential numbering of design points J4 through J10 have been skipped.





Amendment #1 to the FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT for "Cordera Filing No. 3A"

&

Amendment #1 to the MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN for Cordera Filing No. 3

&

FINAL DRAINGE REPORT for "Cordera Filing No. 3F"

Pine Creek Drainage Basin

Prepared for: City of Colorado Springs, Colorado Engineering Division

> On Behalf of: High Valley Land Co., Inc. 1755 Telstar Drive, Suite 211 Colorado Springs, CO 80920



2435 Research Parkway. Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 (719) 575-0100 fax (719) 572-0208

> October 2013 13.104.137





RICH,

We do need to get the fee issue resolved. So that the DBPS can be younded of the MODP approved. Has Nor wood decided how they want to proceed? Do they want me to set meeting with

Master Development Drainage Plan Wolf Ranch Development

City of Colorado Springs, Colorado

Prepared For:

Nor'wood Development Group 4065 Sinton Road Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80907

Prepared By:

Kiowa Engineering Corporation 1604 South 21st Street Colorado Springs, Colorado 80904

> Project Number 03094 July 2004 Revised November 2004 Revised February 15, 2005

They want

Does the Stromhling

Enterprise have.

any impact an

to proceed. ?

Dane

hm

9L

As shown on Figure 4 the "A" basins discharge to an existing concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard. The sub-watershed drains a total of .42 square miles at its outfall point along the west boundary of the development. Slopes along the major drainageway range from 3 to 5 percent. Soils are entirely hydrologic soil group B. There is a portion of sub-basin A-1 that lies offsite from Wolf Ranch. The offsite portion of subbasin A-1 is presently developed into large rural lots ranging in size from 5 to 40 acres. The watershed is well vegetated with native grasses. A small portion of sub-basin A-1 is forested.

The "B" basins discharge to an existing 54-inch reinforced concrete storm sewer that is within Research Parkway west of Powers Boulevard. The sub-waterahed drains a total of .15 square miles at its outfall point at Powers Boulevard. Slopes along the major drainageway range from 2 to 5 percent. Soils are entirely hydrologic soil group B. Subwatershed B lies entirely within Wolf Ranch. The watershed is well vegetated with native grasses.

The "C" basins discharge to an existing 72-inch reinforced concrete storm sewer that is under Powers Boulevard near the southwest corner of the property. The subwatershed drains a total of .25 square miles at its outfall point at Powers Boulevard. Slopes along the major drainageway range from 2 to 5 percent. Soils are predominantly hydrologic soil group B however a small portion of type A soil exists within sub-basin C-1. Sub-watershed C lies entirely within Wolf Ranch. Most of sub-basin C-2 lies within the Westcreek subdivision Filings 1 through 3. The watershed is well vegetated with native grasses.

The "D" basins discharge to Cottonwood Creek within an offsite property just upstream of Powers Boulevard. The sub-watershed drains a total of .36 square miles at its outfall point at Cottonwood Creek. Slopes along the major drainageway range from 2 to 5 percent. Soils are predominantly hydrologic soil group B. Sub-watershed D lies mostly within Wolf Ranch however sub-basin D-3 lies within the Goetsch property that lies south of Wolf Ranch. The watershed is well vegetated with native grasses. The major drainageway within sub-basin D-3 is stable and has no improvements such as grade controls or bank lining. The drainageway is poorly defined where it enters Cottonwood Creek.

The "E" basins discharge to the Cottonwood Creek drainageway through an offsite property just upstream of Powers Boulevard. The sub-watershed drains a total of .32 square miles at its outfall point at Cottonwood Creek. Slopes along the major drainageway range from 2 to 5 percent. Soils are entirely hydrologic soil group B.

7









Ê	ESIGN POINT DISCHARGES (cfs)							
v	POINT	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀					
7		6.0	11.9					
7		2.7	6.4					
1		0.9	1.7					
1		1.3	2.7					

	LEGEND
	SUB-BASIN DESIGNATION
10	SUB-BASIN AREA
	5-year runoff 100-year runoff
	DESIGN POINT
	DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY
	FLOW DIRECTION
	MYDRAULIC STRUCTURE IDENTIFIER
	PIPE IDENTIFIER



0+034 Fig2-deg/May 18, 2004

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT for "Cordera Filing No. 2A"

&

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN for "Cordera Filings 2A-2D"

Pine Creek Drainage Basin

Prepared for: City of Colorado Springs, Colorado Engineering Division

On Behalf of: LP47, LLC dba La Plata Investments 2315 Briargate Parkway, Suite 100 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

Prepared by:



2925 Professional Place, Suite 202 Colorado Springs, CO 80904 (719) 575-0100 fax (719) 572-0208

November 2005 Revised, April 2006

04.104.022





Interim	Condition -	HMS	inp	ut/	Hyd	rolo	gic	Results
			· · · ·	_				

Basin Name	Area (mi²)	Area (acres)	Lag Time	% Impervious	Weighted CN	5-yr Peak (cfs)	100-vr Peak (cfs)
OP1	0.0190	12.16	6.6	0	61	2.8	18.2
<u>OP10</u>	0.0050	3.20	3.9	95	98	9.9	16.9
OP11	0.0470	30.08	10.2	0	61	5.1	37.6
<u>OP12</u>	0.0050	3.20	5.7	72	88	7.1	14.4
OP2	0.1880	120.32	6.3	5	61	(16.7)	(129.6)
OP3	0.0980	62.72	5.5	0	61	9.9	74.4
OP5	0.0320	20.48	8.9	0	61	3.8	773
PP-10	0.0020	1.28	5.7	25	78	17	A 2
PP-11	0.0090	5.76	6.4	25	78	7.5	10 1
PP-20	0.0072	4.61	8,22	25	78	55	34 5
PP-21	0.0017	1.11	7.56	25	78	14	16
PP-22	0.0011	0.68	6.24	25	78	69	3.0
PP-23	0.0033	2.09	6.42	25	78	27	<u> </u>
PP-24	0.0210	13.44	6.7	25	78	17 2	44 3
PP-30	0.0067	4.30	8.1	25	78	5.2	13 5
PP-31	0.0035	2.27	7.44	25	78	28	
PP-32	0.0044	2.80	7.44	25	78	35	/.3
PP-34	0.0019	1.22	6.96	SS	78	1.5	
PP-34a	0.0004	0.26	2.7	85	98	0.8	
PP-35	0.0013	0.82	7.38	55	78	1	
PP-37	0.0010	0.64	3.1	85	98	2	2.1
PP-40	0.0053	3.37	7.2	25	78	<u> </u>	
PP-41	0.0006	0.39	7.26		78	7.4	
PP-42	0.0012	0.77	7.14	25	78	1	1.3
PP-43	0.0025	1.62	5.64	25	78		<u> </u>
PP-44	0.0013	0.80	7.26	25	78		
PP-45	0.0017	1.11	7.2	25	78	1.4	2.0
PP-46	0.0018	1.16	7.14	25	78	1.5	2.0
PP-47	0.0029	1.88	8.1	25	78	7.3	3.8
PP-50	0.0030	1.93	7.86	25	78	2.3	0
PP-51	0.0110	7.05	10.56		78	77	0.2
PP-55	0.0110	7.04	6.3	25	79		20.8
PP-56	0.0070	4.48	8.9	25	78	5.2	
PP-57	0.0110	7.04	6.6	25	78	2.2	12.9
PP-58	0.0020	1.28	S	85	98	3	23.3
PP-59	0.0040	2.56	8.4	25	78		8.0
PP-60	0.0040	2.56	5.7		78		<u> </u>
PP-61	0.0030	1.92	5	85	09	5.4	8./
PP-62	0.0080	5.12	5	25	78	3.3	10.2
				be and	10	/	1/./



Fully Developed Condition - HMS input/Hydrologic Results							
Basin Name	Area (mi*)	Area (acres)	Lag Time	% Impervious	Weighted CN	5-yr Peak (cfs)	100-ut Pask (cfc)
01	0.0190	12.16	6.6	0	61	2.8	12 7
0911	0.0090	3.20	3.9	95	98	9,9	16.9
0912	0.0050	30.08	10.2	0	61	5.1	37.6
092	0.1300	3.20	5.7	72	88	7.1	14.4
002	0.1200	76.80	6.3	5	61	20.5	(116.6)
ODE .	0.0320	90.88	5.5	0	51 .	28.3	144.1
OPE	0.0320	20.48	8.9	0	51	3.8	
0.07	0.0030	1.92	3.4	85	98	6	10.2
007	0.0030	1.92	3.4	85	98	6	10.2
010	0.0020	1.28	3	85	98	4	6.8
073	0.0020	1.28	3	85	98	4	6.8
PP-10	0.0120	7.68	8.5	20	68	4	15.7
00.11	0.0020	1.28	5.7	25	78	1.1	3.5
PD.115	0.0090	5.76	6.4	25	78	4.9	15.3
00.12	0.0020	1.28	3.2	20	98	4	5.8
PP-14	0.0110	7.04	6.1	20	70	5.2	17.4
PF-13	0.0060	5.12	6.5	20	70	3.7	17.4
PF*138	0.0050	3.84	5.7	20	70	2.9	9.6
PP-2	0.0070	4.48	8.5	20	68	2.4	9.0
PP-20	0.0072	4.61	8.22	25	78	5.5	14 5
PP-21	0.0017	1.11	7.56	25	78	1.4	26
PF-22	0.0011	0.68	6.24	25	78	0.9	3.0
PP-23	0.0033	2.09	6.42	25	78	2.7	<u> </u>
PF-24	0.0210	13.44	6.7	25	78	17.2	44.9
PP-3	0.0080	5.12	6.4	20	68	3.1	11.4
	0.0067	4.30	8.1	25	78	52	12.4
P-31	0.0035	2.27	7.44	25	78	28	13.0
19-32	0.0044	2.80	7.44	25	78	35	/.3
·P-34	0.0019	1.22	6.96	55	78	15	
·P-348	0.0004	0.26	2.7	85	98	0.8	
P-35	0.0013	0.82	7.38	55	78	1	
P-37	0.0010	0.64	3.1	85	98		
·P-4	0.0170	10.88	6.4	20	70	70	3.4
·P-40	0.0053	3.37	7.2	25	78		20.5
P-41	0.0006	0.39	7.26	25	78	0.5	11
P-42	0.0012	0.77	7.14	25	78		1.3
P-43	0.0025	1.62	5.64	25	78		4.5
P-44	0.0013	0.80	7.26	25	78	1	5.5
P-45	0.0017	1.11	7.2	25	78	14	2.6
Р-46	0.0018	1.16	7.14	25	78	1.5	3.b
P-47	0.0029	1.88	8.1	25	78	1.5	3.8
P-5	0.0040	2.56	6.1	20	70		6
P-50	0.0030	1.93	7.86	25	78	1.9	6.3
P-51	0.0110	7.06	10.56	25	70		6.2
P-55	0.0110	7.04	6.3	25	70	1.1	20.8
P-56	0.0070	4.48	8.9	25	70		18.8
P-57	0.0110	7.04	6.6	25	70	5.2	13,9
-58	0.0020	1.28	5	85		9	23.3
°-59	0.0040	2.56	8.4	25	78	4	6.8
-6	0.0100	6.40	6.6	20	70	5.1	
-60	0.0040	2.56	5.7		70	4.6	15.5
-61	0.0030	1.92	5		/8	3.4	8.7
-62	0.0080	5.12	 5	35	58	5.9	10.2
-7	0.0110	7.04	68	20		7	17.7
-8	0.0030	1.92	5.0		70	5	16.9
e	0.0030	1.92	57	20		1.4	4.8
			3.1	20	70	1.4	4.8

PP-1 OP1 PP-6 PP-7 🔥 **Junction-4** PP-11a PP-5 Reach-7 PP-8 PP-4 Junction-8 PP-42 Reach-8 Reach-5 Junction-10 PP-43 Reach-10 Junction-9 PP-44 PP-40 Junction-5 PP-2 **PP-41** PP-9 Reach-3 **PP-45** PP-13 Junction-21 PP-55 OP8 P-47 4 **PP-23 PP-21 PP-56** PP-22 Reach-12 Reach-1 PP-51 PP-50 PP-57 OP9 Junction-11 Junction-7 each-20 PP-58 PP-20 PP-3 **DP-46 DP-51B** Reach-15 PP-59 Ì **DP 51A** Junction-17 PP-60 Pond 5 PP-30 Reach-27 **PP-61** DP-51C WQP PP-35 Reach-18 PP-62 Reach-24 Reach-25 **PP-31** Reach-19 Reach-23 Reach-26 **DP-35** PP-32 Entanction-20 **OP12** 🗩 PP-34 Junction-19 Č+ PP-34a 🅌 PP-37 ÷ PP-24 Sink-3, **OP11**

FULLY DEVELOPED CONDITIONS HMS MODEL



Project: Cordera FLG 3 111706 Simulation Run: 100-yr Pine-PP

Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time: 01Jan2007, 00:00 02Jan2007, 00:00 17Jun2013, 12:17:56

Basin Model:Pine CreMeteorologic Model:Met 2Control Specifications:Control 3

Pine Creek-Flg A & F Met 2 Control 1

Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area	Poak Dischause		
	(mi ²)	(efa)	Time of Peak	Volume
00.24	DP-34 0.0205450			(in)
0.0385469		81.2	01Jan2007, 06:02	2,26
DD 540	0.0402281	84.9	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.27
DP-518	0.1084687	87.5	01Jan2007, 06:08	1 13
DP-51C	0.0402281	84.9	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.13
Junction-11	0.1084687	87.5	01Jan2007, 06:08	1 12
Junction-17	0.1084687	87.5	01Jan2007.06:08	1.13
Junction-18	0.1650968	28	01Jan2007, 06:36	1.13
Junction-19	0.1650968	28	01Jan2007 06:37	1.2/
Junction-20	0.2170968	50.2	01ian2007.06-04	1.2/
Junction-22	0.1650968	28	01 Jan 2007, 06:34	1.25
Junction-3	0.011	23.4	01/an2007,06:05	1.2/
Junction-7	0.098	74.3	01/272007,00:01	2.21
OP10	0.005	16.9	01/an2007,06:09	1.02
OP11	0.047	37.6	01/an2007, 06:00	4.16
OP12	0.005	14.4	011an2007, 06:05	1.02
OP3	0.098	74.4	01Jan2007, 06:01	3.1
Pond 5	0.1650968	71.7	01Jan2007, 06:06	1.02
PP-10	0.002	12	01Jan2007, 06:36	1.27
PP-11	0.009	4.5	01Jan2007, 06:01	2.21
PP-20	0.0072031	19.1	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.21
PP-23	0.0032656	14.5	01Jan2007, 06:03	2.21
PP-24	0.021	6.9	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.21
PP-30	0.0067199	44.3	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.21
PP-31	0.0025460	13.6	01Jan2007, 06:03	2.21
PP-32	0.004375	7.3	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.21
PP-34	0.004375	9	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.21
PP-34a	0.0004	4	01Jan2007, 06:02	2.21
11-0-70	0.0004	1.4	01Jan2007, 06:00	4.16


FILING 3A & 3F FINAL CONDITIONS HMS MODEL





DRAINAGE MAPS



BRADLEY RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN MAP AUGUST 2017

			<u>GEND</u>					
) CO.	200	PIPE RUN REFERENCE LABEL	BASIN DESIGNATION			_	EXISTIN BASIN	G MAJOR BOUNDAR
	A1	SURFACE DESIGN POINT	ACRES	25 .25 .35 C100			PHASE	LINE
		BASIN BOUNDARY		EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW				
	- — -(6920)— —	EXISTING CONTOUR	A777	EMERGENCY OVERFLOW DIRECTION				
	6920	PROPOSED CONTOUR		FLOW DIRECTION	H.P.	HIGH POIN	т	E
		STORM SEWER PIPE		FLARED END SECTION	AL.P.		г	
			· · · · >	PERIMETER SWALE	× 11	LOT NUMB	ER	
		INLE I		PROPERTY LINE				
	2.5%	STREET GRADE W/DIRECTION						CIVI



DESIGN POINT SUMMARY						
DESIGN POINT	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	BASIN, FB, PR	STRUCTURE		
A1	5.7	10.3	A	6' D-10-R SUMP INLET		
A2	10.1	25.7	B,C DPB2,DPB3	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
B1	15.6	86.4	J,K,0S2,0S3	CULVERT		
B2	3.5	12.2	L2 X1	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
B3	1.5	12.9	L4 X2	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
C1	4.6	9.9	D	10' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
C2	6.2	10.7	н	12' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
C3	3.3	10.6	G	12' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
C4	4.0	8.5	I DPC2,DPC3	12' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
C5	6.4	14.3	F DPC4	12' D-10-R SUMP INLET		
C6	5.1	14.0	D1,E DPC1	12' D-10-R SUMP INLET		
D2	3.5	20.3	02,0S5	CULVERT		
D3	3.9	12.9	N1,01	10' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D4	2.3	9.4	N DPD3	12' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D5	3.7	10.6	Р	12' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D6	8.6	12.6	Q	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D7	2.9	13.7	R	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D8	4.4	9.5	S	12' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D9	1.7	3.2	S1	6' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D10	10.8	24.8	T,V1 E7	16' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
D11	6.7	20.0	T1,X,X1,DPE8,DPD10	16' D-10-R SUMP INLET		
E10	6.6	14.1	Z,AA DPE9	16' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
E11	5.8	15.8	сс	16' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
E12	8.4	22.5	Y,Y1 DPE10,DPE11	16' D-10-R SUMP INLET		
X1	10.1	19.4	L1,U,U1,M,OS4 DPD4,DPD8	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		
X2	3.4	26.5	L3 DPD9	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET		

STORM SEWER SUMMARY

PIPE RUN	Q_5	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE SIZE
2	14.3	33.3	30" RCP
3	15.6	86.4	48" RCP
4	3.5	10.0	24" RCP
5	3.9	15.5	24" RCP
6	18.1	96.1	48" RCP
7	4.5	7.3	30" RCP
8.1	6.1	8.9	18" RCP
8.2	9.4	17.7	24" RCP
8.3	13.2	25.0	30" RCP
9	17.1	31.2	30" RCP
10	6.4	14.3	24" RCP
11	22.3	43.0	36" RCP
12	26.6	54.8	36" RCP
14	3.9	8.4	18" RCP
15	3.5	20.3	24" RCP
16	7.4	27.6	36" RCP
17	10.9	48.8	36" RCP
19	3.7	8.8	18" RCP
20	16.0	63.3	42" RCP
21	8.2	10.6	18" RCP
22	10.9	20.8	24" RCP
23	25.6	81.2	42" RCP
24	4.4	7.8	18" RCP
25	1.7	2.6	18" RCP
26	6.0	10.3	18" RCP
27.1	30.4	89.4	48" RCP
27.2	10.4	17.0	24" RCP
27.3	39.1	103.2	48" RCP
28	6.7	20.0	24" RCP
29	43.4	117.2	48" RCP
42	6.6	12.3	24" RCP
43	5.8	13.2	24" RCP
44	17.0	34.6	30" RCP
45	34.6	100.2	48" RCP
46	8.4	22.5	24" RCP
47	41.3	118.1	48" RCP
54	9.6	14.4	24" RCP
55	12.3	27.3	30" RCP
56	28.4	148.9	48" RCP
57	7.2	41.5	42" RCP
58	21.2	107.4	42" RCP

POND 1 FULL SPECTRUM DETENTION BASIN DATA

WQ WATER SURFACE EL=7131.28 WQ VOLUME=2.264 AC-FT EURV WATER SURFACE EL=7132.81 EURV VOLUME=5.820 AC-FT 100-YR WATER SURFACE EL=7137.77 SPILLWAY CREST EL=7136.22 TOP OF EMBANKMENT EL=7140.00 100-YR VOLUME=15.280 AC-FT 100-YR VOLUME=15.280 AC-FT 100-YR INFLOW=412.6 CFS 100-YR RELEASE=148.9 CFS

NOTE:

ALL CURB AND GUTTER ARE TYPE 5 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

BASIN SUMMARY						
BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q_5	Q ₁₀₀			
А	1.53	5.7	10.3			
В	4.32	9.7	19.8			
С	2.32	2.5	7.1			
СС	2.91	5.8	12.4			
D	2.26	4.6	9.9			
D1	0.57	1.2	2.6			
E	1.72	3.8	8.1			
F	3.01	6.4	13.9			
G	1.72	3.3	7.2			
GG	3.14	6.3	14.7			
н	3.56	6.2	14.1			
НН	7.41	3.0	17.5			
	1.87	4.0	8.5			
J	3.06	2.7	8.6			
К	1.64	0.6	3.8			
L1	1.15	2.6	5.6			
L2	1.61	3.6	7.8			
L3	1.50	3.4	7.2			
L4	0.51	1.6	3.1			
М	3.01	3.1	9.7			
N	2.22	2.6	7.8			
N1	4.01	3.7	12.0			
02	0.63	0.3	1.5			
Р	1.69	3.7	8.0			
Q	4.96	8.6	19.5			
R	1.31	2.9	6.2			
S	2.01	4.4	9.5			
S1	0.50	1.7	3.2			
Т	2.84	6.0	13.2			
T1	1.42	2.8	5.9			
U	2.80	4.1	10.3			
U1	1.16	2.3	5.4			
Х	1.71	3.6	7.6			
X1	0.88	2.1	4.4			
Y	3.99	6.8	15.1			
Y1	1.26	2.7	5.7			
Z	2.13	3.6	8.1			
OS1	0.98	0.4	2.4			
0S2	47.03	10.2	59.2			
0S3	16.17	5.1	29.8			
OS4	0.63	0.3	1.8			
0S5	6.81	3.2	18.6			

20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485

BRADLEY RANCH

MDDP	° MA	P (PROP	OSED	CONDIT	IONS)
PROJECT NO. 43	3–093	SCALE:	DATE:	06/16/201	7
DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:	CN CN DM	1"=100' VERTICAL: N/A	SHEE	T 1 OF 2	D1



FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987

<u>LEGEND</u>

PIPE RUN REFERENCE LABEL BASIN DESIGNATION

200



- — -(6920)— —
6920
_



Scale in Feet

SURFACE DESIGN POINT	ACRES ~	25 .25 .35 C100
		EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARR
BASIN BOUNDARY		EMERGENCY OVERFLOW DIRECTI
EXISTING CONTOUR	</td <td></td>	
PROPOSED CONTOUR	-	PROP FLOW DIRECTION
PROP STORM SEWER PIPE	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	PROP FLARED END SECTION
PROP CROSSPAN	· · · · -	PROP PERIMETER SWALE
PROP INLET		PROPERTY LINE
	11	LOT NUMBER
EXISTING MAJOR DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY LINE	2.5%	STREET GRADE W/DIRECTION
PHASE LINE	H.P. X	HIGH POINT
	L.P. X	LOW POINT
DESIG	N POINT SUM	MARY

DESIGN POINT	Q_5	Q ₁₀₀	BASIN, FB, PR	STRUCTURE
D1	3.9	22.5	0,0S6	CULVERT
E1	4.9	28.4	KK,0S7	CULVERT
E2	3.0	13.7	JJ3	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
E3	6.1	13.2	JJ,JJ1,JJ2	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
E4	0.9	5.5	LL1	CULVERT
E5	0.6	3.6	LL2	CULVERT
E6	13.6	54.1	MM PR33,PR34,PR35	CULVERT
E7	5.3	12.1	V DPF1,DPE2,DPE3	16' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
E8	2.7	11.9	X2,X3 DPF2	16' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
E9	5.5	13.4	BB	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
F1	6.0	10.1	W1,W2	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
F2	3.1	12.4	W	14' D-10-R @ GRADE INLET
F3	4.6	10.2	DD	8' D-10-R SUMP INLET
F4	3.5	9.9	DD1,EE,OS10	8' D-10-R SUMP INLET
P2	22.9	79.5	FF,0S11,PR51,PR52,PR53,	OUTLET STRUCTURE

STORM SEWER SUMMARY

PIPE RUN	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE SIZE
13	3.9	22.5	24" RCP
30	4.9	28.4	30" RCP
30	4.9	28.4	30" RCP
31	3.0	11.5	24" RCP
32	9.0	22.4	24" RCP
33	13.5	49.9	36" RCP
34	0.9	5.5	18" RCP
35	0.6	3.6	18" RCP
36	13.6	54.1	36" RCP
37	5.3	11.2	24" RCP
38	2.7	11.1	24" RCP
39	7.9	22.2	36" RCP
40	19.7	70.8	42" RCP
41	5.5	11.0	18" RCP
48	6.0	9.3	18" RCP
49	9.0	18.9	24" RCP
50	12.9	27.6	30" RCP
51	16.3	37.3	30" RCP
52	4.3	23.9	30" RCP
53	1.2	7.1	18" RCP
55	12.3	27.3	30" RCP
59	3.4	31.9	30" RCP

11,F FS[PR51,PR52,PI D POND 2	₹53,	OUTLET STRUCTURE			
		BAS	UMMARY			
	BASIN	AI (AC	REA CRES)	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	
	AA	2	2.16	3.7	8.3	
	BB	3	.88	5.5	13.4	
	СС	2	2.91	5.8	12.4	
	DD	2	.73	4.6	11.2	
	DD1	С	.86	1.4	3.4	
	EE	1	.28	1.9	4.9	
	FF	2	5.17	1.8	8.5	
	JJ	1	.83	2.2	7.2	
	JJ1	2	.23	2.0	6.8	
	JJ2	2	.23	2.5	7.8	
	JJ3	1	.57	3.0	6.8	
	КК	Ċ	.35	0.2	0.9	
	LL1	2	2.11	0.9	5.5	
	LL2	1	.33	0.6	3.6	
	ММ	1	.54	0.8	4.1	
	MM1	C	.72	0.6	2.3	
	MM2	c).13	0.1	0.4	
	N1		4.01	3.7	12.0	
	NN	c).15	0.1	0.4	
	0	1	.76	0.8	4.7	
	01	С	.39	0.2	1.1	
	02	C	.63	0.3	1.5	
	Р	1	.69	3.7	8.0	
	Q	4	.96	8.6	19.5	
	R	1	.31	2.9	6.2	
	S	2	2.01	4.4	9.5	
	S1	C	.50	1.7	3.2	
	Т	2	84	6.0	13.2	
	V	2	.75	5.4	11.9	
	V1	C	.53	1.4	2.9	
	W	1	.18	3.1	6.5	
	W1	С	.47	0.7	1.9	
	W2	3	6.42	5.5	13.6	
	X1	0	.88	2.1	4.4	
	X2	C	.74	1.7	3.7	
	Х3	0	0.51	1.3	2.7	
	Z	2	2.13	3.6	8.1	
	0S5	6	5.81	3.2	18.6	
	OS6	7	.99	3.3	19.3	
	0S7	1	1.84	4.8	27.6	
	0S8	10	D.69	3.8	22.3	
	0S9	3	.32	1.3	7.5	
	0S10	C	.22	0.1	0.6	
	0S11	2	.07	0.9	5.2	

POND 2 FULL SPECTRUM DETENTION BASIN DATA

WQ WATER SURFACE EL=7226.09 WQ VOLUME=0.303 AC-FT EURV WATER SURFACE EL=7226.78 EURV VOLUME=0.688 AC-FT 100-YR WATER SURFACE EL=7229.34 SPILLWAY CREST EL=7228.44 TOP OF EMBANKMENT EL=7230.68 100-YR VOLUME=2.111 AC-FT 100-YR INFLOW=79.5 CFS 100-YR RELEASE=31.9 CFS

> NOTE: ALL CURB AND GUTTER ARE TYPE 5 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

CONSULTANTS, INC.

20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485	١
	PROJEC

BRADLEY RANCH

MDDP MAP (PROPOSED CONDITIONS)				
PROJECT NO. 43	3–093	SCALE:	DATE: 06/16/2017	
DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:	CN CN DM	1"=100' VERTICAL: N/A	SHEET 2 OF 2	D2

FUTURE WOLF RANCH



<u>LEGEND</u>

BASIN DESIGNATION

/2A`



EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW

SURFACE DESIGN POINT

EXISTING BASIN BOUNDARY

MAJOR BASIN BOUNDARY

DESIGN	POINT SU	MMARY
DESIGN POINT	Q5	Q100
1	10.4	60.5
2	5.1	29.8
3	22.3	129.3
4	0.3	1.8
5	3.1	17.8
6	7.9	45.9
7	1.7	9.9
8	2.1	12.4
9	2.9	17.0
10	6.5	37.6
11	2.1	12.0
12	0.9	5.4
13	3.2	18.6
14	3.3	19.3
15	7.3	42.6
16	9.3	53.7
17	2.0	11.5
18	5.2	30.0
19	6.1	35.6
20	4.0	23.0
20A	3.2	18.8
21	6.5	37.9
22	1.2	6.8
23A	2.5	14.5
23	6.4	37.1
24	7.2	41.6
25	1.0	5.6
26	7.2	41.5

BASIN S	UMMARY	
AREA		
(ACRES)	Q5	Q100
16.2	5.0	28.8
4.8	2.1	12.4
9.2	2.9	17.0
5.5	2.1	12.0
2.5	0.9	5.3
3.7	1.7	9.9
8.5	2.9	16.6
2.4	0.9	5.4
13.0	4.6	26.7
8.7	2.9	17.0
9.7	3.5	20.1
4.8	1.8	10.3
4.9	2.0	11.5
15.5	4.8	27.8
2.9	1.2	6.8
7.4	2.5	14.6
48.1	10.4	60.5
16.2	5.1	29.8
0.6	0.3	1.8
8.0	3.3	19.3
6.8	3.2	18.6
11.8	4.8	27.6
8.8	3.2	18.8
7.5	2.5	14.5
2.6	1.0	5.6
	BASIN S AREA (ACRES) 16.2 4.8 9.2 5.5 2.5 3.7 8.5 2.4 13.0 8.7 9.7 4.8 4.9 15.5 2.9 7.4 48.1 16.2 0.6 8.0 6.8 11.8 8.8 7.5 2.6	BASIN SUMMARYAREA (ACRES)Q516.25.04.82.19.22.95.52.12.50.93.71.78.52.92.40.913.04.68.72.99.73.54.81.84.92.015.54.82.91.27.42.548.110.416.25.10.60.38.03.36.83.211.84.88.83.27.52.52.61.0

	and the second second			2
		- (A.S.)		(
	an de la companya de			P
And and a second				
	hand	-		
		E		
	- Presidentia			
CON	VSUL	TANT.	S, INC	-

20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 11
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 8090
PHONE: 719.955.5485

BRADLEY RANCH MDDP MAP (EXISTING CONDITIONS) PROJECT NO. 43-093 FILE: \dwg\Eng Exhibits\EDM (New).dwg DATE: 08/29/2017 DESIGNED BY: SCALE DM DRAWN BY: CMN Checked by: DM HORIZ: 1"=180' EDM SHEET 1 OF 1 VERT: N/A