# AMENDMENT TO MDDP for COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS and FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT for COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS FILINGS NO. 1 & 2

Colorado Springs, Colorado

May 10, 2022

Prepared for:

### Goodwin Knight

8605 Explorer Drive, Ste 250 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 Contact: Bryan Kniep (719) 598-5192

Prepared by:

### Drexel, Barrell & Co.

3 South 7th Street Colorado Springs, CO 80905 Contact: Tim McConnell, P.E. (719) 260-0887

Project #: 21369-00CSCV

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS	1
2.0	PURPOSE	1
3.0	GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION	1
4.0	DRAINAGE CRITERIA	2
5.0	EXISTING CONDITION	2
6.0	DEVELOPED CONDITION	4
7.0	PROPOSED DETENTION FACILITIES 1	11
8.0	FOUR-STEP PROCESS 1	11
9.0	DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	12
10.0	CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE	13
11.0	SUMMARY 1	14
12.0	REFERENCES 1	15

# **APPENDICES**

VICINITY MAP SOILS MAP FLOODPLAIN MAP HYDROLOGY CALCULATIONS CHANNEL DESIGN REPORT VARIANCE LETTER DRAINAGE MAP

## AMENDMENT TO MDDP FOR COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS AND

### FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS FILINGS NO. 1 & 2

### **1.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS**

#### **Engineer's Statement**

This report and plan for the drainage design of <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights Filings No.</u> <u>1 & 2</u> was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said report and plan has been prepared in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual and is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

SIGNATURE (Affix Seal):

For and on behalf of Drexel, Barrell & Co Tim D. McConnell, P.E. #33797

#### Developer's Statement

<u>Goodwin Knight</u> hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for <u>Cottages at Woodmen</u> <u>Heights Filings No. 1 & 2</u> shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that are submitted to the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to section 7.7.906 of the City Code; and cannot, on behalf of <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights Filings No. 1 & 2</u> guarantee that the final drainage design review will absolve <u>Goodwin Knight</u> and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

Authorized Signature Bryan Kniep Goodwin Knight <u>5/10/22</u> Date

Date

### **City of Colorado Springs Statement**

Filed in accordance with Section 7.7.906 of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs, 2001, as amended.

2022/05/20

For City Engineer Conditions: Date

## AMENDMENT TO MDDP FOR COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS AND

### FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS FILINGS NO. 1 & 2

# 2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this MDDP and Final Drainage Report for Cottages at Woodmen Heights Filings No. 1 & 2 is to identify the existing and proposed runoff patterns and drainage facilities required for the proposed development, and to present the ability to safely route developed storm water to adequate outfalls. The previous project number for the Amendment to the MDDP is STM-REV21-1574. It was never brought to final approval, however all review comments have been addressed within the body of this report. The MDDP was approved by the City on 8/3/20.

# 3.0 GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

### <u>Location</u>

Cottages at Woodmen Heights is an approximate 38.44 acre property located in the northeast quarter of Section 8, Township 13 South, Range 67 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> Principal Meridian in the County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The overall development is to include some disturbed area along Woodmen Road, described later in Section 8.0, which brings the total development area to approximately 40.08 acres. The site is located south of Adventure Way, which is just south of E. Woodmen Rd. Adventure Way ends to the east at the entrance to the proposed site. The site is bounded to the west by an unplatted property owned by Vantage Homes, to the north by Adventure Way, to the east by an unplatted property owned by Woodmen Road Metropolitan District, and to the south by Tract C of Indigo Ranch at Stetson Ridge Filing No. 15 and by a residential property (Lot 1 Longenecker Subdivision).

## <u>Soils</u>

According to the Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado, prepared by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NCRS), the site is underlain by Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolis. This soil is classified as hydrological soil group A. Runoff coefficients corresponding to group A were used for the purposes of the site drainage analysis.

### <u>Climate</u>

This area of El Paso County can be described as the foothills, with total precipitation amounts typical of a semi-arid region. Winters are generally cold and dry, and summers relatively warm and dry. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 14 inches per year, with the majority of this moisture occurring in the spring and summer in the form of rainfall. Thunderstorms are common during the summer months.

### Floodplain Statement

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel 08041C0533G (December 7, 2018), the east portion of the site lies within a designated 100-year floodplain. This portion of the site will be left undeveloped, all new development will take place outside of the 100-year floodplain.

# 4.0 DRAINAGE CRITERIA

The drainage analysis has been prepared in accordance with the current City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol 1 and the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual. Calculations were performed to determine runoff quantities during the 5 year and 100 year frequency storms for historic and developed conditions using the Rational Method as required for basins containing less than 100 acres.

# 5.0 EXISTING CONDITION

The existing site contains some large concrete areas, a small building and parking lot and a couple of sheds. The remainder of the site is undeveloped and covered with native vegetation that consists mostly of grasses as well as some shrubs. The site generally slopes from north to south at approximately 2-4%. The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. See Existing Conditions Map in Appendix.

The Rational Method was used to determine runoff quantities for the 5- and 100-year storm recurrence intervals. See below for a summary runoff table.

BASIN	AREA (AC)	% IMPERV	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)	DP	AREA (AC)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
OS1	16.80	65%	4.7	22.0	OS1	16.80	4.7	22.0
OS2	2.69	27%	3.7	11.0	OS2	2.69	3.7	11.0
OS3	2.58	55%	6.2	14.0	OS3	5.27	9.3	23.5
OS4	3.18	48%	6.8	16.1	OS4	8.45	15.5	38.2
OS5	0.62	55%	1.6	3.6	OS5	0.62	1.6	3.6
OS6	0.32	41%	0.6	1.6	OS6	0.32	0.6	1.6
OS7	0.62	37%	1.3	3.3	OS7	0.62	1.3	3.3
1	17.52	0%	7.3	41.0	1	43.39	33.7	96.8
2	8.36	44%	12.4	30.3	2	8.68	10.5	25.7
3	8.45	1%	3.9	20.6	3	9.07	4.0	19.6
4	1.03	0%	0.4	2.4	4	1.03	0.4	2.4
5	3.19	0%	1.4	7.7	5	3.19	1.4	7.7

# **Rational Method Runoff Summary**

Basin OS1 is located north of Woodmen Rd. across from the project site. The flows from

this site sheet flow at approximately 2% slope to the private streets and are captured by a private detention pond, where the flows are then slowly released into a private 18" RCP storm pipe that then routes to a public 54" RCP storm pipe that goes under Woodmen Rd and discharges onto the project site. These flows were found in the report "Amendment to Woodmen Heights Business Park MDDP and Final Report for the Pines at Forest Meadows Filing Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6" by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., March 2017.

Basin OS2 is located north of Woodmen Rd. across from the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 1.5% slope across undeveloped land into an existing public inlet at Woodmen Rd. The flows for this basin were calculated using the Rational Method.

Basin OS3 is located in the median of Woodmen Rd. The flows from this basin flow at approximately 0.8% slope across pasture/meadow to an existing public inlet, where the flows from OS2 combine with OS3. The flows for this basin were calculated using the Rational Method.

DP-OS3 is located at the existing public inlet in Basin OS3. The flows leave this inlet via an existing public 30" RCP storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins OS2 and OS3.

Basin OS4 is located at the south (eastbound) portion of Woodmen Rd. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 5.2% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt to an existing public inlet, where the flows from OS3 combine with OS4. The flows leave this inlet via an existing public 30" RCP storm pipe where it then discharges onto the project site. The flows for this basin were calculated using the Rational Method.

DP-OS4 is located at the existing public inlet in Basin OS4. The flows leave this inlet via an existing public 30" RCP storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins OS2, OS3 and OS4.

Basin OS5 is located south of Woodmen Rd., but north of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 3.3% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt onto the project site. The flows for this basin were calculated using the Rational Method.

Basin OS6 is located south of Woodmen Rd., but north of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 3.3% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt onto the project site. The flows for this basin were calculated using the Rational Method.

Basin OS7 is located at the south (eastbound) portion of Woodmen Rd. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 7.6% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt onto the project site. The flows for this basin were calculated using the Rational Method.

Basin 1 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.5% slope across pasture/meadow into a drainage way that exits the site at the south end.

DP-1 is located at the south end of the project site and represents the flows from Basins OS1 through OS5 and Basin 1 leaving the site to the south into the drainage way that

then goes on to join Sand Creek approximately 615' south of DP-1.

Basin 2 is located at the center of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.1% slope across pasture/meadow and concrete before leaving the site at the south end.

DP-2 is located at the south end of the project site and represents the flows from Basin OS6 and Basin 2 leaving the site to the south into Sand Creek.

Basin 3 is located at the east end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.8% slope across mostly pasture/meadow and into Sand Creek.

DP-3 is located in Basin 3 and represents the flows from Basin OS7 and Basin 3 that flow into Sand Creek.

Basin 4 is located at the southwest corner of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.2% slope across pasture/meadow before leaving the site at the south end.

Basin 5 is located at the south end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.8% slope across pasture/meadow before leaving the site at the south end.

# 6.0 DEVELOPED CONDITION

The proposed site will consist of townhome units, associated parking, drive aisles and landscaping. Flows from existing properties to the north will be passed through the site and discharged into the existing drainage way. Basin 24 is largely in the 100-year floodplain and will remain undeveloped. There will be two proposed Extended Detention Basins on site. The first is a smaller EDB to the west that will capture flows from Basins 1 through 4. The second is to the south and will capture flows from Basins 5 through 20, which is the majority of the proposed site. The flows from Basins 21 through 24 will not be captured and treated. Basins 21 & 22 will be graded, but will be reseeded and no impervious area will be added. Basins 23 & 24 will remain primarily undeveloped with native vegetation left in place and also no impervious area will be added. See Proposed Conditions Map in Appendix.

The Rational Method was used to determine runoff quantities for the 5- and 100-year storm recurrence intervals.

Rational	Method	Runoff	Summary
----------	--------	--------	---------

BASIN	AREA (AC)	% IMPERV	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
1	0.41	56%	1.2	2.7
2	1.36	44%	2.7	6.7
3	1.64	51%	3.6	8.4
4	0.25	0%	0.2	1.1
5	1.94	95%	8.0	14.6
6	1.15	65%	2.7	6.0
7	0.43	65%	1.0	2.3
8	0.31	65%	0.8	1.7
9	0.84	65%	1.9	4.1
10	0.76	65%	1.6	3.6
11	0.41	65%	0.9	2.1
12	1.59	65%	2.9	6.5
13	1.03	65%	2.0	4.5
14	1.16	65%	2.2	4.8
15	1.46	65%	2.6	5.9
16	1.39	65%	3.1	6.9
17	0.58	65%	1.1	2.4
18	1.61	65%	3.0	6.6
19	7.38	65%	15.9	35.4
20	1.19	0%	0.8	4.7
21	0.87	0%	0.6	3.1
22	1.15	0%	0.6	3.5
23	3.59	0%	2.0	11.5
24	7.58	0%	4.0	22.5

DP	AREA (AC)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
1	0.41	1.2	2.7
2	1.77	3.7	8.9
3	3.41	7.2	17.1
4	3.66	7.4	17.9
5	1.94	8.0	14.6
6	1.15	2.7	6.0
J1	3.09	10.1	19.5
7	0.43	1.0	2.3
8	0.31	0.8	1.7
9	1.15	2.5	5.7
J2	4.67	13.0	26.2
10	0.76	1.6	3.6
J3	5.43	14.3	29.1
11	0.41	0.9	2.1
J4	5.84	15.0	30.6
12	1.59	2.9	6.5
13	2.62	4.7	10.5
14	1.16	2.2	4.8
15	2.62	4.8	10.6
J5	11.08	22.0	46.8
16	1.39	3.1	6.9
J6	12.47	24.1	51.5
17	13.05	25.0	53.6
18	1.61	3.0	6.6
19	7.38	15.9	35.4
J7	8.99	16.6	36.9
20	23.23	41.4	92.1
SP		0.6	16.9
OS1-4	25.25	20.2	60.2
21	26.12	20.8	63.3
22	27.27	21.4	66.8
J8	30.93	21.4	69.3
23	57.75	24.1	97.7
24	7.58	4.0	22.5

Basin 1 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow

at approximately 4.2% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt.

DP-1 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 1. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 1.

Basin 2 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.9% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt.

DP-2 is located at the proposed private Type C area inlet in Basin 2. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 1 and 2.

Basin 3 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 2.0% slope across pasture/meadow and asphalt.

DP-3 is located at the proposed private Type C area inlet in Basin 3. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe and discharges into the west Extended Detention Basin. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 1 through 3.

Basin 4 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin sheet flow at approximately 4.8% slope across pasture/meadow.

DP-4 is located at the bottom of the proposed private Extended Detention Basin in Basin 4. This EDB captures all of the flows from Basins 1 through 4. This pond has been sized using UD-Detention spreadsheet, which can be found in the Appendix. This EDB will have a private outlet structure that will release the WQCV volume in 40 hours and the EURV volume in 72 hours into the drainage channel to the east of the pond. This channel joins Sand Creek approximately 840' to the south.

Basin 5 is located at the north end of the project site. The layout of this basin has not yet been determined, but it will be used as a commercial property. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 3.5% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-5 is located at the proposed public sump 10' Type R inlet in Basin 5. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed public 24" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 5.

Basin 6 is located at the north end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 4.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-6 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 6. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 6.

DP-J1 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 10. The flows leave this manhole via a proposed private 24" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 and 6.

Basin 7 is located at the north end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 9.2% slope across asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-7 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 7. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 7.

Basin 8 is located at the north end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-8 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 8. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 8.

Basin 9 is located at the north end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-9 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 9. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 8 and 9.

DP-J2 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 10. The flows leave this manhole via a proposed private 30" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 9.

Basin 10 is located at the center of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-10 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 10. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 10.

DP-J3 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 10. The flows leave this manhole via a proposed private 30" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 10.

Basin 11 is located at the center of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 3.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-11 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 11. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 11.

DP-J4 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 11. The flows leave this manhole via a proposed private 30" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 11.

Basin 12 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-12 is located at the proposed private at-grade 5' Type R inlet in Basin 12. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 12.

Basin 13 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-13 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 13. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 12 and 13.

Basin 14 is located in the center of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-14 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 14. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 14.

Basin 15 is located in the center of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-15 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 15. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 14 and 15.

DP-J5 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 16. The flows leave this manhole via a proposed private 53"x34" elliptical storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 15.

Basin 16 is located at the south end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-16 is located at the proposed private sump 5' Type R inlet in Basin 16. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 18" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 16.

DP-J6 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 16. The flows leave this manhole via a proposed private 53"x349" elliptical storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 16. This elliptical pipe is necessary for clearances with other utility crossings.

Basin 17 is located at the south end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 1.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-17 is located at the proposed private Type D area inlet in Basin 17. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 36" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 17.

Basin 18 is located at the south end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow

at approximately 1.0% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-18 is located at the crosspan into the south EDB in Basin 20. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 18.

Basin 19 is located at the east end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across roofs, asphalt and landscaped areas.

DP-19 is located at the crosspan into the south EDB in Basin 20. This design point captures all of the flows from Basin 19.

DP-J7 is located at the crosspan into the south EDB in Basin 20. This design point captures the total flows from Basins 18 and 19.

Basin 20 is located at the south end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 10.0% slope across pasture/meadow.

DP-20 is located at the bottom of the proposed private Extended Detention Basin in Basin 20. This EDB captures all of the flows from Basins 5 through 20. This pond has been sized using UD-Detention spreadsheet, which can be found in the Appendix. This EDB will have a private outlet structure that will release the WQCV volume in 40 hours and the EURV volume in 72 hours into the drainage channel to the west of the pond. This channel joins Sand Creek to the south.

DP-SP represents the flows being released from the outlet structure in the south pond.

DP-OS1-4 is located at the north end of the site where the offsite flows are picked up by the proposed private storm pipe that will bypass the flows through the site and discharge into the drainage channel. This design point represents the flows from offsite basins OS1 through OS4. The flows for Basin OS-1 were found in the report "Amendment to Woodmen Heights Business Park MDDP and Final Report for the Pines at Forest Meadows Filing Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6" by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., March 2017. The flows for Basins OS-2 through OS-4 were calculated using the Rational Method.

Basin 21 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 4.0% slope across pasture/meadow.

DP-21 is located at the proposed private Type D area inlet in Basin 21. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 36" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins OS1-4 and 21.

Basin 22 is located at the west end of the project site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.0% slope across pasture/meadow.

DP-22 is located at the proposed private Type D area inlet in Basin 22. The flows leave this inlet via a proposed private 36" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins OS1-4, 21 and 22.

DP-J8 is located at the proposed private manhole in Basin 23. The flows leave this

manhole via a proposed private 36" storm pipe. This design point captures all of the flows from Basins OS1-4, 21, 22 and the west pond outlet structure release.

Basin 23 is includes half of the south end of the site. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 3.5% slope across pasture/meadow eventually into the drainage ways, leaving the site to the south.

DP-23 represents the flows leaving the majority of the proposed site at below historical levels via an existing drainage channel which joins the main stem of Sand Creek further to the south. It includes the flows from offsite properties to the north of Woodmen Road, discharges from the two proposed on-site ponds as well as the flows from undeveloped Basin 23. There is an existing private 30" CMP culvert with headwall offsite to the south that goes under a private driveway for the property at 7595 California Drive. DP-23 shows  $Q_5=23.8 \text{ cfs}$  and  $Q_{100}=91.3 \text{ cfs}$  leaving the site. The culvert has a capacity of 38 cfs to the shoulder of road elevation (See Appendix for Chart) and therefore meets the requirement of handling the 5-year (minor) developed flows.

In addition to meeting the minor storm capacity requirements, this driveway culvert was installed prior to the development of the Forest Meadows/Woodmen Heights development to the north of this project site. The drainage channel that reaches this driveway crossing used to run far to the north of Woodmen Road and collect a much larger tributary area as noted by the culverts crossing Woodmen Road and discharging into the noted channel. When Woodmen Road was improved to 4 lanes, the County installed culverts consisting of a 30" RCP and a 54" RCP that discharge into the existing channel and eventually flow to the noted driveway culvert. Since the construction of the current 4 lane Woodmen Road, most of the runoff from Forest Meadows/Woodmen Road and the only flows entering our site from north of Woodmen Road now come from the small pond at the east end of the Pines at Forest Meadows development with a release rate of only  $Q_{100}$ =22 cfs. This combined with the Cottages at Woodmen Heights releasing at or below historical flow levels means any flows reaching the indicated downstream driveway culvert will see reduced flows from historic conditions.

Basin 24 is undeveloped and is located at the east end of the site and half of the south end of the site adjacent to the main stem of Sand Creek. The flows from this basin will flow at approximately 2.5% slope across pasture/meadow eventually into Sand Creek, leaving the site to the south. As part of this project a proposed grade control structure and a proposed drop structure are being constructed in Sand Creek. The approved full Channel Design Report (STM-REV21-1560) and the Variance Letter (STM-REV21-0760) will be added to the appendix once approved.

DP-24 is located at the southeast corner of the site where Sand Creek exits the site. This design point represents all of the flows from Basin 24.

The only requested variance for this project is for the Sand Creek Drainage channel improvements (STM-REV21-0760).

# 7.0 PROPOSED DETENTION FACILITIES

The proposed west on-site detention is a proposed full-spectrum Extended Detention System located at the west end of the project site. The basins contributing to the storm runoff volume are Basins 1 through 4 for a total area of 3.66 acres at 45.4% imperviousness. The required volume when using the watershed area for 100-yr detention is 0.304 acre-feet. It will capture then release the flows at a reduced flow rate into a proposed 18" private pipe, which discharges to the east into a drainage channel which then flows into Sand Creek. 24" thick Type M riprap will be placed at the outfall, which is more than required. A weir plate and an orifice plate restricts the flows to release the WQCV over a 40-hour period. In the case of a large storm event, the emergency overflow routing for the pond would be over the spillway on the east side of the pond and then into the drainage channel which carry the flows to the south.

The proposed south on-site detention is a proposed full-spectrum Extended Detention System located at the south end of the project site. The basins contributing to the storm runoff volume are Basins 5 through 20 for a total area of 22.08 acres at 63.9% imperviousness. The required volume when using the watershed area for 100-yr detention is 2.607 acre-feet. It will capture then release the flows at a reduced flow rate into a proposed 18" private pipe, which discharges to the west into a drainage channel which then flows into Sand Creek. 24" thick Type M riprap will be placed at the outfall. A weir plate and an orifice plate restricts the flows to release the WQCV over a 40-hour period. In the case of a large storm event, the emergency overflow routing for the pond would be over the spillway on the west side of the pond and then into the drainage channel which carry the flows to the south. Basins 5, 6, 12 & 19 extend to the north beyond the property boundary. These areas are being developed as part of this project and are included in the Rational Method calculations included in the Appendix.

Sizing calculations are provided in the appendix for the on-site extended detention basins. All calculations meet the criteria from the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Vol. 2.

The flows from Basins 21 through 24 will not be captured and treated. Basins 21 & 22 will be graded, but will be reseeded and no impervious area will be added. Basins 23 & 24 will remain primarily undeveloped with native vegetation left in place and also no impervious area will be added. The flows from these basins will not change from existing conditions.

Private maintenance agreements and O&M manuals will be established for the two detention systems as required by the City. Both EDB's will be privately owned and maintained by the Cottages at Woodmen Heights Homeowners Association.

# 8.0 FOUR-STEP PROCESS

This project conforms to the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Four Step Process. The process focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls.

- 1. **Employ Runoff Reduction Practices:** Proposed impervious areas on this site (roofs, asphalt/sidewalk) will sheet flow across landscaped ground as much as possible to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets and storm sewer system. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.
- 2. Implement BMP's that provide a Water Quality Capture Volume with slow release: Runoff from this project will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV in two permanent Extended Detention Basin facilities designed per current City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County drainage criteria.
- 3. **Stabilize Drainage Ways:** Flows from the EDB's are released into the drainage ways that eventually feed into Sand Creek. The release rates are below historical rates. An analysis of the channel has been completed and it has been found that a grade control structure and a drop structure will be required to improve the channel. All new and re-development projects are required to construct or participate in the funding of channel stabilization measures. Drainage basin fees paid, at the time of platting, go towards channel stabilization within the drainage basin.
- 4. Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMP's: A site specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be submitted and approved by El Paso County Engineering prior to any disturbance within the project area. Details such as site specific source control construction BMP's as well as permanent BMP's will be detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters.

# 9.0 DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

Cottages at Woodmen Heights is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Drainage fees will be due at plat recordation. 2022 Drainage, Bridge and Pond fees are estimated as follows:

Filing 1: Drainage fee/acre	\$20,160	x 27.27 ac =	\$549,763.20
Filing 2: Drainage fee/acre	\$20,160	x 12.17 ac =	\$245,347.20
Overall: Drainage fee/acre	\$20,160	x 38.44 ac =	\$774,950.40

# **10.0 CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE**

Private (Non-Reimbursable)								
Description	Quantity		Unit Cost		Cost			
Type C Area Inlet	3	ΕA	\$4,800	/EA	\$14,400			
Type D Area Inlet	2	ΕA	\$5,930	/EA	\$11,860			
5' Type R Inlet	12	ΕA	\$5,700	/EA	\$68,400			
Type II Manhole	9	ΕA	\$5,000	/EA	\$45,000			
18" RCP storm	1911	LF	\$67	/LF	\$128,037			
24" RCP storm	238	LF	\$81	/LF	\$19,278			
30" RCP storm	382	LF	\$200	/LF	\$76,400			
36" RCP storm	1152	LF	\$124	/LF	\$142,848			
45"x29" ellip. Stm	283	LF	\$186	/LF	\$52,638			
18" FES	1	ΕA	\$402	/EA	\$402			
36" FES	1	ΕA	\$744	/EA	\$744			
West EDB	1	ΕA	\$35,000	/EA	\$35,000			
South EDB	1	EA	\$75,000	/EA	\$75,000			

Subtotal \$670,007 Contingency (10%) <u>\$67,001</u>

TOTAL \$737,008

# Public (Non-Reimbursable)

Description	Quantity	y Unit Cost	Cost
Type C Area Inlet	1 EA	\$4,800 /EA	\$4,800
10' Type R Inlet	1 EA	\$7,894 /EA	\$7,894
Type I Manhole	1 EA	\$7,000 /EA	\$7,000
Type II Manhole	1 EA	\$5,000 /EA	\$5,000
24" RCP storm	103 LF	\$81 /LF	\$8,311
30" RCP storm	293 LF	\$200 /LF	\$58,640
36" RCP storm	22 LF	\$124 /LF	\$2,778

 Subtotal
 \$94,422

 Contingency (10%)
 \$9,442

TOTAL \$103,864

# Sand Creek Drop Structure & Grade Control per DBPS

Public (Reimbursable)

Description	Qı	Jantity	Unit Co	ost	Cost
Clearing And Grubbing	1	LS	\$10,000	/EA	\$10,000
Removal Of Fence	84	LF	\$30	/LF	\$2,520
Unclassified Excavation With Offsite Disposal	750	CY	\$150	/CY	\$112,500
Unclassified Excavation (Complete In Place)	300	CY	\$100	/CY	\$30,000
Potholing	8	HR	\$500	/HR	\$4,000
8" Type II Granular Bedding	78	CY	\$100	/CY	\$7,800
Topsoil	62	CY	\$100	/CY	\$6,200
Stockpile Wetland Topsoil	40	CY	\$150	/CY	\$6,000
Sediment Control Log (9 Inch)	350	LF	\$20	/LF	\$7,000
Concrete Washout Structure	1	EA	\$3,500	/EA	\$3,500
Water Control	1	LS	\$40,000	/LS	\$40,000
Seeding (Native Uplands Seed Mix)	0.11	ACRE	\$7,000	/ACRE	\$770
Mulching (Hydraulic)	0.11	ACRE	\$7,000	/ACRE	\$770
Soil Retention Blanket (Coconut)	208	SY	\$10	/SY	\$2,080
Grouted Boulders (B24)	153	CY	\$500	/CY	\$76,500
Soil Riprap (Vh, D50=12")	232	CY	\$300	/CY	\$69,600
Cutoff Wall (Concrete/Grout In Trench)	60	CY	\$1,500	/CY	\$90,000
Sanitation Facility	1	EA	\$3,500	/EA	\$3,500
Mobilization	1	LS	\$50,000	/LS	\$50,000

Subtotal \$522,740 Cntgency (10%) \$52,274

TOTAL \$575,014

# 11.0 SUMMARY

The Cottages at Woodmen Heights project has been designed in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs criteria. The Extended Detention Basins have been designed to limit the release of storm runoff and is now less than the existing conditions. This development will not negatively impact the downstream and surrounding developments.

# **12.0 REFERENCES**

The sources of information used in the development of this study are listed below:

- 1. City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 & 2, 2014, revised January 2021.
- 2. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manuals, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. June 2001, Revised October 2019.
- 3. Amendment to Woodmen Heights Business Park MDDP and Final Report for the Pines at Forest Meadows, Filing Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6, by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., March 2017.
- 4. MDDP Drainage Report for Cottages at Woodmen Heights, by Drexel Barrell & Co., July 2020.
- 5. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey
- 6. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Rate Map, El Paso County, Colorado, Effective Date December 7, 2018

APPENDIX





**Conservation Service** 

National Cooperative Soil Survey

Page 1 of 4



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

# Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
9	Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls	A	43.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	43.0	100.0%	

# Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

# **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### NOTES TO USERS

#### This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It doe not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooring, particularly from local draining sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted to possible underline or additional flood barrard information.

content outpaties to associat non-instant intermetation in the second based based on the second based on the SML second based on the SML second based based on the second based based on the SML second based based on the SML second based based based based on the SML second based bas

Coastal Bars Flood Elevations show on the management. Coastal Bars Flood Elevations show on the man payle showed of 0.0° North American Verteil Damm of 1988 (RAXOBS). Users of this RHB boold he asian that coastal for developm are and to provide in the Summary of Bilande Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report to this pandedon. Bevalots how in the Summary of Silabate Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the developm american the RHB.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regarch to neglicitements of the National Rood Insurance Phogram. Floodway widhs and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Dudy report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the properties of this map was Universal Transverse Memory (UTD) zone 13. The horizontal dataset was NAD3. GRAD sylhows: production of PMMA for adjoint provided in the product provide the second product provide the second of the PMMA.

Production control mag an information to the North American Versitial Dataward effect (Network) and the State State State and State (Network) and the State State and State St

NGS Information Services NGAA, NNOS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, et/022 115 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noas.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso Courty, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

and the control and a cool. The provide a stream schemat configurations and fibrodysis definitiations that how an the provide APM for the junction. The schematic definitiation and the schematic double provide the schematic double the schematic double control in these schematics double provide control and the schematic double control in these schematics double provide control and the schematic double control in the schematic double provide control and the schematic double control in the schematic double control and discrete to other throw schematic hybrid control and the schematic double discrete the other throw schematic hybrid control and the schematic double discrete the other throw schematic hybrid control and the schematic double discrete the other throw schematic hybrid control and the schematic double discrete the other throw schematic hybrid control and the schematic double discrete the other throw schematic hybrid control and the schematic discrete the schematic and the schematic and the schematic discrete the schematic and the schematic and throws the schematic discrete the schematic and the schematic discrete the schemat

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred wher this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map plants, community map repository addresses, and a large of Community addressing National Plocation Planarose Program delete for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Constant FERM Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMM Map Information elicitange (MIO) 1437-05227 for information on available provides associated with the FRML Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, articol insurance Suby Happer, among one of the map. The MSC margation the mesched by Fax at 1-000-308-0420 and its website at http://www.msc.fmar.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FENA MAP (1-877-336-3627) or vait the FENA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/hfp.

#### El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Dec

Ploofing Source Other (R)
HERRIN 10 BECTION 3.3 OF THE BL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY
FOR STREAM OF STREAM VERTICAL DATIVE COUNTRICKS INFORMATION

Panel Location Map

![](_page_23_Figure_19.jpeg)

This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Energency Management Anexor (FIRM).

![](_page_23_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_22.jpeg)

The Via area drawn flow (101 r the try hymtoxic control FUGOU that live a Via charge draw (101 r the try hymtoxic control FUGOU that live a Via charge of being totaled or exceeded in any given yeer. The Special Root Instand Area is the unres subject to thording by the Via Armauli charge Root. Areas of Special Root Instant include Zhines A. A.E. AH, AJ, AH, AH, Via AVI. The Base Root Special Root Instant include Zhines A.A.E. AH, AJ, AH, AH, Via AVI. The Base Root Special Root Instant include Zhines A.A.E. AH, AJ, AH, AH, Via AVI. The Base Root ZONE A No Base Flood Bevations determined. ZONE AE Base Rood Bevations determined. ZONE AH Flood neghts of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Relations determined. smotoors accorning. Pload depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrein); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined. ZONE AD Special Plood Hacard Area Former's protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decentified. Zone All indicates that the former flood control system is being restand to provide protection from the 'Us annual chance or greater flood. ZONE AR ZONE A99 Area to be protected from 2% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations allocations 20MEV Could find zing with which haust (were attar); to find find Deathrand Americal. 20HE VE Gastal fload some with velacity hazard (vare action); Base Hand Devations determined. FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE The foodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent flootplain areas that must be legit their of excendment so that the UN annual chance floot can be carried without substantial increases in fluid heights. OTHER FLOOD AREAS ZONE X Areas of 5.2% areas character fixed; areas of 2% areas character fixed with average depths of less than 1 fixet or with dearage areas less than 1 square rule; and areas protected by lowes from 1% areas fload. OTHER AREAS 2040 X Areas intervined to be subsite the L2% arrows charge fixedplan. 2040 D Areas in which fixed hazards are undetermined, but posible. COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (DPAs) CIRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Sp ------ Resigner brunders \_\_\_\_ Rober bundary Jone 5 Bundary Jone 5 Boundary
CBRS and OPA Soundary Pood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities. (EL 987) Base Flood Devision value where uniform within zone; deviation in feet\* \* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 2568 (NAVD 88) (A)-----(A) Cross section line 21-----23 Tenet ine ST-07 30.07 Geographic stondheles referenced to the Narth American 37 27 50.07 Geover of 1982 (NAD 82) -1000 meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid 50%, print 13 1000-feat get fable. Colorado Date Rein festero, centrel anne (19152042 (1923), Cantero Conformal Canto Anapolan DX5510 Bench mark (see expleration in Notes to Dans section of Disc PDM panel) . M1.5 ALC: THE MAP REPOSITORIES Refer to Map Repositories Sci or Map Index EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWEE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE WAP WARCH 17, 1987 EFFECTIVE DATE(5) OF REVISIONES) TO DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to optime organize inter, to characterized from the set of the set For community mag revision history prior to countywelle mapping, refer to the G Mag History faible worked in the Princi Insurance Study report for this production To determine if fixed insurance is available in this community, centext your insurance spirit in call the flattonal Road Insurance Program at 1-805-628-6620. MAP SCALE 1" = SOU 250 8 500 1000 EEEEE FF (R.F.P PANEL 0533G M FIRM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS PANEL 533 OF 1300 ISEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT contents. NAMES INC. DATE CONSISTS 1.000109881.077.000 00 1 NACODAY 000 00 1 riagi priloris. The Community Number of the search of tractations applications for the MAP NUMBER 08041C0533G MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018 Federal Emergency Management Agency

LEGEND SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFMAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

<b>PROJECT INF</b>	ORMATION							- O-
PROJECT:	Cottages at Wo	oodmen H	eights					
PROJECT NO:	21369-00							
DESIGN BY:	SBN						Drexel	, Barrell & Co.
REV. BY:	TDM							
	City of Colorad	to Springs						
REPURITIPE:	FINAI							
Soil Type: A	11/17/2021							
				C2*	C5*	C10*	C100*	% IMPERV
Pasture/Meadow					0.15		0.50	0
Roofs					0.73		0.81	90
1/8 ac residential					0.49		0.65	65
Asphalt/Sidewalk					0.90		0.96	100
-								
*C-Values and Basin Impe	erviousness based on Tal	ble 6-6, City of	Colorado Springs	"Drainage Criteria	Manual"			
EXISTING								
SUB-BASIN	SURFACE DESIG	SNATION	AREA	COMPOSITI	E RUNOFF CO	EFFICIENTS		% IMPERV
			ACRE	C2	C5	C10	C100	
OS2	Pasture/Meadow		1.97		0.15		0.50	0
	Roofs		0.00		0.73		0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential		0.00	_	0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk		0.72		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE	2.60		0.35		0.62	27%
101AL 052			2.69					
OS3	Pasture/Meadow		1.16		0.15		0.50	0
	Roofs		0.00		0.73		0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential		0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk		1.42		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE			0.56		0.75	55%
TOTAL OS3			2.58					
004	Deets we (Meetaless		4.04		0.45		0.50	0
054	Pasture/Meadow		1.64		0.15		0.50	0
	ROOIS		0.00		0.73		0.65	90
	Asphalt/Sidewalk		1.5/		0.49		0.05	100
		RAGE	1.04		0.50		0.30	48%
TOTAL OS4		U.OL	3.18				0.12	1070
			00					
OS5	Pasture/Meadow		0.28		0.15		0.50	0
	Roofs		0.00		0.73		0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential		0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk		0.34		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE			0.56		0.75	55%
TOTAL OS5			0.62					
086	Pasture/Meadow		0.10		0.15		0.50	0
030	Roofs		0.19		0.13		0.30	90
	1/8 ac residential		0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk		0.13		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE	0.10		0.45		0.69	41%
TOTAL OS6			0.32					

OS7	Pasture/Meadow	0.39	0.15	0.50	0
	Roofs	0.00	0.73	0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.23	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.43	0.67	37%
TOTAL OS7		0.62			
	1 Pasture/Meadow	17.52	0.15	0.50	0
	Roofs	0.00	0.73	0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 1		17.52			
	2 Pasture/Meadow	4.66	0.15	0.50	0
	Roofs	0.00	0.73	0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	3.70	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.48	0.70	44%
TOTAL 2		8.36			
	3 Pasture/Meadow	8.33	0.15	0.50	0
	Roofs	0.03	0.73	0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.09	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.16	0.51	1%
TOTAL 3		8.45			
	4 Pasture/Meadow	1.03	0.15	0.50	0
	Roofs	0.00	0.73	0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 4		1.03			
	5 Pasture/Meadow	3.19	0.15	0.50	0
	Roofs	0.00	0.73	0.81	90
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 5		3.19			
TOTAL SITE		48.56	0.28	0.58	16.9%

PROJECT INFORMATION	
PROJECT:	Cottages at Woodmen Heights
PROJECT NO:	21369-00
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	City of Colorado Springs
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	11/17/2021

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF EXISTING TIME OF CONCENTRATION STANDARD FORM SF-2

	5	SUB-BASI	N		INITIAL/OVERLAND					TRAVEL	TIME			TIME OF CONC.		FINAL
		DATA				TIME (t <sub>i</sub> )				(t <sub>t</sub> )				t <sub>c</sub>	t <sub>c</sub>	
BASIN	DESIGN PT:	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	AREA	LENGTH	HT	SLOPE	ti	LENGTH	HT	SLOPE	VEL.	t,	COMP.	MINIMUM	
				Ac	Ft	FT	%	Min	Ft	FT	%	FPS	Min	t <sub>c</sub>	t <sub>c</sub>	Min
OS2	OS2	0.35	0.62	2.69	105	4	3.8	9.2	425	4.5	1.1	3.3	2.2	11.4	5	11.4
OS3		0.56	0.75	2.58	35	0.7	2.0	4.7	1290	10	0.8	5.2	4.1	8.8	5	8.8
	OS3	0.45	0.69	5.27					85	0.6	0.7	5.7	0.2	11.6	5	11.6
OS4		0.51	0.72	3.18	40	0.8	2.0	5.5	1290	10	0.8	5.2	4.1	9.6	5	9.6
	OS4	0.48	0.70	8.45					75	0.7	0.9	6.4	0.2	11.8	5	11.8
OS5	OS5	0.56	0.75	0.62	120	4	3.3	7.4						7.4	5	7.4
OS6	OS6	0.45	0.69	0.32	120	4	3.3	8.8						8.8	5	8.8
OS7	OS7	0.43	0.67	0.62	105	8	7.6	6.5						6.5	5	6.5
1		0.15	0.50	17.52	300	13	4.3	18.9	1170	23	2.0	4.39	4.4	23.3	5	23.3
	1	0.35	0.60	43.39										35.1	5	35.1
2		0.48	0.70	8.36	300	7	2.3	15.1	1135	24	2.1	4.50	4.2	19.3	5	19.3
	2	0.48	0.70	8.68										28.1	5	28.1
3		0.16	0.51	8.45	300	9	3.0	21.1	295	8	2.7	5.10	1.0	22.1	5	22.1
	3	0.18	0.52	9.07										28.6	5	28.6
4		0.15	0.50	1.03	300	7	2.3	23.2	275	6	2.2	4.60	1.0	24.2	5	24.2
5		0.15	0.50	3.19	300	9	3.0	21.3	235	6	2.6	5.00	0.8	22.1	5	22.1

PROJECT:	Cottages at Woodmen Heights
PROJECT NO:	21369-00
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	City of Colorado Springs
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	11/17/2021

#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF

EXISTING	RUNOFF	5	YR STOR		P1=	1.50		
			DIRECT RUNC	DFF				
BASIN (S)	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	EA RUNOFF COEFF t <sub>c</sub> (MIN)		C * A	I (IN/HR)	Q (CFS)	
OS1	OS1	16.80	0.49				4.7	
OS2	OS2	2.69	0.35	11.4	0.94	3.90	3.7	
OS3		2.58	0.56	8.8	1.45	4.30	6.2	
	OS3	5.27	0.45	11.6	2.40	3.87	9.3	
OS4		3.18	0.51	9.6	1.63	4.16	6.8	
	OS4	8.45	0.48	11.8	4.03	3.84	15.5	
OS5	OS5	0.62	0.56	7.4	0.35	4.56	1.6	
OS6	OS6	0.32	0.45	8.8	0.15	4.29	0.6	
OS7	OS7	0.62	0.43	6.5	0.27	4.74	1.3	
1		17.52	0.15	23.3	2.63	2.78	7.3	
	1	43.39	0.35	35.1	15.24	2.21	33.7	
2		8.36	0.48	19.3	4.03	3.07	12.4	
	2	8.68	0.48	28.1	4.17	2.51	10.5	
3		8.45	0.16	22.1	1.35	2.86	3.9	
	3	9.07	0.18	28.6	1.62	2.49	4.0	
4	4	1.03	0.15	24.2	0.15	2.73	0.4	
5	5	3.19	0.15	22.1	0.48	2.86	1.4	

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

Drexel, Barrell & Co.

PROJECT:	Cottages at Woodmen Heights
PROJECT NO:	21369-00
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	City of Colorado Springs
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	11/17/2021

#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF

EXISTING	RUNOFF	10	0 YR STOF		P1=	2.52	
			DIRECT RUNG	DFF			
BASIN (S)	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFF	t <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	C * A	I (IN/HR)	Q (CFS)
OS1	OS1	16.80	0.65				22.0
OS2	OS2	2.69	0.62	11.4	1.68	6.55	11.0
OS3		2.58	0.75	8.8	1.94	7.22	14.0
	OS3	5.27	0.69	11.6	3.62	6.50	23.5
OS4		3.18	0.72	9.6	2.30	6.99	16.1
	OS4	8.45	0.70	11.8	5.92	6.45	38.2
OS5	OS5	0.62	0.75	7.4	0.47	7.67	3.6
OS6	OS6	0.32	0.69	8.8	0.22	7.21	1.6
OS7	OS7	0.62	0.67	6.5	0.42	7.96	3.3
1		17.52	0.50	23.3	8.76	4.68	41.0
	1	43.39	0.60	35.1	26.06	3.71	96.8
2		8.36	0.70	19.3	5.88	5.16	30.3
	2	8.68	0.70	28.1	6.10	4.22	25.7
3		8.45	0.51	22.1	4.28	4.81	20.6
	3	9.07	0.52	28.6	4.69	4.18	19.6
4	4	1.03	0.50	24.2	0.52	4.58	2.4
5	5	3.19	0.50	22.1	1.60	4.81	7.7

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

Drexel, Barrell & Co.

PROJECT INF	ORMATIO	N						-0-
PROJECT:	Cottages at	Woodmen H	eights					
PROJECT NO:	21369-00		0					
DESIGN BY:	SBN						Drexe	I, Barrell & Co.
REV. BY:	TDM							
AGENCY:	City of Colo	rado Springs						
REPORT TYPE:	Final							
DATE:	4/12/2022	1						
Soil Type: A						<b>.</b>		
				C2*	C5*	C10*	C100*	% IMPERV
Pasture/Meadow					0.15		0.50	0
1/8 ac residential					0.49		0.65	65
Asphalt/Sidewalk					0.90		0.96	100
Roofs					0.73		0.81	90
<b>Commercial Areas</b>					0.81		0.88	95
*C-Values and Basin Imper	rviousness based o	on Table 6-6, City of	Colorado Spring	gs "Drainage Criteri	a Manual"			
PROPOSED								
SUB-BASIN	SURFACE DE	SIGNATION	AREA	COMPOSITE	RUNOFF CO	EFFICIENTS		% IMPERV
			ACRE	C2	C5	C10	C100	
1	Pasture/Meade	ow	0.18		0.15		0.50	0
	1/8 ac resident	tial	0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.23		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTEDA	VERAGE	0.41		0.57		0.76	50%
			0.41					
2	Pasture/Meado	ow	0.76		0.15		0.50	0
	1/8 ac resident	tial	0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.60		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.48		0.70	44%
TOTAL 2			1.36					
3	Pasture/Meade	OW	0.80		0.15		0.50	0
	1/8 ac resident	tial	0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.84		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.53		0.74	51%
TOTAL 3			1.64					
					<del>.</del>			
4	Pasture/Mead	OW	0.25	-	0.15		0.50	0
	1/8 ac resident	tial	0.00		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidew		0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTEDA	VERAGE	0.25		0.15		0.50	0%
IUIAL 4			0.20					
5	Pasture/Mead	ow	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	Commercial A	reas	1.94		0.81		0.88	95
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.81		0.88	95%
TOTAL 5			1.94					
6	Pasture/Meade	OW	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	1/8 ac resident	tial	1.15		0.49		0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.49		0.65	65%
TOTAL 6			1.15					
	Dooture /Mar -		0.00		0.45		0.50	0
<i>(</i>	1/8 oo rooida	UW tiol	0.00		0.15		0.50	
	Asphalt/Sidow	uai alk	0.43		0.49		0.00	100
			0.00		0.90		0.90	65%
τοται 7	A	LIVIUL	0.43		0.49		0.00	00 /0
			0.10					

8	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.31	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 8		0.31			
9	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.84	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 9		0.84			
10	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.76	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 10		0.76			
11	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.41	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 11		0.41			
12	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	1.59	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 12		1.59			
13	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	1.03	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 13		1.03			
		0.00	0.45	0.50	
14	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	1.16	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	1 40	0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 14		1.16			
45	Deature (Maradam	0.00	0.45	0.50	
15	Pasture/meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	1.40	0.49	0.00	00
		0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	1.40	0.49	0.00	00%
TOTAL 15		1.40			
46	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
10	1/8 ac residential	1 30	0.10	0.00	65
	Asphalt/Sidowalk	0.00	0.49	0.00	100
		0.00	0.30	0.90	65%
τοται 16		1 20	0.49	0.00	03/0
		1.38			
17	Pasture/Meadow/	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.58	0.13	0.50	65
	Asnhalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.43	20.0 AD N	100
		0.00	0.30	0.30	65%
TOTAL 17		0.50	0.43	0.00	0070
		0.00			
	Desture /M	0.00	0.45	0.50	
18	Pasture/Ivieadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	
	1/8 ac residential	1.61	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 18		1 61			
		1.01			
		1			1

	1				
19	Pasture/Meadow	0.00	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	7.38	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVEF	RAGE	0.49	0.65	65%
TOTAL 19		7.38			
20	Pasture/Meadow	1.19	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVEF	RAGE	0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 20		1.19			
21	Pasture/Meadow	0.87	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE	0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 21		0.87			
22	Pasture/Meadow	1.15	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE	0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 22		1.15		0.00	
23	Pasture/Meadow	3.59	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE	0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 23		3 59			
		0.00			
24	Pasture/Meadow	7.58	0.15	0.50	0
	1/8 ac residential	0.00	0.49	0.65	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE	0.15	0.50	0%
TOTAL 24		7.58			
TOTAL DEVELOP	ED AREA	40.08	s 0.38	0.61	41.4%
WEST POND		3.66	0.49	0.71	45.6%
SOUTH POND		23.2	3 0.50	0.66	64.2%
1					

PROJECT:	Cottages at Woodmen Heights
PROJECT NO:	21369-00
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	City of Colorado Springs
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	4/12/2022

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF PROPOSED TIME OF CONCENTRATION STANDARD FORM SF-2

	ę	SUB-BASII DATA	N			INITIAL/O	VERLAND	)	TRAVEL TIME (t <sub>i</sub> )				PIPE TRAVEL TIME (t <sub>p</sub> )				TIME OF CONC. t <sub>c</sub>		FINAL t <sub>c</sub>	
BASIN	DESIGN PT:	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	AREA	LENGTH	HT	SLOPE	ti	LENGTH	HT	SLOPE	VEL.	t	LENGTH	SLOPE	VEL.	t,	COMP.	MINIMUM	
				Ac	Ft	FT	%	Min	Ft	FT	%	FPS	Min	Ft	%	FPS	Min	ι <sub>c</sub>	ι <sub>c</sub>	Min
1	1	0.57	0.76	0.41	75	4	5.3	4.9	90	3	3.3	10.6	0.1					5.0	5	5.0
2		0.48	0.70	1.36	100	3	3.0	8.0	430	12	2.8	5.2	1.4					9.4	5	9.4
	2	0.50	0.72	1.77										390	9.0	14.5	0.4	9.4	5	9.4
3		0.53	0.74	1.64	95	2	2.1	8.0	455	8	1.8	4.2	1.8					9.9	5	9.9
	3	0.52	0.73	3.41										455	8.0	13.7	0.6	10.0	5	10.0
4		0.15	0.50	0.25	25	2	8.0	4.4	100	4	4.0	6.2	0.3					4.7	5	5.0
	4	0.49	0.71	3.66										55	5.0	13.1	0.1	10.0	5	10.0
5	5	0.81	0.88	1.94	100	5	5.0	3.2	160	4	2.5	4.9	0.5					3.7	5	5.0
6	6	0.49	0.65	1.15	100	7	7.0	6.0	305	9	3.0	10.1	0.5					6.5	5	6.5
	J1	0.69	0.79	3.09										20	1.0	4.8	0.1	6.5	5	6.5
7	7	0.49	0.65	0.43	100	9	9.0	5.5	115	3	2.6	9.4	0.2					5.7	5	5.7
8	8	0.49	0.65	0.31	60	3	5.0	5.2	200	3	1.5	7.2	0.5					5.6	5	5.6
9		0.49	0.65	0.84	100	4	4.0	7.2	205	4	2.0	8.3	0.4					7.6	5	7.6
	9	0.49	0.65	1.15										240	1.0	4.8	0.8	7.6	5	7.6
	J2	0.62	0.75	4.67										75	1.0	4.8	0.3	7.9	5	7.9
10	10	0.49	0.65	0.76	100	3	3.0	7.9	240	5	2.1	8.5	0.5					8.4	5	8.4
	J3	0.60	0.73	5.43					105					20	1.0	4.8	0.1	8.4	5	8.4
11	11	0.49	0.65	0.41	100	5	5.0	6.7	165	3	1.8	7.8	0.4	405	1.0	F 05		7.0	5	7.0
10	J4	0.60	0.73	5.84	400	4	10	44.4	740	40	0.7	0.0	10	135	1.0	5.85	0.4	8.8	5	8.8
12	12	0.49	0.65	1.59	100	1	1.0	11.4	/10	19	2.7	9.6	1.2					12.6	5	12.6
13	40	0.49	0.65	1.03	100	2	2.0	9.1	360	6	1./	4.0	1.5	400	4.0	4.00	0.0	10.5	5	10.5
14	13	0.49	0.05	2.02	100	4	10	11.1	255	10	2.0	0.0	0.0	180	1.0	4.83	0.0	13.3	5	13.3
14	14	0.49	0.05	1.10	100	1	1.0	11.4	300	10	2.8	9.8	0.0					12.0	5	12.0
10	15	0.49	0.05	1.40	100	1	1.0	11.4	395	ð	2.0	4.4	1.5	100	10	1 0 2	0.7	12.9	5	12.9
	15	0.49	0.00	2.02										190	1.0	4.00	0.7	12.9	5	12.9
16	JD 16	0.55	0.65	1 20	100	5	5.0	67	205	4	12	67	0.0	40	1.0	4.03	0.1	7.4	5	13.4
10	10	0.49	0.05	12.09	100	5	5.0	0.7	305	4	1.0	0.7	0.0	200	10	6 70	0.5	13.0	5	12.0
17	50	0.04	0.03	0.58	100	1	10	11 /	/10	5	12	6.4	11	200	1.0	0.75	0.5	12.5	5	12.5
- 17	17	0.43	0.05	13.05	100	1	1.0	11.4	410	J	1.2	0.4	1.1	85	3.0	11 76	01	14.0	5	14.0
18	17	0.04	0.00	161	100	1	10	111	380	1	11	61	10	00	5.0	11.70	0.1	12.0	5	12 4
10	10	0.40	0.05	7 38	100	7	7.0	60	1105	21	1.1	8.1	23					8.2	5	82
- 13	17	0.40	0.65	8.99	100	1	1.0	0.0	1100	21	1.0	0.1	2.0					12.4	5	12.4
20	01	0.45	0.50	1 19	70	7	10.0	69										6.9	5	69
20	20	0.50	0.66	23.23	25	5	20.0	21						25	20.0	30.36	0.0	14.0	5	14.0
21	21	0.15	0.50	0.87	80	6	7.5	81	275	8	29	53	0.9	20	20.0	00.00		9.0	5	9.0
22	2.	0.15	0.50	1 15	80	2	2.5	117	450	9	2.0	4.4	17					13.4	5	13.4
	22	0.15	0.50	2.02		-	2.0		100	v	2.0	1.1	<u> </u>					13.4	5	13.4
23		0.15	0.50	3.59	100	4	4.0	11.2	310	10	3.2	5.6	0.9					12.1	5	12.1
24	24	0.15	0.50	7.58	100	8	8.0	8.9	1565	37	2.4	4.81	5.4					14.3	5	14.3

PROJECT:	Cot
PROJECT NO:	213
DESIGN BY:	SB
REV. BY:	TD
AGENCY:	Cit
REPORT TYPE:	Fin
DATE:	4/2

ottages at Woodmen Heights 1369-00 BN DM ity of Colorado Springs inal 26/2022

# Å

Drexel, Barrell & Co.

#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF

PROPOSED	RUNOFF	;	5 YR STORI		P1=	1.50	
BASIN (S)			DIRECT RUNC	DFF			
	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFF	t <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	C * A	I (IN/HR)	Q (CFS)
1	1	0.41	0.57	5.0	0.23	5.09	1.2
2		1.36	0.48	9.4	0.65	4.20	2.7
	2	1.77	0.50	9.4	0.89	4.20	3.7
3		1.64	0.53	9.9	0.88	4.12	3.6
	3	3.41	0.52	10.0	1.76	4.11	7.2
4		0.25	0.15	5.0	0.04	5.10	0.2
	4	3.66	0.49	10.0	1.80	4.10	7.4
West Pond Release							0.05
5	5	1.94	0.81	5.0	1.57	5.10	8.0
6	6	1.15	0.49	6.5	0.56	4.76	2.7
	J1	3.09	0.69	6.5	2.13	4.74	10.1
/	7	0.43	0.49	5.7	0.21	4.93	1.0
<u> </u>	8	0.31	0.49	5.6	0.15	4.95	0.8
9		0.84	0.49	7.6	0.41	4.52	1.9
	9	1.15	0.49	7.6	0.56	4.52	2.5
10	J2	4.67	0.62	7.9	2.91	4.47	13.0
10	10	0.76	0.49	8.4	0.37	4.37	1.0
11	J3	5.43	0.60	8.4	3.28	4.30	14.3
11	11	0.41	0.49	7.0	0.20	4.04	0.9
10	J4	2.84	0.60	0.0	3.40	4.29	15.0
12	12	1.09	0.49	12.0	0.70	3.73	2.9
10	13	2.62	0.49	10.0	1.28	3.66	4.7
14	14	1.16	0.49	12.0	0.57	3.00	22
15	14	1.10	0.40	12.0	0.37	3.70	2.2
	15	2.62	0.40	12.0	1.28	3 70	4.8
	.15	11.08	0.55	13.4	6.05	3.64	22.0
16	16	1 39	0.00	74	0.68	4 55	3.1
	.16	12 47	0.54	13.9	6.73	3.58	24.1
17		0.58	0.01	12.5	0.78	3 75	1.1
	17	13.05	0.10	14.0	7.02	3.57	25.0
18	18	1 61	0.01	12.4	0.79	3.76	3.0
19	10	7.38	0.10	82	3.62	4 40	15.9
10	.17	8.99	0.10	12.4	4 41	3.76	16.6
20	0,	1 19	0.15	6.9	0.18	4 66	0.8
Ev	20	23.23	0.50	14.0	11.60	3.57	41.4
South Pond Release	SP		0.00				0.6
	OS1-4	25.25					20.2
21		0.87	0.15	9.0	0.13	4.27	0.6

	21	26.12					20.8
22		1.15	0.15	13.4	0.17	3.64	0.6
	22	27.27					21.4
	J8	30.93					21.4
23		3.59	0.15	12.1	0.54	3.80	2.0
	23	57.75					24.1
24	24	7.58	0.15	14.3	1.14	3.54	4.0

 PROJECT:
 Cottar

 PROJECT NO:
 21369

 DESIGN BY:
 SBN

 REV. BY:
 TDM

 AGENCY:
 City of

 REPORT TYPE:
 Final

 DATE:
 4/26/2

Cottages at Woodmen Heights 21369-00 SBN TDM City of Colorado Springs Final 4/26/2022

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

Drexel, Barrell & Co.

#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF

PROPOSED BASIN (S)	RUNOFF	RUNOFF 100 YR STORM				P1=	2.52			
		DIRECT RUNOFF					PIPE SIZING			
	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFF	t <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	C * A	I (IN/HR)	Q (CFS)	n	Slope (ft/ft)	Pipe Diameter (in)
1	1	0.41	0.76	5.0	0.31	8.56	2.7	0.012	0.09	7
2		1.36	0.70	9.4	0.96	7.05	6.7			
	2	1.77	0.72	9.4	1.27	7.05	8.9	0.012	0.08	11
3		1.64	0.74	9.9	1.21	6.93	8.4			16
	3	3.41	0.73	10.0	2.47	6.90	17.1	0.012	0.05	
4		0.25	0.50	5.0	0.13	8.58	1.1			
	4	3.66	0.71	10.0	2.60	6.88	17.9	0.012	0.01	18
vvest Pond Release							2.5		0.04	
5	5	1.94	0.88	5.0	1.71	8.58	14.6	0.012	0.01	20
6	6	1.15	0.65	6.5	0.75	7.99	6.0	0.012	0.01	14
7	J1	3.09	0.79	6.5	2.45	7.96	19.5	0.012	0.01	22
/	7	0.43	0.65	5.7	0.28	8.29	2.3	0.012	0.01	10
8	8	0.31	0.65	5.6	0.20	8.31	1.7	0.012	0.01	9
9		0.84	0.65	7.6	0.55	7.60	4.1			
	9	1.15	0.65	7.6	0.75	7.60	5.7	0.012	0.01	14
10	J2	4.67	0.75	7.9	3.48	7.51	26.2	0.012	0.01	25
10	10	0.76	0.65	8.4	0.49	7.35	3.6	0.012	0.01	12
4.4	J3	5.43	0.73	8.4	3.98	7.33	29.1	0.012	0.01	20
11	11	0.41	0.65	7.0	0.27	7.79	2.1	0.012	0.01	10
10	J4	5.84	0.73	8.8	4.24	7.21	30.6	0.012	0.01	20
12	12	1.59	0.65	12.6	1.03	6.27	6.5	0.012	0.01	15
13	40	1.03	0.65	10.5	0.07	0.75	4.5	0.040	0.01	17
14	13	2.62	0.65	13.3	1.70	0.14	10.5	0.012	0.01	17
14	14	1.10	0.05	12.0	0.75	6.22	4.0	0.012	0.01	15
15	15	1.40	0.00	12.9	0.95	6.22	10.6	0.012	0.01	18
	15	2.02	0.00	12.9	7.65	6.12	10.0	0.012	0.01	31
16	10	1 20	0.09	7.4	7.05	7.65	6.0	0.012	0.01	15
10	10	1.39	0.00	7.4	0.90	7.00	0.9	0.012	0.01	15
	J6	12.47	0.69	13.9	8.55	6.02	51.5	0.012	0.01	32
17		0.58	0.65	12.5	0.38	6.31	2.4			
	17	13.05	0.68	14.0	8.93	6.00	53.6	0.012	0.2	28
18	18	1.61	0.65	12.4	1.05	6.31	6.6			
19	19	7.38	0.65	8.2	4.80	7.39	35.4			
		8 99	0.65	12.4	5.84	6.31	36.9			
20		1.19	0.50	6.9	0.60	7.83	4.7			
_~	20	23.23	0.66	14.0	15.37	6.00	92.1	0.012	0.03	18
South Pond Release	SP		0.00			0.00	16.9	0.0.2		
	051-4	25 25					60.2	0.012		30
21	00.1	0.87	0.50	9.0	0 44	7 17	3.1	0.0.2		
21		0.87	0.50	9.0	0.44	7.17	3.1			
	21	26.12					63.3	0.012	0.02	30
----	----	-------	------	------	------	------	------	-------	------	----
22		1.15	0.50	13.4	0.58	6.11	3.5			
	22	27.27					66.8	0.012	0.02	31
	J8	30.93					69.3	0.012	0.06	25
23		3.59	0.50	12.1	1.80	6.38	11.5			
	23	57.75					97.7			
24	24	7.58	0.50	14.3	3.79	5.94	22.5			



Figure 8-11. Inlet Capacity Chart Sump Conditions, Curb Opening (Type R) Inlet

May 2014

-2: Q100 = (

0000



Figure 8-10. Inlet Capacity Chart Sump Conditions, Area (Type C) Inlet

1. The standard inlet parameters must apply to use these charts.



Street Section Data: St

Street Width Flowline to Flowline = 28' Type of Curb and Gutter = 6'' vertical



The standard street section parameters as defined in Chapter 7 must apply to use these charts. For non-standard sections, the inlet capacity shall be calculated using the UDFCD spreadsheets. The maximum spread width is limited by the curb height based on no curb overtopping during a minor storm and flow being contained within the public right-of-way during the major storm. Calculations were done using UD-Inlet 3.00.xls, Mar., 2011 with the default clogging factors.





Note: See Section 8.3.2 for assumptions.





IN-4



	tfall ک	 1-	Dut	2 :ר	Dut		1: 3	Jut				-n: 4	Dut	-n: 5	out	ر n: 6	Dut	Ln: 7		out o	
ev. (ft)	Sta 0+00.00 - Ou Grnd. El. 6855.93 Inv. El. 6855.93 Ir	Sta 1+13.544 - Lr	Rim El. 6864.17 Inv. El. 6857.07 C Inv. El. 6857.27 Ir	Sta 1+81.906 - Lr	Rim EI. 6864.27 Inv. EI. 6857.95 C Inv. EI. 6858.15 Ir		Sta 5+21.906 - Lr	Rim El. 6869.57 Inv. El. 6861.55 C Inv. El. 6861.75 Ir				Sta 10+21.906 - I	NIM EI. 0887.41 Inv. EI. 6870.50 C Inv. EI. 6870.60 Ir	Sta 11+49.457 - L	Rim El. 6890.26 Inv. El. 6881.67 C	Inv. El. 6882.67 Ir Sta 11+86.009 - L	Rim EI. 6890.19 Inv. EI. 6882.85 C Inv. EI. 6882.85 Ir	Sta 14+31.406 - 1 Rim El. 6888.65	IIIV. EI. 0004.07 Inv. EI. 6884.18 Ir	Rim El. 6888.50 Inv. El. 6884.24 C	
3916.00 -																				<u></u> е	6916.00
6902.00 -																					6902.00
\$888.00 -						<u> </u>									$\rightarrow$	$\downarrow$				ε	\$888.00
5874.00 -									50	0.000Lf	10 <sup>6</sup> @ 1.75	9%					36.552Lf	- 245	5.397Lf - 0.49%	- 11.329 30" @ €	5Lf - 30" @ 0. 3874,00 
\$860.00 -	×			340.0	)00Lf - 36"	@ 1.00%	<b>P</b>			_						127.55	1Lf - 36" @	8.68%			6860.00
3846.00 -	0	- 113.54	- 68.36 I4Lf - 36" 200	i <del>3Lf -</del> ∶ '@1.	36" @ 0.99 .00%		500			700	800	900	100	00	110	0 1	200 1:	300	1400	6	6846.00

	utfall 0 In	n: 9	nut	n: 10	n out	-n: 11	n out	n: 12	n ut	n: 13	out	: 14	n In Uut	n: 15	out	n .n	Pont Pont	n: 17	nut	Ln: 18	Тр О
ev. (ft)	Sta 0+00.00 - Ou Grnd. El. 6858.0 Inv. El. 6858.00 I	Sta 0+25.299 - L	Rim El. 6864.96 Inv. El. 6858.59 Inv. El. 6860.731	Sta 1+10.663 - L	Rim El. 6867.24 Inv. El. 6862.63 Inv. El. 6862.73 I	Sta 3+08.192 - L	Rim El. 6869.29 Inv. El. 6864.73 Inv. El. 6865.061	Sta 4+13.797 - L	Rim El. 6870.48 Inv. El. 6866.05 ( Inv. El. 6866.151	Sta 5+50.284 - L	Rim El. 6872.46 Inv. El. 6867.97 Inv. El. 6868.07 I	Sta 6+89.84 - Ln	Rim El. 6874.72 Inv. El. 6870.50 Inv. El. 6871.001	Sta 7+74.376 - L	Rim El. 6877.02 Inv. El. 6872.84 (	Inv. El. 6872.941 Sta 8+86 703 - I	Rim El. 6879.50 Inv. El. 6875.74 Inv. El. 6875.74	Sta 9+77.624 - L	Rim El. 6882.41 Inv. El. 6878.02 Inv. El. 6878.321	Sta 10+30.623 - Rim El. 6882.46	ШУ. ЕІ. 00/0./ 1
3905.00 -																					- 6905.00
6894.00 -																					- 6894.00
																		Í			
5883.00 -																					- 6883.00
					]																
872.00 -		$\nearrow$																			- 6872.00
		ſ										-						- 90.	922Lf - 2	2.999Lf - 4" @ 2.5	24" @ 0.74% 1%
				ulf-	34" @ 1.0	01%									1 536		2.326Lf -	24" @	2.49%		
861.00 -			197.528										139.556	5Lf - 30" @	9.000 ) 1.74	-%	2.1070				- 6861.00
								- 105	605I f - 3	<u> </u>	87Lf - 30	"@´	1.33%								
	25.2	— 85 99Lf -	.364Lf - 3 36" @ 2.3	4" @ 33%	) 2.23%					.0_@_0.3=	- 70										























#### 5-yr

Line No.	Flow Rate	Line Size	Line Type	Line Length	Invert Dn	Invert Up	Line Slope	HGL Up	HGL Dn	Minor Loss	HGL Jnct	Vel Ave	n-value Pipe
	(cfs)	(in)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	
1	21.44	36	Cir	113.544	6855.93	6857.07	1.00	6858.56 j	6858.33	n/a	6858.56	4.84	0.012
2	21.40	36	Cir	68.363	6857.27	6857.95	0.99	6859.44	6858.56	0.09	6859.44	6.76	0.012
3	20.80	36	Cir	340.000	6858.15	6861.55	1.00	6863.01	6859.44	n/a	6863.01	6.63	0.012
4	20.20	36	Cir	500.000	6861.75	6870.50	1.75	6871.94	6863.01	n/a	6871.94	6.58	0.012
5	20.20	36	Cir	127.551	6870.60	6881.67	8.68	6883.11	6871.94	n/a	6883.11	6.31	0.012
6	15.50	30	Cir	36.552	6882.67	6882.85	0.49	6884.18	6883.92	n/a	6884.18	6.10	0.012
7	15.50	30	Cir	245.397	6882.85	6884.07	0.50	6885.40	6884.18	n/a	6885.40	5.85	0.012
8	15.50	30	Cir	11.325	6884.18	6884.24	0.53	6885.57	6885.40	n/a	6885.57	6.18	0.012
9	30.80	36	Cir	25.299	6858.00	6858.59	2.33	6860.39 j	6860.40	n/a	6860.39	6.02	0.012
10	29.70	34	Cir	85.364	6860.73	6862.63	2.23	6864.42	6861.83	n/a	6864.42	10.06	0.012
11	26.60	34	Cir	197.529	6862.73	6864.73	1.01	6866.42	6864.42	0.71	6866.42	6.76	0.012
12	16.90	30	Cir	105.605	6865.06	6866.05	0.94	6867.44	6866.42	n/a	6867.44	6.10	0.012
13	16.00	30	Cir	136.487	6866.15	6867.97	1.33	6869.32	6867.44	0.54	6869.32	6.10	0.012
14	14.40	30	Cir	139.556	6868.07	6870.50	1.74	6871.78	6869.32	n/a	6871.78	5.79	0.012
15	10.70	24	Cir	84.536	6871.00	6872.84	2.18	6874.01	6871.78	0.46	6874.01	7.54	0.012
16	8.00	24	Cir	112.326	6872.94	6875.74	2.49	6876.75 j	6874.01	n/a	6876.75	4.86	0.012
17	8.00	24	Cir	90.922	6875.74	6878.02	2.51	6879.03	6876.75	0.40	6879.03	5.06	0.012
18	8.00	24	Cir	52.999	6878.32	6878.71	0.74	6879.72	6879.18	0.40	6879.72	5.65	0.012
19	2.70	18	Cir	18.597	6872.94	6873.31	1.99	6873.93 j	6874.01	n/a	6873.93	2.94	0.012
20	2.70	18	Cir	74.880	6871.00	6871.50	0.67	6872.12 j	6871.78	n/a	6872.12	3.41	0.012
21	0.80	18	Cir	120.607	6871.70	6872.37	0.56	6872.70 j	6872.12	n/a	6872.70	2.35	0.012
22	0.80	18	Cir	121.330	6872.57	6875.00	2.00	6875.33	6872.80	n/a	6875.33	3.74	0.012
23	7.50	18	Cir	53.097	6863.00	6863.80	1.51	6864.86 j	6864.20	n/a	6864.86	5.28	0.012
24	3.90	18	Cir	454.613	6864.00	6871.73	1.70	6872.48 j	6864.86	n/a	6872.48	4.05	0.012
25	1.20	18	Cir	392.009	6871.92	6878.98	1.80	6879.39 j	6872.48	n/a	6879.39	2.52	0.012
26	1.00	18	Cir	39.377	6871.00	6871.39	0.99	6871.76 j	6871.78	n/a	6871.76	2.00	0.012
27	1.60	18	Cir	17.224	6868.57	6868.96	2.27	6869.44 j	6869.32	n/a	6869.44	2.57	0.012
28	0.90	18	Cir	17.234	6866.65	6866.82	0.99	6867.17	6867.44	0.13	6867.17	1.90	0.012
29	4.80	18	Cir	67.393	6865.31	6865.65	0.50	6866.49	6866.42	n/a	6866.49	4.06	0.012
30	2.20	18	Cir	97.180	6865.75	6866.24	0.50	6866.80	6866.49	0.08	6866.80	3.09	0.012
31	2.20	18	Cir	91.488	6866.24	6866.70	0.50	6867.26	6866.80	0.21	6867.26	3.66	0.012
32	4.90	18	Cir	39.120	6865.31	6865.51	0.51	6866.36	6866.42	n/a	6866.36	4.11	0.012
33	2.90	18	Cir	180.469	6865.61	6866.51	0.50	6867.16 j	6866.36	n/a	6867.16	3.63	0.012
34	3.10	18	Cir	17.287	6863.73	6863.91	1.04	6864.58 j	6864.42	n/a	6864.58	3.97	0.012
35	0.04	18	Cir	30.419	6858.00	6859.00	3.29	6859.07 j	6858.56	n/a	6859.07	0.66	0.012
36	0.40	18	Cir	76.403	6853.14	6853.90	0.99	6854.13	6854.34	n/a	6854.13	1.27	0.012
	No	otes: j-l	Line cor	ntains hyd.	jump								

	- Outfall 55.93	93 In	4 - Ln: 1	4.17 .07 Out		4.27 .95 Out	.15 In		6 - Ln: 3	9.57 .55 Out .75 In					06 - I n <sup>.</sup> 4	7.41 .50 Out	57 - Ln: 5	0.26 .67 Out	:.67 In 09 - Ln: 6	0.19 85 Out 85 In	06 - Ln: 7	.07 Out .18 In	<u>31</u> - Ln: 8	3.50 24 Out	
v. (ft)	Sta 0+00.00 Grnd. El. 68	Inv. El. 6855	Sta 1+13.54	Rim El. 686 Inv. El. 6857 Lav. El 6857	ши. Е. 000/ Sta 1+81.90	Rim El. 686 Inv. El. 6857	Inv. El. 6858		Sta 5+21.90	Rim El. 686 Inv. El. 6861 Inv. El. 6861					Sta 10+21 0	Rim EI. 688 Inv. EI. 6870 Inv. EI. 6870	Sta 11+49.4	Rim EI. 689 Inv. EI. 6881	Inv. El. 6882 Sta 11+86.0	Rim El. 689 Inv. El. 6882 Inv. El. 6882	Sta 14+31.4 Dim EI 600	Inv. El. 6884 Inv. El. 6884 Inv. El. 6884	Sta 14+42.7	Rim El. 688 Inv. El. 6884	
€916.00 ÷																								6916.0 	0
902.00 -																								6902.0	0
388.00 -																								6888.0 	0
574.00 -														750						36.552Lf	- 36" @	45.39 0.49	7Lf - 36 %		6" @ C 0
60.00 -		4			340.	000Lf	- 36" (	1.00%	0		50	00.000L	<u>f</u> - 36"	@ 1.13					127.55	1Lf - 36" @	8.68%			6860.0	0
46.00 -			13.54	- 68. 4Lf - 3	363Lf - 36" @ 1	36" @ .00%	) 0.99°	6																6846.0	0

	fall	б 	t	10	Ħ	1	Ħ	12	Ħ	13	t	14	t	15	Ħ	16	t	17	t	n: 18	5
	- Out <u>68.</u> 00 00 In	- Ln		3 - Ln	.24 63 Oi 73 In	2 - Ln	.29 73 Oi 06 In	7 - Ln	.48 05 Oi 15 In	t - Ln	.46 97 O 07 In	- Ln:	.72 50 O	- Ln	02 84 O	94 In 3 - I n	.50 74 O	L L	.41 02 0 32 In	23 - L1 	5
w (ft)	0.00 1.685 5858.	5.299	6864 5858. 5860.	0.663	6867 3862 3862	8.192	6869 5864. 5865.	3.797	6870 3866. 3866.	0.28	6872 3867. 5868.	9.84	6874 5870. 5871.	4.376	6877 5872.	5872. 6 70:	6879 5875. 5875.	7.62	6882 5878. 5878.	30.62 6882	0/00
v. (n)	nd. E	a 0+2		1+1		a 3+0		14+1	ш Ш Ш	1 5+5		a 6+8		7+7 E	ШШ	. El. 6		a 9+7		10+ 11- 11-	
	Inv Gr	Ste	말고	Sta	יד ה ה ה	Sta	n n n	Sta	n n Ri	Sta	n n n	Sta	꼬르르	Ste	L R		돌르르	Sta	יד אין ריד אין	Sta	2
905.00																					- 6905.00
894.00																					- 6894.00
883.00																		1			- 6883.00
872.00																					- 6872 00
072.00												-		$\square$					5	2.999Lf	24" @ 0.74%
																		- 90.9	)22Lf - 2	4" @ 2.5	1%
	A		197.529	)Lf -	34" @ 1.0	)1%									4.536	 Lf - 24" @	2.326L1 - 4 2.18%	24 @	2.49%		
861.00	P		10110										139.556	6Lf - 30" @	D 1.74	%					- 6861.00
	<b>—</b>							405		<u> </u>	87Lf - 3	0" @ '	1.33%								
		⊢ 85 2001 £	5.364Lf - 3	4" @	2.23%		L	- 105	- 11CUO.	U (@ U.94	-70										
850.00		299LI -	36 @ 2.	33%																	- 6850.00























#### 100-yr

Line No.	Flow Rate	Line Size	Line Type	Line Length	Invert Dn	Invert Up	Line Slope	HGL Up	HGL Dn	Minor Loss	HGL Jnct	Vel Ave	n-value Pipe
	(cfs)	(in)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	
1	68.00	36	Cir	113.544	6855.93	6857.07	1.00	6859.70	6858.93	1.43	6861.13	9.98	0.012
2	66.80	36	Cir	68.363	6857.27	6857.95	0.99	6861.72	6861.13	0.21	6861.93	9.45	0.012
3	63.30	36	Cir	340.000	6858.15	6861.55	1.00	6864.24	6861.93	0.21	6864.45	9.22	0.012
4	60.20	36	Cir	500.000	6861.75	6870.50	1.75	6873.00	6864.45	0.34	6873.00	9.27	0.012
5	60.20	36	Cir	127.551	6870.60	6881.67	8.68	6884.17	6873.00	0.87	6884.17	9.74	0.012
6	38.20	36	Cir	36.552	6882.67	6882.85	0.49	6884.86	6884.61	0.67	6884.86	7.73	0.012
7	38.20	36	Cir	245.397	6882.85	6884.07	0.50	6886.08	6884.86	0.90	6886.08	7.59	0.012
8	38.20	36	Cir	11.325	6884.18	6884.24	0.53	6886.25	6886.08	0.90	6886.25	7.84	0.012
9	65.40	36	Cir	25.299	6858.00	6858.59	2.33	6861.18	6860.59	0.24	6861.18	10.08	0.012
10	63.00	34	Cir	85.364	6860.73	6862.63	2.23	6865.17	6862.45	1.74	6865.17	13.18	0.012
11	56.10	34	Cir	197.529	6862.73	6864.73	1.01	6867.17	6865.17	1.47	6867.17	9.72	0.012
12	34.40	30	Cir	105.605	6865.06	6866.05	0.94	6868.04 j	6867.17	n/a	6868.04	8.00	0.012
13	32.30	30	Cir	136.487	6866.15	6867.97	1.33	6869.90	6868.04	n/a	6869.90	8.02	0.012
14	28.70	30	Cir	139.556	6868.07	6870.50	1.74	6872.33 j	6869.90	n/a	6872.33	7.46	0.012
15	20.60	24	Cir	84.536	6871.00	6872.84	2.18	6874.47	6872.33	n/a	6874.47	8.43	0.012
16	14.60	24	Cir	112.326	6872.94	6875.74	2.49	6877.12 j	6874.47	n/a	6877.12	6.01	0.012
17	14.60	24	Cir	90.922	6875.74	6878.02	2.51	6879.40	6877.12	n/a	6879.40	6.34	0.012
18	14.60	24	Cir	52.999	6878.32	6878.71	0.74	6880.09	6879.55	n/a	6880.09	6.78	0.012
19	6.00	18	Cir	18.597	6872.94	6873.31	1.99	6874.42	6874.47	0.29	6874.70	3.84	0.012
20	5.80	18	Cir	74.880	6871.00	6871.50	0.67	6872.43 j	6872.33	n/a	6872.43	4.28	0.012
21	1.70	18	Cir	120.607	6871.70	6872.37	0.56	6872.86 j	6872.43	n/a	6872.86	2.69	0.012
22	1.70	18	Cir	121.330	6872.57	6875.00	2.00	6875.49	6872.90	n/a	6875.49	4.65	0.012
23	17.80	18	Cir	53.097	6863.00	6863.80	1.51	6865.80	6864.50	1.15	6866.95	10.07	0.012
24	9.40	18	Cir	454.613	6864.00	6871.73	1.70	6872.91 j	6866.95	n/a	6872.91	5.80	0.012
25	2.70	18	Cir	392.009	6871.92	6878.98	1.80	6879.60 j	6872.91	n/a	6879.60	3.03	0.012
26	2.30	18	Cir	39.377	6871.00	6871.39	0.99	6871.96	6872.33	n/a	6871.96	2.55	0.012
27	3.60	18	Cir	17.224	6868.57	6868.96	2.27	6869.68	6869.90	0.28	6869.68	3.21	0.012
28	2.10	18	Cir	17.234	6866.65	6866.82	0.99	6867.37	6868.04	n/a	6867.37	2.42	0.012
29	10.70	18	Cir	67.393	6865.31	6865.65	0.50	6867.76	6867.17	0.09	6867.85	6.06	0.012
30	4.80	18	Cir	97.180	6865.75	6866.24	0.50	6868.02	6867.85	0.05	6868.07	2.72	0.012
31	4.80	18	Cir	91.488	6866.24	6866.70	0.50	6868.20	6868.07	0.11	6868.31	2.72	0.012
32	11.00	18	Cir	39.120	6865.31	6865.51	0.51	6867.53	6867.17	0.09	6867.62	6.23	0.012
33	6.50	18	Cir	180.469	6865.61	6866.51	0.50	6868.21	6867.62	0.21	6868.42	3.68	0.012
34	6.90	18	Cir	17.287	6863.73	6863.91	1.04	6864.93	6865.17	0.46	6864.93	4.69	0.012
35	1.20	18	Cir	30.419	6858.00	6859.00	3.29	6861.14	6861.13	0.01	6861.14	0.68	0.012
36	11.80	18	Cir	76.403	6853.14	6853.90	0.99	6855.38	6854.64	0.70	6856.08	6.69	0.012
	No	otes: j-l	Line cor	ntains hyd.	jump								





Site-Level	Low Im	pact De	velopn	nent (Ll ervious R	ID) Des	ign Effe 1 Factor	ective I	mpervi	ous Cal	culato	r		WOR.	
		in creat	ur	-BMP (Version	3.06 Novem	ber 2016)		inou						
User Input			01		5.00, 10701	501 2010)								
Calculated calls				Docignori		SBN								
Calculated tens				Company:		Drexel Ba	rrell							
••••Design Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth WQCV Event	0.53	inches		Date:		April 12, 2	022							
***Minor Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth 10-Year Event	1.75	inches		Project:		Cottages a	t Woodme	n Heights						
***Major Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth 100-Year Event	2.52	inches		Location:		West Pon	4							
Optional User Defined Storm CUHP														
(CUHP) NOAA 1 Hour Rainfall Depth and Frequency for User Defined Storm 100-Year Event														
Max Intensity for Optional User Defined Storm 0														
SITE INFORMATION (USER-INPUT)														
Sub-basin Identifier	RW	тк	тс	OS	POND									
Receiving Pervious Area Soil Type	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand							
Total Area (ac., Sum of DCIA, UIA, RPA, & SPA)	0.230	1.430	0.780	1.020	0.200									
Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA, acres)	0.230	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000									
Unconnected Impervious Area (UIA, acres)	0.000	1.430	0.000	0.000	0.000									
Receiving Pervious Area (RPA, acres)	0.000	0.000	0.780	0.000	0.000									
Separate Pervious Area (SPA, acres)	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.020	0.200									
RPA Treatment Type: Conveyance (C), Volume (V), or Permeable Pavement (PP)	с	с	с	с	v									
						MISSING	MISSING							
						INPUT	INPUT							
CALCULATED RESULTS (OUTPUT)	0.220	1 420	0.700	1.020	0.200						1	1		
Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA %)	100.0%	1.430	0.780	0.0%	0.200									
Unconnected Impervious Area (UIA, %)	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
Receiving Pervious Area (RPA, %)	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
Separate Pervious Area (SPA, %)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%									
A <sub>R</sub> (RPA / UIA)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000									
I <sub>a</sub> Check	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000									
f / I for WQCV Event:	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6									
f / I for 10-Year Event:	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5									
f / I for Optional User Defined Storm CUMP:	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4									
IRE for WOCV Event:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00									
IRF for 10-Year Event:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00									
IRF for 100-Year Event:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00									
IRF for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP:														
Total Site Imperviousness: I <sub>total</sub>	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
Effective Imperviousness for WQCV Event:	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
Effective Imperviousness for 10-Year Event:	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
Effective Imperviousness for Ontional User Defined Storm CULIP:	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%									
circuite imperiodates for optional oser benned storm cone:	L	L		I		L	I		I	I			I	
LID / EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUSNESS CREDITS														
WQCV Event CREDIT: Reduce Detention By:	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10-Year Event CREDIT**: Reduce Detention By: 100-Year Event CREDIT**: Reduce Detention By:	0.0%	0.0%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
User Defined CUHP CREDIT: Reduce Detention By:			,	,	,				,					
	Total Site Imp	erviousness:	45.4%		Notes:									
Total Site Effective Imper	viousness for	NQCV Event:	45.4%		<sup>*</sup> Use Green	Ampt average	e infiltration	rate values f	rom Table 3-	3.				
Total Site Effective Imperv	iousness for 1	D-Year Event:	45.4%	4	Flood cont	rol detention	volume cre	dits based or	empirical eq	uations from	Storage Cha	pter of USDC	M.	
Total Site Effective Impervi Total Site Effective Imperviousness for Option	usness tor 10 I User Defined	Storm CUHP:	45.4%		···* Method	assumes tha	it 1-hour rain	raii depth is	equivalent to	1-nour inter	isity for calcu	iation purpos	ea	
# DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)

#### Project: <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights - West Pond</u> Basin ID: \_\_\_\_\_ ZONE 3 ZONE 2 ZONE 2 ZONE 1 1 -100-YEAR ORIFICE

ZONE 1 AND 2 ORIFICES Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) PERMA

Watershed Information

	EDB	Selected BMP Type =
acres	3.66	Watershed Area =
ft	1,000	Watershed Length =
ft	500	Watershed Length to Centroid =
ft/ft	0.040	Watershed Slope =
percent	45.40%	Watershed Imperviousness =
percent	100.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =
hours	40.0	Target WOCV Drain Time =

# Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = User Input After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

depuis, click kuit corre to generate runon nyurographs using							
the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	Optional User	r Overrid					
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.059	acre-feet		acre-fe			
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.186	acre-feet		acre-fe			
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.139	acre-feet	1.19	inches			
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.185	acre-feet	1.50	inches			
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.222	acre-feet	1.75	inches			
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.286	acre-feet	2.00	inches			
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.348	acre-feet	2.25	inches			
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.427	acre-feet	2.52	inches			
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.49 in.) =	0.703	acre-feet	3.49	inches			
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.119	acre-feet					
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.158	acre-feet					
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.193	acre-feet					
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.238	acre-feet					
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.267	acre-feet					
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.304	acre-feet					

#### Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.059	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.127	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.118	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.304	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S <sub>main</sub> ) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area (A <sub>ISV</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W <sub>ISV</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Depth of Main Basin (H <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Main Basin (V <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	acre-feet

	Depth Increment =		h la							
			Optional				Optional			
	Stage - Storage	Stage (ft)	Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Override	Area (acre)	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Volume (ac-ft)
	Top of Micropool		0.00				15	0.000	(10)	(ac it)
	62		0.50				15	0.000	8	0.000
	63		1.50				1.253	0.029	641	0.015
	64		2.50				2 779	0.064	2 657	0.061
	65		3.50				4,243	0.097	6,168	0.142
	66		4.50				5.810	0.133	11.195	0.257
	67		5.50				7,478	0.172	17,839	0.410
	67.6		6.10				8,527	0.196	22,640	0.520
							.,.			
les										
et										
et										
		-								
									L	
									-	
									<u> </u>	
									<u> </u>	
									-	
		-								
									<u> </u>	
									-	
									<u> </u>	
									<u> </u>	
								-		
									+	
									<u> </u>	
									1	
									<u> </u>	
									1	
									-	
									1	
									1	
									<u> </u>	
								L	<u> </u>	
			1						·	

# DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)



# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Project:	Cottages at Wood	<i>MHI</i> men Heights - Wes	FD-Detention, Vers <b>t Pond</b>	tion 4.04 (Februar	ry 2021)				
Basin ID:		inen neighte free	onu						
ZONE 3				Estimated	Estimated				
100-YR				Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type	_		
			Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.48	0.059	Orifice Plate	]		
	100-YEAR		Zone 2 (EURV)	3.93	0.127	Orifice Plate	1		
PERMANENT ORIFICES	OHIFICE		Zone 3 (100-year)	4.84	0.118	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)	1		
POOL Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)		Total (all zones)	0.304		1		
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typical	y used to drain WC	CV in a Filtration Bl	MP)			1	Calculated Parame	ters for Underdrain	
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below	the filtration media	surface)	Underd	Irain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>	
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches			Underdrain	Orifice Centroid =	N/A	feet	
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orific	es or Elliptical Slot	Weir (typically used	to drain WQCV and	l/or EURV in a sedi	imentation BMP)		Calculated Parame	ters for Plate	
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	0 ft)	WQ Orifi	ce Area per Row =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>	
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	3.93	ft (relative to basir	h bottom at Stage =	0π)	Elli	ptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	15./0	inches			Ellipti	cal Slot Centroid =	N/A	reet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	lincnes			E	iliptical Slot Area =	N/A	]tt-	
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orific	e Row (numbered f	rom lowest to high	est)						
	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	1
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1 31	2 62	(optional)		(optional)	(optional)		
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	0.29	0.29	0.45						
(-4 menes)									
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)	]
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						1
Orifice Area (sq. inches)									
									-
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectang	<u>ular)</u>						Calculated Parame	ters for Vertical Ori	<u>fice</u>
	Not Selected	Not Selected					Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Ver	tical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft²
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Vertica	Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	linches						
Lines Transte Oscarflass Wais (Deanhass with Flat a	v Clanad Custa and		ten euleu/Tuen en eide	al Main (and Na Ou	tlat Dina)		Coloulated Deverse	tone for Overflow M	lain
User Input: Overnow weir (Dropbox with Flat o	Zono 2 Woir	Not Selected			iliel Pipe)			Net Celested	<u>/eir</u>
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height He -	2011e 5 Well	NUL Selecteu	ft (rolativo to bacin b	ottom at Stago = 0 f	+) Hoight of Crat	Uppor Edgo H -	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	foot
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length -	3.01	N/A	foot	ollom at Stage = 0 i	Cyerflow W	e opper Luge, nt -	3 01	N/A	foot
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	0.00	N/A	H·V	Gr	rate Onen Area / 10	0-vr Orifice Area =	26.56	N/A	
Horiz Length of Weir Sides =	3.91	N/A	feet	0	verflow Grate Open	Area w/o Debris =	10.64	N/A	n <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Type =	Type C Grate	N/A		0	Verflow Grate Open	n Area w/ Debris =	5.32	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%		·····			,	lic
			1						
User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate	e (Circular Orifice, R	estrictor Plate, or R	ectangular Orifice)		Ca	lculated Parameter	s for Outlet Pipe w/	Flow Restriction Pl	ate
	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected					Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	]
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.50	N/A	ft (distance below ba	sin bottom at Stage	= 0 ft) O	utlet Orifice Area =	0.40	N/A	ft²
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	18.00	N/A	inches		Outlet	Orifice Centroid =	0.25	N/A	feet
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	5.00		inches	Half-Cent	ral Angle of Restric	tor Plate on Pipe =	1.11	N/A	radians
User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or	Trapezoidal)				e		Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway	
Spillway Invert Stage=	4.70	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	0 ft)	Spillway D	esign Flow Depth=	0.36	feet	
Spillway Crest Length =	7.00	feet			Stage at 1	op of Freeboard =	6.06	feet	
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V			Basin Area at I	op of Freeboard =	0.19	acres	
Freeboard above Max water Surface =	1.00	lieet			basin volume at i	op of Freeboard =	0.51	Jacre-IL	
Routed Hydrograph Results	The user can over	ride the default CUI	HP hydrographs and	l runoff volumes by	v entering new valu	es in the Inflow Hy	drographs table (Co	olumns W through A	4 <i>F).</i>
Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.49
CUHP KUNOTT VOLUME (acre-ft) = Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.059 N/A	0.186 N/A	0.139	0.185	0.222	0.286	0.348	0.427	0.703
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.6	3.8
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A				_			
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.27	0.44	1.04
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.0	N/A 0.1	1.5	2.0	0.1	0.5	4.5	2.5	<u>8.8</u>
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment O =	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.6	1.7
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.5
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (bours) =	N/A 39	N/A 72	N/A 63	N/A 72	N/A 79	N/A 86	N/A 84	N/A 82	N/A 76
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	76	66	77	85	93	92	91	88
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	2.47	3.93	3.38	3.83	4.14	4.51	4.59	4.66	4.84
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.15
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.059	0.187	0.129	0.175	0.211	0.258	0.268	0.279	0.305



# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

#### Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WOCV [cfs]	FURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
	0.00.00			2.100.[0.0]						
5.00 min	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.05.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.09
	0.13.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.25	0.32	0.21	0.27	0.26	0.45
	0.20.00	0.00	0.00	1.19	1.64	2.02	0.56	1.29	0.70	2.20
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	1.10	2.01	2.02	2 73	3 44	4.02	6.82
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	1.15	1.96	2.12	3 37	4 22	5.27	8.69
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.83	2.17	3.42	4.28	5.35	8.83
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.29	1.69	2.01	3.19	3.97	5.09	8.45
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.18	1.58	1.85	2.98	3.70	4.71	7.88
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.10	1.47	1.73	2.70	3.33	4.29	7.19
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.03	1.36	1.61	2.47	3.03	3.96	6.66
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.96	1.26	1.50	2.26	2.77	3.67	6.21
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.86	1.17	1.39	2.03	2.47	3.24	5.44
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.78	1.07	1.30	1.82	2.20	2.83	4.72
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.72	0.99	1.22	1.61	1.93	2.44	4.05
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.93	1.13	1.46	1.75	2.14	3.54
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.88	1.05	1.32	1.58	1.91	3.14
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.83	0.97	1.20	1.43	1.71	2.78
	1.45.00	0.00	0.00	0.5/	0.76	0.90	1.09	1.29	1.53	2.46
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.69	0.84	0.98	1.10	1.35	1.86
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.05	0.69	0.88	0.91	1.19	1.00
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.50	0.61	0.69	0.79	0,88	1.33
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.40	0.49	0.54	0.62	0.68	1.01
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.32	0.40	0.42	0.47	0.50	0.75
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.27	0.33	0.33	0.37	0.39	0.57
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.22	0.28	0.26	0.30	0.30	0.45
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.18	0.23	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.35
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.15	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.27
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.21
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.16
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.12
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.10
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06
	3.05.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# WEST POND

## FOREBAY VOLUME

V=3% x WQCV

WQCV=	0.059 ac-ft
V <sub>TOTAL</sub> =	0.0018 ac-ft

# FOREBAY RELEASE NOTCH WIDTH

Q=CLH<sup>2/3</sup>

Q <sub>100</sub> =	17.1 cfs
2% of Q=	0.34 cfs
C=	2.6
H (height of forebay wall)=	1 ft
L=	2 in

West Pond



Figure 1 - Micropool surface area (SA) determination chart

The tributary impervious area is the effective number of impervious acres that will be treated by the extended detention basin (EDB). It is calculated by multiplying the tributary area to be treated by the impervious fraction of that area.  $TIA = I \times A$   $\frac{45.4}{100} \times 3.66 = 1.7$  aC

	$TIA = I \times A$
TIA	<ul> <li>Tributary impervious area (acres)</li> </ul>
1	= Imperviousness (fraction)
Α	= Tributary catchment area upstream (acres)

For EDBs with tributary impervious areas greater than 100 acres, the micropool surface area is 400 sf. The initial surcharge depth (ISD) is defined as the depth of the initial surcharge volume (ISV). The surface area determined using Figure 1 assumes an ISD of 4 inches. The initial surcharge volume is thus calculated by multiplying the micropool surface area by 4 inches.

$$ISV = SA \times 4$$
 inches

ISV = Initial surcharge volume (cf) SA = Surface area (from Figure 1, sf)

DETERM	IINATION OF CULVE	RT HEADWATER	r and ou	TLET PRC	TECTION
	MI	HFD-Culvert, Version 4.00	(May 2020)		
Project:	<b>Cottages at Woodmen Height</b>	s - West Pond outfall			
ID:					
¥ •			BIPSAN	Soil Type: Choose One: ● Sandy ○ Non-Sandy	
		Supercritical Flow!	Using Adjusted D	nameter to calcu	late protection type.
Design Inform	hation:		- 1		1.
	Design Discharge		Q =	68.7	lcts
Circular Culvert	-				
	Barrel Diameter in Inches		ם = [	36	linches
	Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down	n list)	Groov	ed Edge Projecting	Interies
OR:					
Box Culvert:			_	OR	_
	Barrel Height (Rise) in Feet		H (Rise) =		ft
	Barrel Width (Span) in Feet		W (Span) =		ft
	Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down	ו list)			
					7
	Number of Barrels		# Barrels =	1	
	Inlet Elevation		Elev IN =	6857.07	ft
	Outlet Elevation OR Slope		Elev OUT =	6855.93	ft
	Cuivert Length Manping's Boughpose		L =	0.012	π
	Bend Loss Coefficient		n =	0.012	-
	Exit Loss Coefficient		κ <sub>b</sub> –	1	-
	Tailwater Surface Elevation		×	1	- ft
	Max Allowable Channel Velocity		t, Elevation –	5	ft/s
			• - [	5	145
Calculated Re	sults:				
	Culvert Cross Sectional Area Available		A =	7.07	]ft²
	Culvert Normal Depth		Y <sub>n</sub> =	2.33	ft
	Culvert Critical Depth		Y <sub>c</sub> =	2.64	ft
	Froude Number		Fr =	1.34	Supercritical!
	Entrance Loss Coefficient		k <sub>e</sub> =	0.20	
	Friction Loss Coefficient		k <sub>f</sub> =	0.70	
	Sum of All Loss Coefficients		k <sub>s</sub> =	1.90	]ft
neauwater:	Inlat Control Hondwater		LNA/ [	E 04	٦
	Iniel Control Headwater			5.04	
	Design Headwater Elevation		HW -	6962 11	- FF
	Headwater/Diameter OR Headwater	er/Rise Ratio	HW/D =	1.68	HW/D > 1.5I
	<u></u>		, = [		,
Outlet Protectio	on:				
	Flow/(Diameter^2.5)		Q/D^2.5 =	4.41	ft <sup>0.5</sup> /s
	Tailwater Surface Height		Y <sub>t</sub> =	1.20	ft
	Tailwater/Diameter		Yt/D =	0.40	4
	Expansion Factor		$1/(2*tan(\Theta)) =$	3.06	1.,
	Flow Area at Max Channel Velocity	Demale	A <sub>t</sub> =	13.74	lft-
	wiath of Equivalent Conduit for Multiple	Barrels	W <sub>eq</sub> =	-	n A
	Length of Riprap Protection	atroom End		26	н. А
	width of Kiprap Protection at Dowr	Istream End	I = [	12	լու
	Adjusted Diameter for Supercritical Flow	1	] – د <u>م</u>	2.66	٦
	Minimum Theoretical Rinran Size	1	d <sub>en</sub> min-	11	lin
	Nominal Riprap Size		$d_{50}$ nominal=	12	lin
	MHFD Riprap Type		Type =	M	4
1			., he - [	•••	1

# Worksheet Worksheet for Rectangular Channel Chase into South Pond

Project Descrip	tion		
Worksheet	Rec	tangular	Chann
Flow Element	Rec	tangular	Chann
Method	Man	ning's F	ormula
Solve For	Cha	nnel De	oth
Input Data			
Mannings Coet	ffic 0.013		
Slope	088000	ft/ft	
Bottom Width	6.00	ft	
Discharge	36.90	cfs	
Results			
Depth	0.38	ft	
Flow Area	2.3	ft²	
Wetted Perime	6.75	ft	
Top Width	6.00	ft	
Critical Depth	1.06	ft	
Critical Slope	0.003615	ft/ft	
Velocity	16.34	ft/s	
Velocity Head	4.15	ft	
Specific Enerc	4.52	ft	
Froude Numb	4.69		
Flow Type	Supercritical		

Site-Level Low Impact Development (LID) Design Effective Impervious Calculator														
LID Creait by Impervious Reduction Factor (IKF) Method														
UU-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016) User Input														
Calculated cells				Designer:		SBN								
Company: Drexel Barrell														
***Design Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth WQCV Event	0.53	inches		Date:	-	January 10	), 2022							
***Minor Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth 10-Year Event	1.75	inches		Project:		Cottages a	t Woodme	n Heights						
***Major Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth 100-Year Event	2.52	inches		Location:		South Pon	d							
Optional User Defined Storm CUHP														
CUHP) NOAA 1 Hour Rainfall Depth and Frequency for User Defined Storm 100-Year Event														
Max Intensity for Optional User Defined Storm 0														
ITE INFORMATION (USER-INPUT)														
Sub-basin Identifier	RW	тн	SF	RF	СМ	OS	POND							
Receiving Pervious Area Soil Type	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand	Loamy Sand							
Total Area (ac., Sum of DCIA, UIA, RPA, & SPA)	6.920	4.170	4.960	0.100	1.910	3.030	0.990							
Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA, acres)	6.920	0.000	1.060	0.000	1.620	0.000	0.000							
Unconnected Impervious Area (UIA, acres)	0.000	3.110	1.300	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.000							
Receiving Pervious Area (RPA, acres)	0.000	0.000	1.230	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000							
DDA Territous Area (SPA, acres)	0.000	1.060	1.370	0.000	0.290	3.030	0.990							
Volume (V), or Permeable Pavement (PP)	с	с	с	с	с	с	V							
ALCOLATED RESULTS (OUTPUT)	C 020	4 170	4.000	0.100	1.010	2 020	0.000							
Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA %)	100.0%	4.170	4.500	0.100	84.8%	0.0%	0.990							
Linconnected Impervious Area (JUA, %)	0.0%	74.6%	26.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
Receiving Pervious Area (RPA. %)	0.0%	0.0%	24.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
Separate Pervious Area (SPA, %)	0.0%	25.4%	27.6%	0.0%	15.2%	100.0%	100.0%							
A <sub>R</sub> (RPA / UIA)	0.000	0.000	0.946	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000							
I <sub>a</sub> Check	1.000	1.000	0.510	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000							
f/I for WQCV Event:	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6							
f / I for 10-Year Event:	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5							
f / I for 100-Year Event:	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4							
f / I for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP:														
IRF for WQCV Event:	1.00	1.00	0.61	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00							
IRF for 10-Year Event:	1.00	1.00	0.89	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00							
IRE for Onlined Liver Defined Street Club	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00							
Total Site Imperviousness	100.0%	74.6%	47.6%	100.0%	84.8%	0.0%	0.0%							
Effective Imperviousness for WOCV Event:	100.0%	74.6%	37.4%	100.0%	84.8%	0.0%	0.0%					+		
Effective Imperviousness for 10-Year Event:	100.0%	74.6%	44.8%	100.0%	84.8%	0.0%	0.0%							
Effective Imperviousness for 100-Year Event:	100.0%	74.6%	45.3%	100.0%	84.8%	0.0%	0.0%							
Effective Imperviousness for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP:														
D / EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUSNESS CREDITS														
WQCV Event CREDIT: Reduce Detention By:	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10-Year Event CREDIT**: Reduce Detention By: 100-Year Event CREDIT**: Reduce Detention Bv:	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.4%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
User Defined CUHP CREDIT: Reduce Detention By:														
	Total Site Imp	erviousness:	63.9%		Notes:									
Total Site Effective Imper	viousness for 1	NQCV Event:	61.6%		Use Green	Ampt average	e infiltration	rate values f	rom Table 3-	3.	Charac			
Total Site Effective Impervi	ousness for 10	D-Year Event:	63.4%		Flood cont *** Method	roi detentior assumes tha	i volume crea t 1-hour rain	nts based on fall depth is e	empirical eq equivalent to	uations from 1-hour inten	storage Cha sity for calcu	pter of USDC	ivi. ed	
Total Site Effective Imperviousness for Optiona	l User Defined	Storm CUHP:		1										

# DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)

Project: <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights - South Pond</u> Basin ID: ZONE 3 ZONE 2 ZONE 2 ZONE 1 1

ORIFICE ZONE 1 AND 2 ORIFICES Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) PERMA

#### Watershed Information

	EDB	Selected BMP Type =
acres	23.23	Watershed Area =
ft	1,170	Watershed Length =
ft	485	Watershed Length to Centroid =
ft/ft	0.027	Watershed Slope =
percent	63.90%	Watershed Imperviousness =
percent	100.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =
hours	40.0	Target WOCV Drain Time =

# Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = User Input After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using								
the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	ire.	Optional User	r Overrid					
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.484	acre-feet		acre-fe				
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	1.833	acre-feet		acre-fe				
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	1.310	acre-feet	1.19	inches				
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	1.719	acre-feet	1.50	inches				
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	2.047	acre-feet	1.75	inches				
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	2.479	acre-feet	2.00	inches				
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	2.904	acre-feet	2.25	inches				
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	3.421	acre-feet	2.52	inches				
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.49 in.) =	5.218	acre-feet	3.49	inches				
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	1.192	acre-feet						
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	1.559	acre-feet						
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.880	acre-feet						
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	2.265	acre-feet						
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	2.498	acre-feet						
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	2.742	acre-feet						

#### Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.484	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	1.349	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.909	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	2.742	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S <sub>main</sub> ) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =	user	

user	ft <sup>2</sup>
user	ft
user	ft <sup>2</sup>
user	ft <sup>3</sup>
user	ft
user	ft
user	ft
user	ft <sup>2</sup>
user	ft <sup>3</sup>
user	acre-feet
	user user user user user user user user

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Optional Override Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Area (acre)	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool		0.00				70	0.002		
57		0.50				70	0.002	35	0.001
58		1.50				5,/32	0.132	2,936	0.06/
60		3.50				21.628	0.412	34,566	0.794
61		4.50				25,434	0.584	58,097	1.334
62		5.50				29,367	0.674	85,497	1.963
63		6.50				33,428	0.767	116,895	2.684
64		7.50				37,885	0.870	152,551	3.502
65		8.50				42,289	0.971	192,638	4.422
	-							1	-
								1	
								-	
	-								
								1	
								1	
								1	
								1	
									<u> </u>
								-	



# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Project:	Cottages at Wood	<i>MHI</i> men Heights - Sout	FD-Detention, Vers th Pond	sion 4.04 (Februar	y 2021)				
Basin ID:									
ZONE 3				Estimated	Estimated				
100-YR				Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type			
			Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.84	0.484	Orifice Plate			
	100-YEAR		Zone 2 (EURV)	5.31	1.349	Orifice Plate			
PERMANENT ORIFICES	URIFICE		Zone 3 (100-year)	6.58	0.909	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)			
POOL Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)		Total (all zones)	2.742		1		
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typical	ly used to drain WC	CV in a Filtration B	MP)	. ,		1	Calculated Parame	ters for Underdrain	
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below	the filtration media	surface)	Underc	Irain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft²	
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches			Underdrair	Orifice Centroid =	N/A	feet	
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orific	es or Elliptical Slot	Weir (typically used	to drain WQCV and	d/or EURV in a sedi	mentation BMP)		Calculated Parame	ters for Plate	
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basir	n bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	WQ Orifi	ce Area per Row =	N/A	lft-	
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	5.31	ft (relative to basir	n bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	Elli	ptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	21.20	inches			Ellipt	ical Slot Centrold =	N/A	reet	
Office Plate: Office Area per Row =	N/A	linches				ilipucal Slot Area =	IN/A	JIC	
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orific	e Row (numbered f	rom lowest to high	est)						
	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	1
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.77	3.54	(optional)	(optional)		(optional)	non o (optional)	
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	2.36	2.36	8.25						1
								1	1
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)	]
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)									1
Orifice Area (sq. inches)									1
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectang	<u>ular)</u>		_				Calculated Parame	ters for Vertical Ori	fice
	Not Selected	Not Selected					Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Ver	tical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft²
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Vertica	Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches						
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat o	r Sloped Grate and	Outlet Pipe OR Rec	tangular/Trapezoid	al Weir (and No Ou	tlet Pipe)		Calculated Parame	ters for Overflow V	<u>/eir</u>
Overflow Mich Front Edge Unight Up	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	a				Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	6 I
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	5.50	N/A	π (relative to basin t	ottom at Stage = 0 f	<li>t) Height of Grate Overflaw M</li>	e Upper Edge, H <sub>t</sub> =	5.50	N/A	feet
Overnow weir Front Edge Length =	4.00	N/A	lieet	<b>C</b> -	Overnow W	er slope Length =	4.00	N/A	leet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V	Gr	ate Open Area / 10	0-yr Orifice Area =	9.07	N/A	<b>a</b> 2
Horiz. Lerigui or Weir Sides =	4.00 Type C Crate	N/A	leet	00	vorflow Grate Open	Area w/0 Debris =	5.57	N/A	n a <sup>2</sup>
Dobris Clogging % -	source 5004	N/A	04	C	Wernow Grate Ope	IT AIEd W/ DEDIIS -	5.57	IN/A	lir
	5070	IN/A	1,0						
User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate	e (Circular Orifice. R	estrictor Plate, or R	Rectangular Orifice)		Ca	lculated Parameter	s for Outlet Pipe w/	Flow Restriction P	ate
	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected			<u></u>		Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	1
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.50	N/A	ft (distance below ba	sin bottom at Stage	= 0 ft) O	utlet Orifice Area =	1.23	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	18.00	N/A	inches		Outle	Orifice Centroid =	0.55	N/A	feet
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	11.80		inches	Half-Cent	ral Angle of Restric	tor Plate on Pipe =	1.89	N/A	radians
		1							
User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or	Trapezoidal)						Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway	
Spillway Invert Stage=	6.55	ft (relative to basir	n bottom at Stage =	• 0 ft)	Spillway D	esign Flow Depth=	0.95	feet	
Spillway Crest Length =	23.00	feet			Stage at 7	op of Freeboard =	8.50	feet	
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V			Basin Area at T	Top of Freeboard =	0.97	acres	
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet			Basin Volume at 1	op of Freeboard =	4.42	acre-ft	
Routed Hydrograph Results	The user can over	ride the default (1)	HP hydrographs and	d runoff volumes hu	ventering new valu	es in the Inflow Hy	drographs table (Co	olumns W through	4F)
Design Storm Return Period =	WOCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.49
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.484	1.833	1.310	1.719	2.047	2.479	2.904	3.421	5.218
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	1.310	1.719	2.047	2.479	2.904	3.421	5.218
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (Cts) =	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	0.2	0.5	0.6	5.7	11.2	18.4	41.7
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.24	0.48	0.79	1.80
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	25.5	33.3	39.9	50.0	60.3	72.6	110.7
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.7	4.2	8.6	16.9	46.1
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow -	N/A Plate	N/A Plate	N/A Plate	1.4 Plate	1.1 Plate	U./ Overflow Weir 1	U.8 Overflow Weir 1	U.9 Outlet Plate 1	1.1 Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3	0.7	1.4	1.5
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	73	66	72	77	77	75	73	68
Fime to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	<b>40</b>	/8	/0	/7	<u>82</u>	83	83	82	80
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	0.44	0.66	0.57	0.63	0.67	0.70	0.72	0.25	0.83
	0.404	1 026	1 226	1.624	1.042	2 1 4 1	2 276	2 / 97	2 1 2 0



## DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename. Inflow Hydrographs The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program. CUHP CUHP CUHP CUHP SOURCE CUHP CUHP CUHP CUHP CUHP Time Interva TIME WQCV [cfs] EURV [cfs] 2 Year [cfs] 5 Year [cfs] 10 Year [cfs] 25 Year [cfs] 50 Year [cfs] 100 Year [cfs] 500 Year [cfs] 0:00:00 5.00 min 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0:05:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0:10:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.45 0.04 0.00 0.00 2.22 0:15:00 10.44 0.00 0.00 3.95 6.42 7.95 5.35 6.57 6.51 0:20:00 0.00 0.00 13.09 16.85 19.71 12.35 14.27 15.45 22.37 0.22.00 0.00 0.00 24.94 33.00 39.89 24.69 28.04 30.22 45.75 0.30.00 0.00 0.00 25.55 33.28 38.79 50.03 60.26 68.80 107.32 0:35:00 0.00 0.00 20.63 26.30 30.44 49.52 59.03 72.63 110.71 0:40:00 0.00 0.00 16.51 20.50 23.62 42.00 50.08 60.95 92.97 0:45:00 0.00 12.56 16.10 18.77 38.40 48.91 74.92 0.00 32.44 0:50:00 0.00 0.00 9.89 13.11 14.87 26.37 31.03 38.65 59.80 0.22.00 0.00 0.00 7.83 10.28 11.88 20.10 23,48 30.31 46.90 1.00.00 0.00 6.35 17.94 0.00 8.22 9.66 15.52 24.21 37.49 1:05:00 0.00 0.00 5.65 7.25 8.74 12.14 13.86 19.59 30.51 1:10:00 4.74 0.00 0.00 6.92 8.46 9.68 10.98 14.16 21.72 1:15:00 0.00 0.00 4.23 8.36 8.45 9.54 11.24 6.37 16.91 1:20:00 0.00 0.00 3.94 5.79 7.64 7.14 8.04 8.40 12.38 1.22.00 0.00 0.00 3.77 5.42 6.62 6.34 7.14 6.74 9.74 1:30:00 0.00 0.00 5.46 3.66 5.20 5.95 6.14 5.72 8.13 1:35:00 0.00 0.00 3.58 5.07 5.53 4.90 5.51 5.07 7.09 1:40:00 0.00 0.00 3.54 4.40 5.26 4.55 5.11 4.69 6.49 1:45:00 0.00 0.00 3.54 3.97 5.09 4.35 4.89 4.56 6.31 1:50:00 0.00 0.00 3.54 3.70 4.98 4.24 4.77 4.49 6.21 1:55:00 0.00 0.00 2.88 3.55 4.75 4.19 4.71 4.49 6.21 2:00:00 0.00 0.00 2.45 3.28 4.23 4.16 4.68 4.49 6.21 2:05:00 0.00 0.00 1.51 2.03 2.63 2.60 2.92 2.80 3.86 2:10:00 0.00 0.00 0.92 1.23 1.60 1.59 1.79 1.71 2.36 2:15:00 0.00 0.00 0.53 0.72 0.94 0.94 1.05 1.00 1.38 2:20:00 0.00 0.00 0.28 0.41 0.52 0.53 0.60 0.57 0.78 2:25:00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.21 0 25 0.28 0.31 0 29 0.39 2:30:00 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.11 0.10 0.10 0.13 2:35:00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 2:40:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2:45:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2:50:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2:55:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:00:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:05:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:10:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:15:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:20:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:25:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:30:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:35:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:40:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:45:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:50:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3:55:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:00:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:05:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:10:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:15:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:20:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:25:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:30:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:35:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:40:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 4:45:00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

4:50:00

4:55:00

5:00:00

5:05:00

5:10:00

5:15:00

5:20:00

5:25:00

5:30:00

5:35:00

5:40:00

5:45:00

5:50:00

5:55:00

6:00:00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

# SOUTH POND

## FOREBAY VOLUME

V=3% x WQCV

WQCV=	0.484 ac-ft
V <sub>TOTAL</sub> =	0.0145 ac-ft
V <sub>WEST</sub> =	0.0086 ac-ft
V <sub>EAST</sub> =	0.0059 ac-ft

# FOREBAY RELEASE NOTCH WIDTH - WEST

Q=CLH<sup>2/3</sup>

Q <sub>100</sub> =	53.6 cfs
2% of Q=	1.07 cfs
C=	2.6
H (height of forebay wall)=	1 ft
L=	5 in

# FOREBAY RELEASE NOTCH WIDTH - EAST

Q=CLH<sup>2/3</sup>

Q <sub>100</sub> =	36.9 cfs
2% of Q=	0.74 cfs
C=	2.6
H (height of forebay wall)=	1 ft
L=	3 in

# South Pond



Figure 1 - Micropool surface area (SA) determination chart

The tributary impervious area is the effective number of impervious acres that will be treated by the extended detention basin (EDB). It is calculated by multiplying the tributary area to be treated by the impervious fraction of that area. 63.9 × 23.23 = 14.8 ac

	$TIA = I \times A$
TIA	= Tributary impervious area (acres)
1	= Imperviousness (fraction)
Α	= Tributary catchment area upstream (acres)

For EDBs with tributary impervious areas greater than 100 acres, the micropool surface area is 400 sf. The initial surcharge depth (ISD) is defined as the depth of the initial surcharge volume (ISV). The surface area determined using Figure 1 assumes an ISD of 4 inches. The initial surcharge volume is thus calculated by multiplying the micropool surface area by 4 inches.

$$ISV = SA \times 4$$
 inches me (cf)

2

#### DETERMINATION OF CULVERT HEADWATER AND OUTLET PROTECTION MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: Cottages at Woodmen Heights - South Pond outfall ID: CIRCLE Soil Type: Choose One Sandy Non-Sandy Design Information: Design Discharge Q = 16.9 cfs Circular Culvert: Barrel Diameter in Inches D = 18 inches Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list) Grooved Edge Projecting OR: Box Culvert: OR Barrel Height (Rise) in Feet H (Rise) = ft Barrel Width (Span) in Feet W (Span) = ft Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list) Number of Barrels # Barrels = Inlet Elevation Elev IN = 6853.9 ft Outlet Elevation OR Slope Flev OUT = 6853.14 ft Culvert Length lft L = 76.41 Manning's Roughness n = 0.012 Bend Loss Coefficient k<sub>b</sub> = 0 Exit Loss Coefficient k. = 1 Tailwater Surface Elevation Y<sub>t, Elevation</sub> fft Max Allowable Channel Velocity V = 5 ft/s Calculated Results: Culvert Cross Sectional Area Available ft2 A = 1.77 Culvert Normal Depth Y<sub>n</sub> = 1.50 ft Culvert Critical Depth Y<sub>c</sub> = 1.44 ft Froude Number Fr = sure flow! Pre Entrance Loss Coefficient 0.20 k., = Friction Loss Coefficient 1.18 k<sub>f</sub> : Sum of All Loss Coefficients 2.38 ft k. Headwater: $HW_{I} =$ Inlet Control Headwater 3.94 lft Outlet Control Headwater $HW_0 =$ 4.52 lft **Design Headwater Elevation** 6858.42 HW = ff Headwater/Diameter OR Headwater/Rise Ratio HW/D > 1.5! HW/D =3.02 Outlet Protection: ft<sup>0.5</sup>/s Flow/(Diameter^2.5) Q/D^2.5 = 6.13 Tailwater Surface Height 0.60 Y<sub>t</sub> = ft Tailwater/Diameter Yt/D = 0.40 1.82 3.38 Expansion Factor 1/(2\*tan(Θ)) = Flow Area at Max Channel Velocity $A_t =$ ft<sup>2</sup> Width of Equivalent Conduit for Multiple Barrels W<sub>eq</sub> = ft Length of Riprap Protection Lp 8 ft Width of Riprap Protection at Downstream End Ť = 6 ft Adjusted Diameter for Supercritical Flow Da = ft Minimum Theoretical Riprap Size d<sub>50</sub> min= 8 lin Nominal Riprap Size d<sub>50</sub> nominal= 9 in MHFD Riprap Type Type = L



BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS JAN, 1963

### 182



March 29, 2022

## City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Enterprise

30 S. Nevada Ave., Suite 401 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

## **Subject:** Cottages at Woodmen Heights – Sand Creek Variance Request

# **To:**Erin Powers, City of Colorado SpringsTim McConnell, Drexel, Barrell & Co. (DBC)

Goodwin Knight (Applicant) has proposed the construction of a new housing development located south of East Woodmen Road and west of Marksheffel Road in northeast Colorado Springs. The proposed Cottages at Woodmen Heights is shown in **Figure 1**.





This document is provided in support of a request for variance from two criteria applicable to the Project. The Project is adjacent to and west of 1,793 feet of Sand Creek. Based on field observations, the majority of this reach of Sand Creek is relatively stable. There are two existing at-grade (buried) grade control structures, and the bed and banks are covered with heavy vegetation, including wetland vegetation. There is headcutting and undermining of the channel bed at the downstream end of the reach, where a drop structure is being proposed. The purpose of this variance request is to show that the guidance provided in the Sand Creek DBPS and the City DCM are not intended to address specific site conditions, and that implementation of the requirements will cause increases to hydraulic parameters (velocities, Froude numbers, tractive forces) above City criteria. This variance will not result in a change in peak flows or water quality in Fountain Creek.

Civil, Transportation, & Water Resources Engineering Land Surveying • Geomatics • Mapping www.drexelbarrell.com

## Cottages at Woodmen Heights – Sand Creek Variance Request March 29, 2022

The following criteria are applicable to the proposed drop structure, grade control structure (GCS), and bank protection along Sand Creek associated with the Project.

## Recently-approved Sand Creek DBPS – Recommended Stable Slope of 0.2%

The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the east edge of the Project is referenced as SC1R10 in the 2021 DBPS. The 2021 DBPS recommends a stable slope of 0.2% in the upper basin. To achieve this slope in the 9,223-foot reach of SC1R10, 36 3-foot grade control structures are proposed, spaced at 252-foot increments (Table 6-13 attached). The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the project is 1,793 feet at an average slope of 1.6%. To achieve the recommended 0.2% slope adjacent to the Project, approximately thirteen 2-foot drop structures would be required, spaced at a maximum of 140 feet apart.

During a site visit on June 2, 2021, the design team, site owner, and City staff discussed adding a mid-reach buried GCS to meet the 0.2% equivalent stable slope for a portion (350 feet) of the reach. This GCS along with the proposed downstream drop structure will help stabilize the reach between the two structures for future watershed development. The slope for the remainder of the reach is shown on the attached channel profile.

## Recently-approved Sand Creek DBPS – Recommended Typical Section 6

The 2021 DBPS recommends a typical section 6 for reach SC1R10 with the properties shown in the attached Table 7-1, including a proposed 100-year depth and width of 3.61 and 136.9 feet, respectively. The average future conditions (Q=646 cfs) depth and width along the Project reach are 3.06 and 152.3 feet, respectively. Because these values are relatively similar, there is no need for major channel improvements along this reach. There are no side slope recommendations in the 2021 DBPS.

## DCM Table 12.3 – Hydraulic Design Criteria

Table 12-3 in the City DCM provides hydraulic design criteria for natural unlined channels, including maximum velocities, Froude numbers, and tractive forces for the 100-year storm event. The table below provides the velocities, Froude numbers, tractive forces for the 100-year storm event in both existing and proposed conditions along the Project reach of Sand Creek. Locations that exceed the criteria are highlighted in red. In general, the total velocities are below the required 5 fps threshold upstream of the proposed drop. The Froude number and tractive force values are above the criteria for most of the modeled reach.

As a result of the proposed drop, there are slight decreases in hydraulic design parameters through and upstream of the drop. These results suggest that the installation of more drop structures along this reach will not significantly reduce the parameters at all locations and may cause further increases in parameters that are already above the criteria.

According to the attached email from the wetlands consultant for the project (Matrix), the existing channel vegetation consists of a mixture of short native grasses, long native grasses,

# Cottages at Woodmen Heights – Sand Creek Variance Request March 29, 2022

and willow brush. The Living Streambanks Manual (2016) provides allowable shear stresses of 0.7, 1.2, and 2.86 lb/sf for these three types of materials, respectively. The average of these values is 1.6 lb/sf, which is at the upper range of the proposed conditions shear stresses upstream of the proposed drop structure. Therefore, the existing vegetation should be able to withstand the future shear stresses.

	Sand Creek 100-year Future Q = 646 cfs									
	Existing Conditions Proposed Conditions									
Divor Sto	W.S. Elev	Fr # XS	Vel Total	Shear Total	W.S. Elev	Fr # XS	Vel Total	Shear Total	Notes	
River Sta										
	(ft)		(ft/s)	(lb/sq ft)	(ft)		(ft/s)	(lb/sq ft)		
111	6882.2	0.54	4.07	1.3	6882.2	0.54	4.07	1.3		
110	6000 1	0.74	2 OE	1.62	6000 1	0.74	2.05	1.62	North limit of Project.	
110	0000.1	0.74	2.02 1.02	1.05 0000.1 0.7	5.05 1.05 0000.1 0.74 5.85	5.65	1.05	Existing GCS		
109	6876.0	0.61	3.75	1.64	6876.0	0.61	3.75	1.64		
108	6873.4	0.55	2.33	0.45	6873.4	0.55	2.33	0.45		
107	6869.8	1.03	3.38	1.04	6869.8	1.03	3.38	1.04		
106	6866.8	0.47	2.49	0.73	6866.8	0.47	2.49	0.73	Proposed GCS	
105	6864.3	0.96	4.05	1.51	6864.3	0.96	4.05	1.51		
104	6862.0	0.41	2.66	0.84	6862.0	0.41	2.66	0.84	Existing GCS	
103	6860.1	0.6	2.98	0.65	6860.1	0.6	2.98	0.65		
102	6858.7	0.93	3.7	1.41	6858.7	0.93	3.56	1.24	South limit of Project	
101.9					6858.2	1.04	4.16	1.17	Upstream Drop	
101.1					6857.4	0.79	5.21	1.04	Downstream Drop	
101	6856.2	1	9.62	6.82	6856.2	1	9.62	6.82		
100	6854.5	0.66	5.94	2.68	6854.5	0.66	5.94	2.68		
Average (Dvmt)		0.70	3.24	1.10		0.70	3.23	1.08		
Average (Total)		0.71	4.07	1.73		0.74	4.15	1.62		
Criteria Exceeded	(in red)	F > 0.6	V > 5 fps	S > 0.6 lb/sf		F > 0.6	V > 5 fps	S > 0.6 lb/sf		

In summary, the purpose of this document is to provide support of a request for variance from two criteria (Sand Creek DBPS and City DCM) applicable to the Project. Please contact me if you have any further questions or comments.

Sincerely, Drexel, Barrell & Co.

Michelle Iblings, P.E., CFM <u>miblings@drexelbarrell.com</u> (303) 442-4338

H:\21369-00CSCV\Reports\Floodplain\Variance\Submitted March 2022\21369 Sand Creek Variance 3.29.2022.Docx



### 1800 38<sup>th</sup> St. • Boulder, CO 80301 • 303.442.4338 • 303.442.4373 fax 3 South 7th St. • Colorado Springs, CO 80905 • 719-260-0887 • 719-260-8352 fax 710 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite L-45 • Greeley, CO 80631 • 970-351-0645

### Select Tables from the 2021 Sand Creek DBPS

#### Table 6-13. Alternative 2 Conveyance Improvements Downstream of Regional Pond 1

		Channel Geometry				Grade Control Structures			
ReachName	Туре	Channel_ID	Length	Typical Section	Topwidth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Number	Height (ft)	Spacing (ft)
SC101	Type 2 Improved Existing or future problems		1274				12	3	767
SC1R10	Type 3 - Unimproved - Existing or future problems	6	9223	6	144	5	36	3	252

Table 7-1. Properties of Channel Improvement Theme ID

	Engineered Channel Section Natur					al	Engineere	d Channe	Section
Channel ID	1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8
BW	16	22	32	44	20		32	42	64
Bankfull depth	0.90	1.29	1.87	2.62	0.6		1.05	1.35	1.95
Bankfull width	23.24	32.34	46.99	64.96	24.84		40.37	52.78	79.6
Bankfull w/d	26	25	25	25	41		38	39	41
10yr depth	2.09	3.03	4.37	5.72	1.44		2.38	2.99	4.78
10yr width	51.59	76.24	106.97	137.2	59.52		87.01	119.91	186.25
10yr w/d	25	25	24	24	41		37	40	39
100yr depth	3.22	4.44	6.3	7.97	1.89		3.61	4.2	6.99
100yr width	77.78	107.51	154.41	193.71	75.16		136.9	170.75	275.93
100yr w/d	24	24	25	24	40		38	41	39
TW	92	120	168	200	84		144	188	284
Total depth	5	6	8	9	3		5	6	8
Slope	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.20%		0.20%	0.20%	0.20%

Civil, Transportation, & Water Resources Engineering Land Surveying • Geomatics • Mapping www.drexelbarrell.com



(21369-00CSCV/Plans\Sand Creek - BOULDER\21369-00 Sand Creek SP1.dwg, 1/13/2022 9:33:42 /

	PREPARED BY:		
LEGEND			
HEC-RAS CROSS SECTION - 12345			
SAND CREEK CENTERLINE	DREXEL, BARRELL & CO.		
FEMA EFFECTIVE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN (12/07/2018)	Engineers • Surveyors 3 SOUTH 7TH STREET COLORADO SPGS_COLORADO 80905		
BOUNDARY BETWEEN SURVEYED CONTOURS AND LIDAR CONTOURS	CONTACT: TIM D. McCONNELL, P.E. (719)260-0887		
EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR	BOULDER • COLORADO SPRINGS • GREELEY		
	CLIENT:		
	GOODWIN KNIGHT		
	8605 EXPLORER DRIVE, SUITE 250		
	COLORADO 80920		
	(719)-598-5192		
	ADC T1 O		
	AN: AN: OR		
118			
	SA SA CO CO		
	OR OR		
	ISSUE DATE		
	75% SUBMITTAL 10-30-20 90% SUBMITTAL 10-21-21		
	100% SUBMITTAL 01-07-22		
107	DESIGNED BY: TDM		
	DRAWN BY: SLG		
	FILE NAMES 9-00 SAND CREEK		
ſ.			
	DRAWING SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1" = 100"		
80 40 0 80 160	VERTICAL: N/A		
SCALE. 1"_90'	CHANNEL		
SCALE: I = BU			
Know what's below.	PROJECT NO. 21369-00CSCV DRAWING NO.		
Call before you dig.			
CALL 2-BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE	E		
UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.			
SE REVIEW FILE NO.: CPC PUD 20-00054	SHEET: 2 OF 2		



# **Michelle Iblings**

From:	Nicole Schanel < Nicole_Schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com>
Sent:	Thursday, March 24, 2022 1:01 PM
То:	Michelle Iblings; Tori Mack
Cc:	Tim McConnell
Subject:	RE: Sand Creek Improvements - USACE Permit
Attachments:	Biostabilization Manual Draft 102916.pdf

## Hi Michelle -

For this project, we can only speak to the wetlands that we located. The delineation was focused between cross sections 107 and 100 as shown in Drexel's RAS model. In these sections, the primary species included willows, grasses, and herbaceous species. The soils are Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls which have low cohesive properties.

I have attached the Living Streambanks Manual. We believe that the existing vegetation would fall into short or long native grasses which puts you into the 0.7-0.95 or 1.2-1.7 range, respectively; likely on the lower end due to the soil type. The willow brush does not seem to be present uniformly, rather in clumps, so this may not be appropriate to use as a primary classifier.

Summary:		
Vegetation Type	Shear (lb/ft2)	Velocity (ft/s)
Short native grasses*	0.7-0.95	3-4
Long native grasses	1.2-1.7	4-6
Grass Mix, easily eroded soil, 0-		4
5% slope		
Willow brush (3-4 seasons old)	2.86	
Willow brush (immediately after	0.41	
construction)		

## Please let me know if you have any questions.

### Thanks,



Nicole Schanel, PE Deputy Director, Civil South Senior Project Manager Matrix Design Group, Inc. 0 719.575.0100 | C 719.659.6141

nicole.schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com

2435 ResearchPkwy | Suite 300 | Colorado Springs, CO 80920 matrixdesigngroup.com

JOIN OUR GROWING TEAM! Click Here to Learn More

**Confidential/Proprietary Note:** The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. Access to this email by anyone other than the intended addressee is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, retention, or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please reply to or forward a copy of this message to the sender and delete the message, any attachments, and any copies thereof from your system. Thank you.

CHANNEL DESIGN REPORT for Sand Creek Drop Structure and Grade Control

Associated with Cottages at Woodmen Heights Development

East Woodmen Road west of Marksheffel Road Colorado Springs, Colorado

April 27, 2022

Prepared for:

City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Enterprise

30 S. Nevada Avenue, Suite 401 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 Contact: Erin Powers (719) 358-5918

Prepared by:

## Drexel, Barrell & Co.

1800 38<sup>th</sup> Street Boulder, CO 80301 Contact: Michelle Iblings, P.E. (303) 442-4338

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS	••••
2.0	PURPOSE	1
3.0	PREVIOUS REPORTS AND JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	1
4.0	SITE DESCRIPTION	2
5.0	PROPOSED CONDITIONS	3
6.0	CHANNEL AND STRUCTURE DESIGN	4
7.0	DRAINAGE FEES	6
8.0	CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION	6
9.0	PHASING	6
10.0	SUMMARY	7
11.0	REFERENCES	7

# **APPENDICES**

CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS EXHIBIT SITE PHOTOGRAPHS FEMA FIRM SOILS MAP DROP STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS EXISTING VEGETATION EMAIL 1996 SAND CREEK DBPS – SELECT PAGES 2021 SAND CREEK DBPS – SELECT PAGES 100% CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS VARIANCE CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

# CHANNEL DESIGN REPORT for Sand Creek Drop Structure and Grade Control

# 2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Channel Design Report is to provide the background information and supporting calculations for proposed drop and grade control structures along Sand Creek associated with the nearby Cottages at Woodmen Heights development (Project).

# 3.0 PREVIOUS REPORTS AND JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

## Sand Creek DBPS

The Sand Creek DBPS was originally developed in 1996 and was recently updated and approved in 2021. The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the east edge of the Project is referencPage ed as Reach SC-7 in the 1996 DBPS. Three buried check structures and left bank protection were proposed along this reach, as shown in the Appendices.

The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the east edge of the Project is also referenced as SC1R10 in the 2021 DBPS. The 2021 DBPS recommends a stable slope of 0.2% in the upper basin. To achieve this slope in the 9,223-foot reach of SC1R10, 36 3-foot grade control structures are proposed in the DBPS, spaced at 252-foot increments.

The 1996 and 2021 (labeled as 2019) drainage areas and 100-year flow rates at Woodmen Road are summarized below. The reason for the reduced flow rate is the construction of a regional pond upstream of E. Woodmen Road. In coordination with the City, DBC is using the future 100-year flow rate of 646 cfs (Table 3-13 from the 2019 DBPS) for design of the drop structure and the hydraulic analysis of Sand Creek.

1996	2019	1996	2019	1996	2019
Drainage	Drainage	Existing	Existing	Future	Future
Area (mi2)	Area (mi2)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)

## FEMA Regulations

The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the east edge of the Project is located within the 100-year floodplain as determined by the FIRM number 08041C0533G, effective 12/7/2018. The FEMA Effective 100-year flow rate for Sand Creek at Woodmen Road is 2,600 cfs (established prior to the currently adopted DBPS). The proposed improvements will require coordination with the Pikes Peak Regional Building Department (PPRBD). A separate no-rise analysis will be submitted to the PPRPD.

## US Fish and Wildlife Service Requirements

The USFWS requirements associated with this Project are covered by another consultant.

# CHANNEL DESIGN REPORT for Sand Creek Drop Structure and Grade Control

# **1.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS**

## **Engineer's Statement**

This report and plan for the drainage design of a drop structure and grade control along Sand Creek associated with the <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights</u> was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said report and plan has been prepared in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual and is in conformity with the master plan of the Sand Creek drainage basin. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

SIGNATURE (Affix Seal): Michelle Iblings, Colorado P.E. #43515

## **Developer's Statement**



<u>Goodwin Knight</u> hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for the drop structure and grade control along Sand Creek associated

with the <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights</u> shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that are submitted to the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to section 7.7.906 of the City Code; and cannot, on behalf of the <u>Cottages at Woodmen Heights</u>, guarantee that the final drainage design review will absolve <u>Goodwin Knight</u> and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

4.27.2022

Authorized Signature Date Bryan D. Kniep, Vice President – Planning & Community Development Goodwin Knight, 8605 Explorer Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80920

# **City of Colorado Springs Statement**

Filed in accordance with Section 7.7.906 of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs, 2001, as amended.

<u> / || ) if u</u>

2022/04/29

For City Engineer Conditions:

Date

# US Army Corps of Engineers Requirements

The USACE requirements associated with this Project are covered by another consultant. This report and the associated plans were sent to the USACE with a permit application.

# 4.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Project is located south of E. Woodmen Road and west of Marksheffel Road in the City of Colorado Springs, County of El Paso, Colorado as shown on **Figure 1**. Sand Creek flows from north to south along 1,800 feet of the eastern edge of the Project, outside of the Project limits.



Figure 1. Location Map

The channel slope ranges from 1 to 5%, with an average of 2% over the Project reach. Near the proposed drop structure, the left (east) bank slopes range from 1.4 to 1.5 (H:1V), and the right (west) bank slopes range from 1.8 to 2.7 (H:1V). The creek has two large bends at the downstream end of the Project reach, showing evidence of migration over time. There is an overhead electric and underground water line crossing near and upstream of the proposed drop structure, as shown in the attached design plans. There are also two existing grade control structures along the Project reach. The downstream grade control structure is 250 feet in length and was constructed in 2008 to protect a water line from channel erosion and scour. It consists of a one-foot-wide concrete wall upstream of buried riprap. The depth and width of the buried riprap is uncertain, but some of it was observed as exposed in the field.

During site visits in June 2020 and June 2021, the active channel width was observed to be very narrow compared to the floodplain. The channel and overbanks are densely covered with grasses and wetland vegetation as shown in the pictures in the Appendices.

Evidence of bed and bank erosion was also observed at the downstream end of the study reach, but the middle and upstream reaches appeared to be stable.

Other proposed improvements in the vicinity include design plans for the Sand Creek Stabilization at Aspen Meadows (Subdivision Filing No. 1), February 2020. The plans include grade control features along a 3,800-foot reach of Sand Creek upstream of E. Woodmen Road and centered at the future extension of N. Marksheffel Road. Various boulder drop structures and rock cross vanes were designed for a 100-year flow rate of 2,062 cfs.

## <u>Soils</u>

According to the attached Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado, prepared by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), the site is underlain by the Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls (Hydrologic Soil Group A).

# <u>Climate</u>

This area of El Paso County is in the foothills of the Rocky Mountains, with total precipitation amounts typical of a semi-arid region. Winters are generally cold and dry, and summers relatively warm and dry. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 14 inches per year, with the majority of this moisture occurring in the spring and summer in the form of rainfall. Thunderstorms are common during the summer months.

# 5.0 **PROPOSED CONDITIONS**

The proposed drop and grade control structures are shown on the construction plans in the Appendix.

The purpose of the proposed drop structure is to isolate and dissipate channel energy at and downstream of the drop, and to reduce the potential for future degradation, scour, and migration of the active channel. It is located near the check structure proposed at Station 702+00 in the 1996 DBPS and situated at the steepest portion of the reach. Left (east) bank protection adjacent to and upstream of the drop structure is also proposed due to the steep bank slopes in this area.

The purpose of the proposed grade control structure (GCS) in the middle of the study reach is to provide an equivalent stable slope of 0.2% for approximately 350 feet, extending downstream from the proposed GCS to the existing 250-foot-long GCS.

The Project area is owned and maintained by the City, with various Colorado Springs Utilities easements. It is assumed that the existing gravel maintenance road east of Sand Creek can be used to access the site for construction.

There are no other proposed stormwater facilities.

# 6.0 CHANNEL AND STRUCTURE DESIGN

## <u>H&H Criteria</u>

A hydraulic model of Sand Creek was created in HEC RAS version 5.0.7. The model study reach extends 1,800 feet from the upstream limit immediately south of E. Woodmen Road to approximately 200 feet downstream of the Project. The purpose of the hydraulic analysis is to estimate hydraulic parameters to determine the potential for bed and bank erosion, as well as those necessary to design the drop structure and bank protection.

The hydraulic analysis has been prepared in accordance with the current City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM) and is not meant to be used for any FEMA regulatory purposes.

## <u>Site Constraints</u>

The location of the proposed drop structure was chosen for several reasons, including channel steepness, existing channel bed and bank erosion, conformance with the 1996 DBPS, and various utility crossings. There are buried and overhead electric lines across the proposed drop structure. We are currently coordinating with Colorado Springs Utilities for work in their easement, and their approval is pending.

The location of the proposed GCS was chosen mid-reach to meet the equivalent 0.2% stable slope for a portion (350 feet) of the reach. There is an existing underground electric line that crosses the proposed GCS and should be protected during construction.

## Drop Structure Components

The grouted sloped boulder (GSB) drop structure is designed using the Simplified Design Procedure in Chapter 9 of the USDCM Volume 2. The drop includes 24" grouted boulders on the 27-ft wide v-shaped low-flow channel bottom, extending from the drop crest at Elev 6854 downstream 26 feet to the drop toe at Elev 6852. There are riprap approach sections 8.5 feet upstream and 14 feet downstream of the drop. Cutoff walls of 6-ft depth extend across the low-flow section at the crest and toe and provide transition between the drop and approach sections.

Soil riprap is proposed along both banks above the 24" boulders through the drop structure section. Cutoff walls (in the direction of flow) are also proposed between the 24" boulders and the soil riprap. The combined boulder-riprap bank protection extends upstream of the drop on the left (east) bank for approximately 100 linear feet due to the steep slopes in this area. The boulder placement, grout placement, materials, and riprap gradations are specified in the construction plans in the Appendix.

The soil riprap was designed using Chapter 8 of the USDCM Volume 2. The drop structure and riprap calculations are provided in the Appendix.

# GCS Components

The proposed GCS extends across the channel for 50 feet and includes 24" grouted boulders on a 30-ft wide v-shaped low-flow channel bottom. There are 10-ft wide riprap sections on either side of the boulders. The GCS is set at a 4H:1V slope along the channel and includes an 8-ft long upstream approach riprap section. The entire GCS is buried 4-

6 inches below the existing grade, allowing the existing soils to be stockpiled during construction and reused to restore the existing vegetation.

## Hydraulic Analysis and Results

The existing conditions geometry is based on a combination of Lidar contours provided by Colorado Springs and survey obtained by Barron Land Surveying dated February 2020, as shown in the attached Channel Improvements Exhibit. Twelve channel XS were placed at points of perceived major changes in channel planform and vertical grade. XS 104 and 110 were placed at the existing grade control structures. XS 101 and 102 were placed at the proposed drop structure. An AutoCAD surface was created and used to extract the channel centerline alignment and elevations, as well as station-elevation and downstream distance data for each XS.

Existing conditions channel and overbank Manning's n-values of 0.06 and 0.08 respectively are based on field observations of dense vegetation. There are no existing structures modeled in the reach. Ineffective flow areas (IFA's) were applied at high points within XS 103 through 107 to contain flows within the effective floodplain. The subcritical downstream boundary condition was set to normal depth with a slope of 0.0177 ft/ft. The model was executed for the steady-state future 100-year peak flow rate of 646 cfs.

The existing conditions geometry was modified to include a two-foot-high drop structure between XS 101 and 102 to represent proposed conditions. XS 102 was copied 10 feet downstream to XS 101.9 and modified to represent the top/crest of the drop. XS 101 was copied 8 feet upstream to XS 101.1 and modified to represent the bottom/toe of the drop. A roughness coefficient of 0.06 was used to represent the boulders and riprap through the drop structure and bank protection sections. The Cottages at Woodmen Heights Project grading is located outside of the FEMA Effective 100-year floodplain and does not affect the existing channel geometry. Therefore, no changes were made to the existing conditions geometry from XS 102 to 111.

**Table 1** below provides the velocities, Froude numbers, tractive forces, and WSE for the 100-year storm event in both existing and proposed conditions. Table 12-3 in the City DCM provides hydraulic design criteria for natural unlined channels, including maximum velocities, Froude numbers and tractive forces. Locations that exceed these criteria are highlighted in red in Table 1. The full hydraulic model results are provided in the Appendix.

In general, the existing and proposed velocities are below the criteria upstream of the drop and above the criteria downstream of the drop. The existing and proposed Froude numbers are slightly above the criteria at many locations, and the tractive forces are well above the criteria. The proposed parameters are slightly lower than existing upstream of the drop structure. There is no rise in 100-year WSE's from this Project.

According to the attached email from the wetlands consultant for the project (Matrix), the existing channel vegetation consists of a mixture of short native grasses, long native grasses, and willow brush. The Living Streambanks Manual (2016) provides allowable shear stresses of 0.7, 1.2, and 2.86 lb/sf for these three types of materials, respectively. The average of these values is 1.6 lb/sf, which is at the upper range of the proposed

conditions shear stresses page upstream of the proposed drop structure. Therefore, the existing vegetation should be able to withstand the future shear stresses.

Rip-rap Design and Analysis

Riprap calculations are provided in the Appendix.

## Table 1. Summary of Sand Creek existing and proposed conditions hydraulic results

	Existing Conditions					Proposed			
Piwor Sta	W.S. Elev	Fr # XS	Vel Total	Shear Total	W.S. Elev	Fr # XS	Vel Total	Shear Total	Notes
NIVEI Sta									
	(ft)		(ft/s)	(lb/sq ft)	(ft)		(ft/s)	(lb/sq ft)	
111	6882.2	0.54	4.07	1.3	6882.2	0.54	4.07	1.3	
110	6880.1	0.74	3.85	1.63	6880.1	0.74	3.85	1.63	North limit of Project. Existing GCS
109	6876.0	0.61	3.75	1.64	6876.0	0.61	3.75	1.64	
108	6873.4	0.55	2.33	0.45	6873.4	0.55	2.33	0.45	
107	6869.8	1.03	3.38	1.04	6869.8	1.03	3.38	1.04	
106	6866.8	0.47	2.49	0.73	6866.8	0.47	2.49	0.73	Proposed GCS
105	6864.3	0.96	4.05	1.51	6864.3	0.96	4.05	1.51	
104	6862.0	0.41	2.66	0.84	6862.0	0.41	2.66	0.84	Existing GCS
103	6860.1	0.6	2.98	0.65	6860.1	0.6	2.98	0.65	
102	6858.7	0.93	3.7	1.41	6858.7	0.93	3.56	1.24	South limit of Project
101.9					6858.2	1.04	4.16	1.17	Upstream Drop
101.1					6857.4	0.79	5.21	1.04	Downstream Drop
101	6856.2	1	9.62	6.82	6856.2	1	9.62	6.82	
100	6854.5	0.66	5.94	2.68	6854.5	0.66	5.94	2.68	
Average (Dvmt)		0.70	3.24	1.10		0.70	3.23	1.08	
Average (Total)		0.71	4.07	1.73		0.74	4.15	1.62	
Criteria Exceeded	(in red)	F > 0.6	V > 5 fps	S > 0.6 lb/sf		F > 0.6	V > 5 fps	S > 0.6 lb/sf	

# 7.0 DRAINAGE FEES

The Project is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. The proposed 2021 Drainage Fee for the Sand Creek Basin is \$18,841 per acre.

# 8.0 CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

A construction cost opinion is provided in the Appendix.

# 9.0 PHASING

The general timeline of construction will be addressed as part of the Cottages at Woodmen Heights development plans.

# Grading and Erosion Control Plan

In accordance with the City of Colorado Springs DCM, a Grading and Erosion Control Plan will be submitted as part of the Cottages at Woodmen Heights development plans.

# 10.0 SUMMARY

Based on field observations and the hydraulic model results, there is currently the potential for continued bed and bank erosion along the study reach of Sand Creek. The average velocities are generally within City criteria along the Project development reach. The proposed development does not cause any significant change to the erosion potential for Sand Creek. There are no increases in flow rates, water surface elevations, velocities, Froude numbers, or tractive forces due to the Cottages at Woodmen Heights development project.

The findings of this report are in general conformance with the Sand Creek DBPS. The 1993 DBPS proposed three grade control structures. There are already two existing grade control structures along the reach, so we have designed a third structure between XS 101 and 102. We have also designed a mid-reach buried grade control structure that meets the recommended equivalent 0.2% stable slope (2021 DBPS) for a portion (350 feet) of the reach. The facility will be designed safely.

# **11.0 REFERENCES**

The sources of information used in the development of this study are listed below:

- 1. City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, January 2021.
- 2. City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2, December 2020.
- 3. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, Mile High Flood District, August 2018.
- 4. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2, Mile High Flood District, September 2017.
- 5. Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study (DBPS), Kiowa Engineering Corporation, March 1996.
- 6. Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study (DBPS), Stantec, January 2021.
APPENDICES

## CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS EXHIBIT



		PREPARED BY:
	LEGEND	-0-
	HEC-RAS CROSS SECTION -12345	
	SAND CREEK CENTERLINE	DREXEL, BARRELL & CO.
	(12/07/2018) FEMA EFFECTIVE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN	Engineers • Surveyors 3 SOUTH 7TH STREET
	BOUNDARY BETWEEN SURVEYED CONTOURS AND LIDAR CONTOURS	COLORADO SPGS, COLORADO 80905 CONTACT: TIM D. McCONNELL, P.E. (719)260.0887
	EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR	BOULDER • COLORADO SPRINGS • GREELEY
	PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR	CLIENT:
		GOODWIN KNIGHT
		8605 EXPLORER DRIVE, SUITE 250 COLORADO SPRINGS,
		(719)-598-5192
121		
		ADO
$-\gamma (l)$		AY BAN
		E N E F
一個		TURI C
		ST UN
· 》》		AD AD ADC ADC
		RC S S
<i>浙</i> 昌		
認知		
始良 -		
1/12		ISSUE DATE
		75% SUBMITTAL 10-30-20 90% SUBMITTAL 10-21-21
		100% SUBMITTAL 01-07-22
107		DESIGNED BY: TDM
		CHECKED BY: TDM
		FILL NAMESE 9-00 SAND CREEK
ſ		
~		
		DRAWING SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1" = 100"
80	40 0 80 160	VERTICAL: N/A
	SCALE: 1"=80'	
		PROJECT NO. 21369-000SCV
	Know what's below.	DRAWING NO.
		ICE
<u>ا</u> س /	BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.	
	ILL NO., OFC FUD 20-00034	I SHEEL I OF 2



	PREPARED BY:
LECEND	CLIENT: GOODWIN KNIGHT BOOD SPRIJCOLOGRADO BOUDER + COLORADO SPRIMOS - ORELEY COLORADO SPRIJCOLORADO BOULDER + COLORADO SPRIMOS - ORELEY CLIENT: BOODWIN KNIGHT BOOD SPRIMOS, COLORADO SPRIMOS, C
	100% CONSTRUCTION PLANS SAND CREEK DROP STRUCTURE 7725 ADVENTURE WAY COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
	ISSUE DATE
	75% SUBMITTAL         10-30-20           90% SUBMITTAL         10-21-21           100% SUBMITTAL         01-07-22
	DESIGNED BY: TDM DRAWN BY: SLG CHECKED BY: TDM FILE NAMER(9-00 SAND CREEK
80 40 0 80 160	DRAWING SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1" = 100" VERTICAL: N/A
SCALE: 1"=80'	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS EXHIBIT
Know what's below. Call before you dig CALL 2- BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVA BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR BECAVATE FOR THE MAXIMUM OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER VOLTITIES.	PROJECT NO. 21369-00CSCV DRAWING NO.
REVIEW FILE NO .: CPC PUD 20-0005	4 SHEET: 2 OF 2



Photo 1: Looking north near XS 104



Photo 2: Looking north near XS 103





#### NOTES TO USERS

#### I map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly fit roes of small size. The community map repository should e Program. It doe

obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BF o obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs divide Roodways Inter been determined, users are encouraged to consult he Food offise and Picobary Data active Summary of Silvater Elevations tables containes from the Rood Neurosci Subj (FS) control that accompanies than FBM. Users call be assess that BFEs shown on the FIMM regressent rounded whole-too withouts. These BFEs are intended for thood insurance entities purposes only and could not be used as the sole source of food elevation information. Accordingly on determinion tables are shown on the room food and the source of food elevation information. Accordingly to determine the source of food elevation information. Accordingly

attal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0 fm American Vertical Datum of 1988 (AVXDB). Users of the FRM should be use that coasts for delevations are also provided in the Summary of Silbula with the Summary of Silbulate Elevations table should be used for contructor for foodplan management purposes when they are in Tabler than the devation

oundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolate treven cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with aged to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Those Program, Those Program, Those Program, Those Program, Stochasy width of other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report this jurisdiction.

tain arces not in Special Flood Hazard Arces may be prote actures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" dy report for information on flood control structures for this ju

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transvers Marcalin (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was MODB, OTSRS sphero production of PTMRs for adjacent subsidions may result in sight position differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do no affect the accuracy of this PTMR.

Pool elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum 1486 (MANDB). These food elevations must be compared to structure are compared by the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the compared by the structure of the str

UAA, NINGS12 ational Geodetic Survey SMC-3, #8202 115 East-West Highway Iver Spring, MD 20910-3282

oblain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench mark an on this map, please contact the information Services Branch of the Nation detic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.rgs.noaa.gov/

ase Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Pas ounly, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. Thes ta are current as of 2008.

We common an account of the second se

vporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have curred after this map was published, may users should contact appropriate mmunity officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

In the separately protect Map tester for an numrices map of the noun I layout of map panels: community map repository addresses, and ommunities table containing National Rood insurance Program dates to unity as well as a listing of the panels on which each community

ntact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchang MX1 1-677-355-3527 for information on available products associated with the optimum control of the service of the service of the service of the MSC may o be reached by Fax at 1-600-358-6620 and its website is privary mis clima govid.

ou have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Fi urance Program in general, please call 1477-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627 it the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/businessinfip.

III III generative sterna gov/business-mp. El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Verical Datum Offset (t) Flooding Source

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a perating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado er Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Cooperatin Water Con

available from local communities and the Colora Water Conservation Board.







	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI) <ul> <li>Area of Interest (AOI)</li> <li>Area of Interest (AOI)</li> </ul> Soils <ul> <li>C</li> <li>C</li> </ul> Soil Rating Polygons <li>A</li> A Area   A Area   A Area   A Area   A Area   B Area   C/D B   C/D B   C/D B   C/D B   D B   C/D B   A Area or not available   D B   A Area   B Area   A Area   A Area   B Area   A Area   B Area   B Area   A Area   B Area   A Area   B Area   A Area   B Area   A Area   B<	MAP INFORMATION         The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.         Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.         Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailer scale.         Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.         Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:         Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)         Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.         This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data of the version date(s) listed below.         Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 13, 2019         Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.         Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Set 23, 2018         The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

# Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name		Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
9	Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls	A		43.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st			43.0	100.0%

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

# **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### Sand Creek Drop Structure and Grade Control, Colorado Springs Boulder & Riprap Sizing 1/12/2022

#### HEC-RAS Output at Crest Drop, RS 102



#### Riprap Sizing, per Design Procedure, CSU 1988

Parameter	<u>Eqn/Ref</u>	Value	Notes		
Slope, S			0.01 Slope of channel up/downstream of o	drop	
Unit peak discharge (UPD), q	MHFD Fig 9-1.		12.5		
Cf	CSU 7.1		3.0 High probability of channelized flow		
Design UPD, q'	CSU 7.1		37.5 cfs/ft		
Adjusted UPD, q*	CSU 7.2		50.6 cfs/ft		
D50, angular	CSU 7.3		6.5 inches		
D50, design	MHFD 8.1.2		9.8 inches, 1.5 SF	=>	Use 12", Type M

Drexel, Barrell Co.

H:\21369-00CSCV\Reports\Floodplain\Drop Design\Reference\Sand Creek Drop Calcs 2021.xlsx Drop Boulder Sizing 1/12/2022

Cottages at Woodmen Heights Development Sand Creek, Colorado Springs 21369-02

Roughness Coefficient Calculations 1/12/2022

y =	4.5	feet (XS 102)
D =	2	feet
y/D =	2.25	
n =	0.06	Equation 9-1

The following equations may be used to find the recommended Manning's n as a function of flow depth over height of the boulders, y/D, as represented by the curves in Figure 9-3:

When the upper one-half (plus or minus 1 inch) of the rock height is ungrouted, the equation for *n* is:

$$n_{24"-42"(1/2)} = \frac{0.097 (y/D)^{0.16}}{\ln(2.55 y/D)}$$
Equation 9-1

When the upper one-third (plus or minus 1 inch) of the rock height is ungrouted, the equation for n is:

$$n_{24"-42"(2/3)} = \frac{0.086(y/D)^{0.16}}{\ln(2.55y/D)}$$

Equation 9-2

Where:

y = depth of flow above top of rock (feet)

D = diameter of the boulder (feet)

The upper limit for Equation 9-1 is  $n \le 0.104$  and for Equation 9-2 is  $n \le 0.092$ . Determine the value for "y" by reviewing the HEC\_RAS cross sections and determining an appropriate representation of the average flow depth over the structure. If the value for y/D is  $\le 1$ , use 1.

In non-cohesive soil channels and channels where future degradation is expected, especially where there is no drop structure immediately downstream, it is generally recommended that the stilling basin be eliminated and the sloping face extended five feet below the downstream future channel invert elevation (after accounting for future streambed degradation). A scour hole will form naturally downstream of a structure in non-cohesive soils and construction of a hard basin is an unnecessary cost. Additionally, a hard basin would be at risk for undermining. See Figure 9-12 for the profile of the GSB and Figure 9-17 for that of an SC in this configuration. In some cases, the structure may have a net drop height of zero immediately after construction, but is designed with a long-term net height of 3 to 5 feet to accommodate future lowering of the channel invert.



Figure 9-1. Stilling basin length based on unit discharge (for simplified design procedure)

## 2.2.6 Seepage Analysis and Cutoff Wall Design

The simplified drop structure design only applies to drops with cutoffs located in cohesive soils. Therefore, it is necessary to determine surface and subsurface soil conditions in the vicinity of a proposed drop structure prior to being able to use the simplified approach for cutoff design. For a drop structure constructed in cohesive soils meeting all requirements of a simplified design, the cutoff wall must be a minimum of six feet deep for concrete and ten feet deep for sheet pile.

If a proposed drop structure meets the requirements of the simplified approach, but is located in noncohesive soils, guidance on determining the required cutoff wall depth is described in Section 2.4.

## 8.1 Riprap Sizing

Procedures for sizing rock to be used in soil riprap, void-filled riprap, and riprap over bedding are the same.

## 8.1.1 Mild Slope Conditions

When subcritical flow conditions occur and/or slopes are mild (less than 2 percent), UDFCD recommends the following equation (Hughes, et al, 1983):

$$d_{50} \ge \left[\frac{VS^{0.17}}{4.5(G_s - 1)^{0.66}}\right]^2$$

Equation 8-11

Where:

- V = mean channel velocity (ft/sec)
- S = longitudinal channel slope (ft/ft)
- $d_{50}$  = mean rock size (ft)

Gs = specific gravity of stone (minimum = 2.50, typically 2.5 to 2.7), Note: In this equation (Gs -1) considers the buoyancy of the water, in that the specific gravity of water is subtracted from the specific gravity of the rock.

Note that Equation 8-11 is applicable for sizing riprap for channel lining with a longitudinal slope of no more than 2%. This equation is not intended for use in sizing riprap for steep slopes (typically in excess of 2 percent), rundowns, or protection downstream of culverts. Information on rundowns is provided in Section 7.0 of the *Hydraulic Structures* chapter of the USDCM, and protection downstream of culverts is discussed in the *Culverts and Bridges* chapter. For channel slopes greater than 2% use one of the methods presented in 8.1.2.

Rock size does not need to be increased for steeper channel side slopes, provided the side slopes are no steeper than 2.5H:1V (UDFCD 1982). Channel side slopes steeper than 2.5H:1V are not recommended because of stability, safety, and maintenance considerations. See Figure 8-34 for riprap placement specifications. At the upstream and downstream termination of a riprap lining, the thickness should be increased 50% for at least 3 feet to prevent undercutting.

## 8.1.2 Steep Slope Conditions

Steep slope rock sizing equations are used for applications where the slope is greater than 2 percent and/or flows are in the supercritical flow regime. The following rock sizing equations may be referred to for riprap design analysis on steep slopes:

- CSU Equation, Development of Riprap Design Criteria by Riprap Testing in Flumes: Phase II (prepared by S.R. Abt, et al, Colorado State University, 1988). This method was developed for steep slopes from 2 to 20 percent.
- USDA- Agricultural Research Service Equations, *Design of Rock Chutes* (by K.M. Robinson, et al, USDA- ARS, 1998 Transactions of ASAE) and *An Excel Program to Design Rock Chutes for Grade*

January 2016

*Stabilization*, (K.M. Robinson, et al, USDA- ARS, 2000 ASAE Meeting Presentation). This method is based on laboratory data for slopes from 2 to 40 percent.

USACE Steep Slope Riprap Equation, *Hydraulic Design of Flood Control Channels, EM1110-2-1601*, (June 1994). This method is applicable for slopes from 2 to 20 percent.

All three of the steep slope methods are based on two key parameters: unit discharge and slope. Flow concentration is one of the main problems that can develop along steep riprap slopes; both CSU and USACE methods recommend that the design unit discharge be increased by a flow concentration factor. When using the CSU equation or the USDA method, increase the largest rock size by approximately 30% when specifying standard UDFCD riprap gradations. This increase accounts for the fact that the steep slope equations were developed using poorly graded rock (uniform in size) unlike the well-graded gradations in UDFCD specifications. Additionally, for the reasons described in the following section, it is typical to also apply a safety factor of 1.5 or more times the calculated D50 riprap size when using any of these steep slope riprap sizing methods. When using the CSU equation or the USDA method apply the safety factor after increasing the largest rock size by 30%.

## 8.1.3 Design Safety Factor

Whether in mild slope or steep slope conditions, consider a safety factor when specifying the sides of riprap. Sizing methods presented in this manual were developed from controlled laboratory conditions. Field installation of rock is much less precise compared to laboratory conditions. It is difficult to grade riprap flat across a channel bottom or in a manner that provides a uniform slope. Sometimes the riprap delivered from local quarries is slightly smaller than specified. Flow conditions in streams can be affected by a variety of elements including debris, sedimentation, vegetation, etc. and can result in flow concentrations. It is important to include a safety factor when using these equations because the variability associated with conditions in the field cannot be quantified.

## 8.2 Boulder and Riprap Specifications

Specific material and installation specifications for riprap and boulders can be found in UDFCD's Construction Specifications, available at <u>www.udfcd.org</u>.

## 8.2.1 Boulders

Boulders may be placed and grouted or placed without grout. When not grouted, boulders require careful design to provide a firm foundation and stable configuration as well as properly graded backfill material sized to prevent migration of fine subgrade material through voids in the boulders. All stacked boulders require consideration of stability and any stacked boulder configuration over six feet in height requires a structural analysis to confirm proper design. Additionally, some municipalities require structural analysis and a building permit for walls greater than four feet.

Grouted boulders should follow the general guidelines described as part of the sections on grouted boulder grade control structures in the *Hydraulic Structures* Chapter and in the UDFCD Construction Specifications. See Figure 8-36 for typical construction of a grouted boulder bank protection.

## 8.2.2 Soil Riprap

Soil riprap is intended for use in applications where vegetative cover can be established in the riprap. When installed outside of the low-flow channel, UDFCD frequently specifies 4 to 6 inches of topsoil on top of soil riprap to help establish vegetation. Soil used in the voids and placed on top of the soil riprap should meet the description for viable topsoil composition for Colorado native plant establishment and upland areas as defined in the *Revegetation* chapter. See Figure 8-34 for gradation and placement of both riprap and soil riprap. Also see Figure 13 –19 in the *Revegetation* chapter for a fabric staking detail that can be used where fabric is specified over soil riprap. The combination of straw and coir mat is frequently used to help retain soil and seed. This is especially useful when topsoil is placed on top of soil riprap and then seeded. Specifications for mixing and installing soil riprap are further addressed in the UDFCD Construction Specifications.

## 8.2.3 Void-Filled Riprap

Void-filled riprap contains a well-graded mix of cobbles, gravels, sands, and soil that fills all voids and acts as an internal filter.

In addition to specifying the  $D_{50}$  rock size, individual material components that will make up the mix needed to be specified. The gradation of each material component should be specified by identifying a variety of particle sizes (from large to small) and the range of allowable "passing" percentages for each particle size. See Figure 8-35 for typical mixes of various sized rock, however, the designer should specify any mix adjustments based on the requirements of a particular project.



**Photograph 8-18.** Void-filled riprap is designed to emulate natural riffles, consisting of a mix of rock, gravels and sands that is densely-packed and able to support riparian vegetation.



Figure 8-33. Small rock of void-filled riprap becomes "wedged in" under larger rock (Source: Muller Engineering Company)

#### 7. RECOMMENDED DESIGN PROCEDURE

The Phase I and Phase II studies report the findings of 90 laboratory tests that address the application of riprap for protecting embankment slopes from overtopping flows. Although the data base is limited, it is possible to provide the user with a design procedure for sizing riprap. This chapter will outline the assumptions, equations, and/or graphics necessary to apply the findings of the Phase I and Phase II studies.

#### 7.1 DESIGN PROCEDURE

#### Step 1. Determine the design unit discharge

Determine the design embankment slope(s) and the peak unit discharge, q, resulting from the tributary runoff at a point near the toe-of-the-slope (Nelson et al. 1987), and determine the shape of available rock sources (angular or round). Define the initial design unit discharge by adjusting the tributary unit discharge with the flow concentration factor,  $C_{f}$ , as

$$q'_{design} = q \times C_f$$
,

where  $C_f = 1.0$  for overland sheet flow, 2.0 for a high probability of concentrated flow, and 3.0 for a high probability of channelized flow.

The values of the flow concentration factor is based on data from Abt et al. (1987).

## Step 2. Estimate the median stone size (D<sub>50</sub>) of the riprap layer

To size the median stone and prevent stone movement, adjust the design unit discharge by

q<sup>\*</sup><sub>design</sub> = 1.35 q'<sub>design</sub> .

Then, estimate the median stone size as

Angular stone

Apply Eq. 4.1, using the embankment slope from Step 1:

$$D_{50} = 5.23 \text{ s}^{0.43} (q_{design}^{\star})^{0.56}$$
, (7.3)

where  $D_{50}$  is expressed in inches.

Rounded rock

Compute a conditional value of the rock size, D<sub>c</sub>, where

$$D_c = 5.23 \text{ s}^{0.43} (q_{\text{design}}^*)^{0.56}$$
 (7.4)

Then, from Fig. 4.10, obtain the median stone size for rounded-shape

(7.1)

(7.2)

riprap, as D<sub>50</sub>, expressed in inches.

#### Step 3. Estimate the riprap layer thickness

Estimate the minimum riprap layer thickness,  $t_r$ , using the median stone size,  $D_{50}$ , computed in Step 2 by

$$t_r = 1.5 D_{50}$$
 (7.5)

or

(7.6)

 $t_{r} = D_{100}$ ,

whichever thickness is greater. A riprap layer thickness greater than that prescribed in Eq. 7.5 or Eq. 7.6 can be specified.

#### Step 4. Estimate interstitial discharge

The average velocity of flow through the riprap layer can be determined by one of two means developed in the Phase I and Phase II reports. Method I requires that extensive testing of the rock source be conducted. Method II allows the user the opportunity to estimate interstitial velocities without significant testing of the rock source.

#### <u>Method I</u>

The average velocity of flow through the stone layer,  $V_i$ , can be estimated by determining the embankment slope, S; the coefficient of uniformity,  $C_u - D_{60}/D_{10}$ ; the porosity,  $n_p$ ; and the median stone size,  $D_{50}$ , of the source riprap. The average velocity through the riprap layer is computed by Eq. 1.1 as

$$V_1 = 19.29 [c_u^{-0.74} s^{0.46} n_p^{4.14}]^{1.064} (g D_{50})^{0.5},$$
 (7.7)

where velocity is in feet per second.

#### <u>Method II</u>

The average velocity of flow through the stone layer,  $V_i$ , can be estimated by determining the embankment slope, S, and the soil particle size at which 10% of the soil weight is finer,  $D_{10}$ . The average velocity is computed by Eq. 5.2 as

$$v_i = 0.232 (g D_{10} s)^{1/2}$$
,

(7.8)

where velocity is in feet per second and g is the acceleration of gravity,  $32.2 \text{ ft/s}^2$ .

#### Interstitial Discharge

The interstitial unit discharge,  $q_1$ , is estimated by multiplying the interstitial velocity,  $V_1$ , (using either Eq. 7.7 or Eq. 7.8) by the thickness of the rock layer,  $t_r$  expressed in feet, and multiplying by 1.0 foot, yielding



HEC-RAS River: Sand Creek Reach: Sand Creek CL Profile: 646

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Plan	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
				(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Sand Creek CL	111	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6879.92	6882.2		6882.46	0.011386	4.17	158.68	86.11	0.52
Sand Creek CL	111	646	Existing	646.00	6879.92	6882.2		6882.46	0.011386	4.17	158.68	86.11	0.52
Sand Creek CL	110	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6878.14	6880.1	6879.90	6880.43	0.023730	5.10	167.70	152.33	0.72
Sand Creek CL	110	646	Existing	646.00	6878.14	6880.1	6879.90	6880.43	0.023730	5.10	167.70	152.33	0.72
Sand Creek CL	109	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6873.97	6876.0	6875.60	6876.32	0.018196	5.15	172.09	155.07	0.66
Sand Creek CL	109	646	Existing	646.00	6873.97	6876.0	6875.60	6876.32	0.018196	5.15	172.09	155.07	0.66
Sand Creek CL	108	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6871.00	6873.4	6872.75	6873.58	0.007690	3.38	277.84	294.24	0.43
Sand Creek CL	108	646	Existing	646.00	6871.00	6873.4	6872.75	6873.58	0.007690	3.38	277.84	294.24	0.43
Sand Creek CL	107	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6867.95	6869.8	6869.79	6870.11	0.027633	5.14	191.16	490.14	0.77
Sand Creek CL	107	646	Existing	646.00	6867.95	6869.8	6869.79	6870.11	0.027633	5.14	191.16	490.14	0.77
Sand Creek CL	106	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6863.48	6866.8	6866.26	6866.98	0.008492	4.38	259.92	530.51	0.47
Sand Creek CL	106	646	Existing	646.00	6863.48	6866.8	6866.26	6866.98	0.008492	4.38	259.92	530.51	0.47
Sand Creek CL	105	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6861.00	6864.3	6864.28	6864.74	0.023995	6.54	159.47	427.06	0.76
Sand Creek CL	105	646	Existing	646.00	6861.00	6864.3	6864.28	6864.74	0.023995	6.54	159.47	427.06	0.76
Sand Creek CL	104	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6859.22	6862.0	6861.16	6862.12	0.008144	3.91	242.93	357.66	0.45
Sand Creek CL	104	646	Existing	646.00	6859.22	6862.0	6861.16	6862.12	0.008158	3.91	242.79	357.57	0.45
Sand Creek CL	103	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6855.73	6860.0	6859.44	6860.27	0.008625	4.18	216.49	299.67	0.47
Sand Creek CL	103	646	Existing	646.00	6855.73	6860.0	6859.44	6860.27	0.008576	4.17	217.01	299.95	0.47
Sand Creek CL	102	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6854.05	6858.7	6858.74	6859.20	0.019056	7.22	181.28	221.62	0.70
Sand Creek CL	102	646	Existing	646.00	6854.05	6858.7	6858.70	6859.14	0.022082	6.97	174.46	218.38	0.72
			<b>_</b>										
Sand Creek CL	101.9	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6854.00	6858.2	6858.20	6858.75	0.018869	6.71	155.39	200.55	0.70
	404.4	0.40		0.40.00	0050.00	0057.4	0050.40	0057.00	0.040070	=	100.00	100.10	0.54
Sand Creek CL	101.1	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6852.00	6857.4	6856.13	6857.89	0.010879	5.63	123.96	129.48	0.54
	101	0.40		0.40.00	0054.00	0050.0	0050.04	0057.05	0.044000	0.00	07.40	54.04	1.00
Sand Creek CL	101	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6851.92	6856.2	6856.21	6857.65	0.041392	9.62	67.16	54.61	1.00
Sand Creek CL	101	046	Existing	646.00	6851.92	6856.2	6856.21	6857.65	0.041392	9.62	67.16	54.61	1.00
Quart Quarts Of	100	0.40	Draw Draw Law	646.00	0050.00	00545	0050.00	0055.04	0.047704	E 0.4	400.74	40.54	0.00
Sand Creek CL	100	646	Prop Drop Lower	646.00	6850.99	6854.5	6853.82	6855.04	0.017701	5.94	108.74	43.51	0.66
Sand Creek CL	100	646	Existing	646.00	6850.99	6854.5	6853.82	6855.04	0.017701	5.94	108.74	43.51	0.66















## **Michelle Iblings**

From:	Nicole Schanel <nicole_schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com></nicole_schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com>
Sent:	Thursday, March 24, 2022 1:01 PM
То:	Michelle Iblings; Tori Mack
Cc:	Tim McConnell
Subject:	RE: Sand Creek Improvements - USACE Permit
Attachments:	Biostabilization Manual Draft 102916.pdf

Hi Michelle -

For this project, we can only speak to the wetlands that we located. The delineation was focused between cross sections 107 and 100 as shown in Drexel's RAS model. In these sections, the primary species included willows, grasses, and herbaceous species. The soils are Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls which have low cohesive properties.

I have attached the Living Streambanks Manual. We believe that the existing vegetation would fall into short or long native grasses which puts you into the 0.7-0.95 or 1.2-1.7 range, respectively; likely on the lower end due to the soil type. The willow brush does not seem to be present uniformly, rather in clumps, so this may not be appropriate to use as a primary classifier.

Sun	nmar	'y:

Vegetation Type	Shear (lb/ft2)	Velocity (ft/s)
Short native grasses*	0.7-0.95	3-4
Long native grasses	1.2-1.7	4-6
Grass Mix, easily eroded soil, 0-		4
5% slope		
Willow brush (3-4 seasons old)	2.86	
Willow brush (immediately after	0.41	
construction)		

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,



**Nicole Schanel, PE** 

Deputy Director, Civil South Senior Project Manager Matrix Design Group, Inc.

O 719.575.0100 | C 719.659.6141 nicole.schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com

2435 ResearchPkwy | Suite 300 | Colorado Springs, CO 80920 matrixdesigngroup.com

JOIN OUR GROWING TEAM! Click Here to Learn More

**Confidential/Proprietary Note:** The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. Access to this email by anyone other than the intended addressee is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, retention, or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please reply to or forward a copy of this message to the sender and delete the message, any attachments, and any copies thereof from your system. Thank you.











1800 38<sup>th</sup> St. • Boulder, CO 80301 • 303.442.4338 • 303.442.4373 fax 3 South 7th St. • Colorado Springs, CO 80905 • 719-260-0887 • 719-260-8352 fax 710 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite L-45 • Greeley, CO 80631 • 970-351-0645

#### Select Tables from the 2021 Sand Creek DBPS

#### Table 6-13. Alternative 2 Conveyance Improvements Downstream of Regional Pond 1

13				Channel Geometry				Grade Control Structures		
ReachName	Туре	Channel_ID	Length	Typical Section	Topwidth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Number	Height (ft)	Spacing (ft)	
661R1	Type 2 Improved Existing or future problems		1274				12	3	767	
SC1R10	Type 3 - Unimproved - Existing or future problems	6	9223	6	144	5	36	3	252	

Table 7-1. Properties of Channel Improvement Theme ID

	Eng	Engineered Channel Section Nation					d Channe	d Channel Section	
Channel ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
BW	16	22	32	44	20	32	42	64	
Bankfull depth	0.90	1.29	1.87	2.62	0.6	1.05	1.35	1.95	
Bankfull width	23.24	32.34	46.99	64.96	24.84	40.37	52.78	79.6	
Bankfull w/d	26	25	25	25	41	38	39	41	
10yr depth	2.09	3.03	4.37	5.72	1.44	2.38	2.99	4.78	
10yr width	51.59	76.24	106.97	137.2	59.52	87.01	119.91	186.25	
10yr w/d	25	25	24	24	41	37	40	39	
100yr depth	3.22	4.44	6.3	7.97	1.89	3.61	4.2	6.99	
100yr width	77.78	107.51	154.41	193.71	75.16	136.9	170.75	275.93	
100yr w/d	24	24	25	24	40	38	41	39	
TW	92	120	168	200	84	144	188	284	
Total depth	5	6	8	9	3	5	6	8	
Slope	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	

## SAND CREEK – SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY

## Hydrology

# Table 3-13. Future Conditions Peak Flow Rates at Analysis Points

Major Drainage Way	Model Node ID	Location Description	Contributing Area (mi²)	DARF (%)	Percent of Point Precipitation (%)	100-Year Flow (cfs)	50-Year Flow (cfs)	25-Year Flow (cfs)	10-Year Flow (cfs)	5-Year Flow [cfs]	2-Year Flow (cfs)
Sand Creek	DNSPT_SACR1_54	Upstream reach of Sand Creek	2.2	0	100	259	219	185	146	122	97
	SC_POND_3_OUT2	Sand Creek Upstream E Woodman Rd (Regional Detention Pond #3 Outlet)	4.4	0	100	646	453	286	137	105	63
	DSNPT_SACR1_42	Sand Creek Upstream of Dublin Blvd	7.5	0	100	973	748	524	290	220	151
	DSNPT_DS_SACR1_37	Sand Creek Upstream of Stetson Hills Blvd	9.3	0	100	1,104	893	731	555	456	354
	DSNPT_SACR1_34	Sand Creek Upstream of Barnes Rd	11.6	4	96	1,979	1,646	1,352	1,023	837	647
	DSNPT_SACR1_30	Sand Creek upstream of Carefree Cir. (Downstream of Regional Detention Pond #2)	13	4	96	2,489	2,081	1,718	1,310	1,064	807
	DS7_SACR1_25	Sand Creek Downstream of Constitution Ave. (Regional Detention Pond #1 Outlet)	15.2	4	96	3,493	2,937	2,430	1,825	1,463	1,082
	DSNPT_SACR1_25	Sand Creek Upstream of N Powers Blvd and upstream of City Corporate limits	15.7	4	96	3,679	3,092	2,555	1,913	1,532	1,133
	DSNPT_SACR1_23	Sand Creek Upstream of Palmer Park Blvd	16	4	96	3,775	3,170	2,614	1,951	1,562	1,156
	DSNPT_SACR1_18	Sand Creek Upstream of E Platte Ave.	16.7	4	96	3,999	3,352	2,756	2,042	1,635	1,208
	DSNPT_SACR1_17	Sand Creek West Fork at confluence with Sand Creek	22.2	4	96	6,105	5,111	4,192	3,096	2,456	1,797
	CH1_SACR1_13	Sand Creek upstream of confluence with East Fork Sand Creek	22.7	4	96	6,263	5,231	4,279	3,155	2,504	1,833
	DSNPT_SACR1_13	Sand Creek East Fork at confluence with Sand Creek	49	8	92	11,305	9,411	7,644	5,738	4,359	3,244
	OUTLET_SACR1	Sand Creek Confluence with Fountain Creek (Sand Creek Outlet)	60.8	8	92	13,601	11,268	8,961	6,493	4,930	3,648
Sand Creek Center Tributary	DSNPT_SACR2_3N6_E	Sand Creek Center Subtributary Upstream of Omaha Blvd	0.26	0	100	109	91	76	58	49	39
	DSNPT_SACR2_3N4	Sand Creek Center Subtributary Upstream of Platte Ave.	1.22	0	100	538	449	373	288	238	191
	DSNPT_SACR2_3N2	Sand Creek Center Subtributary Upstream 024G	1.52	0	100	683	571	473	365	301	242
Sand Creek East Fork	DSNPT_SACR2_12N10_E2	Sand Creek East Fork (W1) Upstream of E Woodman Rd	0.2	0	100	43	34	29	24	20	19
	DSNPT_SACR2_12N8_E	Sand Creek East Fork (E branch) Upstream of Dublin Ave.	0.51	0	100	137	116	97	76	62	49

# SAND CREEK **DROP STRUCTURE & GRADE CONTROL**

**100% CONSTRUCTION PLANS** COTTAGES AT WOODMEN HEIGHTS 7725 ADVENTURE WAY





VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE

## **CIVIL ENGINEER**

Ϗ╗Ͷ╢

Drexel, Barrell & Co. 3 SOUTH 7TH STREET COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80905 CONTACT: TIM D. McCONNELL, P.E. tmconnell@drexelbarrell.com (719)260 - 0887

PLAN REVIEW BY CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, THROUGH THE APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

#### SHEET INDEX

- COVER SHEET
- 2 GENERAL NOTES & LEGEND 3 EXISTING CONDITIONS & DEMOLITION PLAN
- a OVERALL SITE PLAN & PROFILE
   b GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURE PLAN & PROFILE
   c DROP STRUCTURE PLAN & PROFILE
   c DROP STRUCTURE PLAN & PROFILE
- TYPICAL SECTIONS
- SITE DETAILS

#### ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

SPECIFICATIONS ARE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE MASTER PLAN OF THE THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PARTICULAR DRAINAGE FACILITY(S) IS THE DETAILED DRAINAGE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

SIGNED:

## CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS STATEMENT

SPRINGS, 2001, AS AMENDED.

FOR CITY ENGINEER:

CONDITIONS:

NON-JURISDICTIONAL STATUS OF THE FACILITY. IT IS THE DESIGN ENGINEER'S

OWNER / DEVELOPER:

GOODWIN KNIGHT 8605 EXPLORER DRIVE, SUITE 250 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80920

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M., COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO, EXCEPT THAT PART TO THE COUNTY FOR ROAD AND EXCEPT TRACT OF LAND CONVEYED AT RECEPTION NO. 207123363.

(PER THE TUSTEE'S DEED RECORDED UNDER RECEPTION NO. 210117435)

ADDRESS OF RECORD: E. WOODMEN ROAD, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

#### BENCHMARK:

SITE BENCHMARK IS A CHISLED "+" IN THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE BRIDGE HEADWALL AS SHOWN HEREON. (ELEVATION=6897.52 NAVD88). THE SITE BENCHMARK WAS ESTABLISHED FROM USING RTK DERIVED GPS COORDINATES FROM THE LEICA SMARTNET NETWORK WITH A VERTICAL CHECK/REFERENCE TO NGS MONUMENT 4 BB RESET (ELEVATION=7570.8 NAVD 88) BÉING A 3.25" BRASS DISK IN CONCRETE AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF HIGHWAY 83 AND HODGEN ROAD.

AERIAL PHOTO FROM GOOGLE EARTH

CLIENT **GOODWIN KNIGHT** 

8605 EXPLORER DRIVE, SUITE 250 COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80920 (719) - 598 - 5192



PREPARED BY:

#### GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. THE EXISTING BOUNDARY INFORMATION TAKEN FROM THE SURVEY PREPARED BY DREXEL, BARRELL & CO. ISSUED FEBRUARY 2020.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE EXISTENCE AND LOCATIONS OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, (PUBLIC AND PRIVATE) PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION, CALL THE UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO AT 811, AND ALSO PROCURE PRIVATE UTILITY LOCATES WHICH MAY BE NECESSARY.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY UPON DISCOVERING ANY CONFLICTS OR OTHER PROBLEMS IN CONFORMING TO THE APPROVED CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR DETAILS FOR ANY ELEMENT OF THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH ITS CONSTRUCTION.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE WRITTEN NOTIFICATION TO ALL APPROPRIATE GOVERNING AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENTS AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE STARTING OF ANY CONSTRUCTION.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COORDINATION OF THE INSTALLATION OR RELOCATION OF THE DRY UTILITIES FACILITIES. COST OF THE DRY UTILITY WORK SHALL BE BORNE BY THE OWNER, EXCEPT AS INDICATED IN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE OWNER, ENGINEER, THEIR CONSULTANTS, INDEPENDENT TESTING LABORATORIES, ANY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTIONAL INTERESTS, OTHER REPRESENTATIVES AND PERSONNEL ACCESS TO THE SITE AND THE WORK AT REASONABLE TIMES FOR THEIR OBSERVATION, INSPECTING, AND TESTING. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THEM PROPER AND SAFE CONDITIONS FOR SUCH ACCESS AND ADVISE THEM OF THE CONTRACTOR'S SITE SAFETY PROCEDURES AND PROGRAMS SO THAT THEY MAY COMPLY THEREWITH AS IS APPLICABLE.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL LIMIT OPERATIONS TO THE PROJECT SITE AND STAY WITHIN CITY RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENTS.
- 8. ACCESS TO ALL ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND FACILITIES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES. REQUIRED INTERRUPTION OF ACCESS SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE PROJECT OWNER.
- 9. IF HAZARDOUS MATERIAL OR SUSPECT MATERIAL IS ENCOUNTERED THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AND ENGINEER BEFORE CONTINUING WORK. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SHALL BE REMOVED BY OWNER UNDER A SEPARATE CONTRACT.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY OFF SITE SOIL TRACKING. ALL SOIL TRACKED SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY CLEANED.
- 11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH LOCAL STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS AND PERMITS FOR THE CONTROL OF EROSION AND SEDIMENT. THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PLAN AND REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE KEPT ON SITE AND BE AVAILABLE TO THE GOVERNING AGENCY AT ANY TIME.
- 12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SOURCE OF CONSTRUCTION WATER ON THIS PROJECT.
- 13. IN AREAS OF THE PROJECT WHERE WORK IS REQUIRED NEAR OR ADJACENT TO THE EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM THE WORK FROM THE PROJECT SIDE OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND NOT TRESPASS ONTO PRIVATE PROPERTY.
- 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENTATION DESIGNATED TO REMAIN FROM DAMAGE DURING CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS. ANY MONUMENTS DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR THAT ARE NOT DESIGNATED FOR RELOCATION, SHALL BE RESET AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTE THOSE MONUMENTS IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 15. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND THE MOST RECENT CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 16. ALL EXCESS EXCAVATION MATERIAL TO BE DISPOSED OF OFFSITE.
- 17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY SANITATION FACILITY.
- 18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIAN CONTROL.
- 19. THE CONTRACTOR STREE TROUBLE INVERTIGE AND FEDESTRIAN CONTROL.
  19. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING ABOVE GROUND AND UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN THEIR APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS ONLY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK. CONTRACTOR TO CALL FOR UTILITY LOCATOR AT LEAST 3 CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE CATHWORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE CAUSED BY THEIR FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL ABOVE GROUND AND UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. IN THE EVENT THAT THE CONTRACTOR UTILITY VERIFICATION RESULTS IN EXISTING STRUCTURES OR UTILITIES BEING IN CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED WORK OF THIS CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY UTILITIES AND COORDINATE ANY NEEDED MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROPOSED WORK AS DIRECTED BY AFFECTED AGENCY OR UTILITY.
- 20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH ALL AFFECTED UTILITY OWNERS TO ESTABLISH THE REQUIREMENTS AND METHODS TO ACCOMMODATE THE PROTECTION, TEMPORARY SUPPORT, ADJUSTMENT OR RELOCATION OF UTILITIES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 21. OVERHEAD UTILITIES ARE NOT INDICATED ON PROFILE OR SECTION DRAWINGS.
- 22. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING AND MAINTAINING IN CONTINUOUS OPERATION, ALL EXISTING STRUCTURES. NOT ALL POTENTIALLY IMPACTED STRUCTURES MAY BE SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS AND IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO IDENTIFY AND PROTECT ALL STRUCTURES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO STREETS, CURB AND GUTTER, BRIDGE PIERS AND ABUTMENTS, CREEK BANK PROTECTION OF VARIOUS TYPES, CREEK DROP STRUCTURES, SIGNS, PEDESTRIAN WALKS, RETAINING WALLS AND FENCING, IN THE EVENT THAT A STRUCTURE OR UTILITY IS DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE OWNER OF THE FACILITY IN WRITING AND COORDINATE AND COOPERATE WITH NEEDED REPARS PER THE APPROPRIATE SPECIFICATIONS ACCORDING TO THE OWNER'S DIRECTION.
- 23. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE RECEIPT OF ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS BEFORE THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 24. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY DETAILED OTHERWISE ON THESE PLANS AND ASSOCIATED SPECIFICATIONS.
- 25. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN AT THE SITE AT ALL TIMES ONE SIGNED COPY OF THE PROJECT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS, ONE COPY OF THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ONE COPY OF ALL REQUIRED PERMITS.
- 26. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT THEIR OPERATIONS IN SUCH A WAY THAT THE AREA OF DISTURBANCE IS MINIMIZED. ALL EXISTING TREES, SHRUBS AND VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS. NO TREES SHALL BE REMOVED WITHOUT APPROVAL. DESIGNATED ACCESS SHALL BE MINIMAL AND AGREED UPON WITH THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.

- 27. FOR ALL SITE GRADING, SMOOTH, PARABOLIC TRANSITIONS SHALL BE MADE BETWEEN CHANGES IN SLOPE.
- 28. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING STABLE EXCAVATIONS AND TEMPORARY SLOPES AND FOR SATISFYING ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS.
- 29. CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED WORK WILL TAKE PLACE WITHIN THE CHANNEL AND WATER CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE REQUIRED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCEPTANCE AND CONTROL OF DRAINAGE WATER FROM AREAS ADJACENT TO SAND CREEK AND FOR FLOW WITHIN SAND CREEK AND ITS TIRBUTARIES INCLUDING STORWWATER OUTFALLS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING MEANS AND METHODS OF GROUND AND SURFACE WATER CONTROL APPROPRIATE FOR CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROJECT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS AND PERMITS.
- 30. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN ON-SITE SURVEY CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION STAKING.
- 31. CONTRACTOR SHALL FENCE OFF CRITICAL AREAS TO BE PROTECTED AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS.
- 32. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DEVELOP A TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN FOR PLANNED ACCESS TO THE SITE AND FOR EXITING AND ENTERING PUBLIC ROADS.
- 33. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IDENTIFYING AND MAINTAINING PHYSICAL AND LEGAL ACCESS TO THE PROJECT SITE AND SHALL LIMIT TRANSPORTATION TO AND FROM THE SITE TO THOSE APPROVED BY THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS.
- 34. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE MEASURES TO PREVENT AND MANAGE SPILLS OF TOXIC MATERIALS, SUCH AS EQUIPMENT FUELS.
- 35. ALL MATERIALS USED SHALL BE NEW AND WITHOUT FLAWS OR DEFECTS OF ANY TYPE AND SHALL BE THE BEST OF THEIR CLASS AND KIND.
- 36. WORK INCLUDES FURNISHING OF LABOR, MATERIALS, TOOLS, AND EQUIPMENT TO COMPLETE THE CONSTRUCTION OF ALL ELEMENTS OF THE DESIGN PLANS.

#### LEGEND

PROPERTY BOUNDARY EXISTING EASEMENT EX INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR EX INDEX CONTOUR EX FENCE EX EDGE OF ASPHALT

SAND CREEK CENTERLINE EX BURIED ELECTRIC LINE

EX BURIED SANITARY LINE

EX WATERLINE EX CULVERT

PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR PROPOSED INDEX CONTOUR LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION PROPOSED FENCE

PROPOSED CREEK FL ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHW PROPOSED (DESIGN) CENTERLINE FEMA CENTERLINE FEMA FLOODWAY

FEMA 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN

PERMANENT SEEDING TOPSOIL (4 INCH) MULCHING (WEED FREE HAY)

SOIL RIPRAP

GROUTED BOULDER

	PREPARED BY:						
	DREXEL, BARR Engineers SS 350/TH 7TH COLORADO SPGS, CO CONTACT: TIM D. Me (719/260-08 BOULDER + COLORADO SP CLIEN	ELL & CO. trveyors street Lorado 80905 connell, P.E. 187 RINGS • GREELEY T:					
ε	GOODWIN K 8605 EXPLORER DRI COLORADO SI COLORADO 8 (719)-598-	NIGHT VE, SUITE 250 PRINCS, 30920 -5192					
<ul> <li>5364</li> <li>5365</li> <li>LDA</li> <li>LOC</li> <li>X</li> </ul>	100% CONSTRUCTION PLANS SAND CREEK DROP STRUCTURE	7725 ADVENTURE WAY COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO					
	ISSUE 75% SUBMITTAL 90% SUBMITTAL 100% SUBMITTAL	DATE 10-30-20 10-21-21 01-07-22 					
	DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY: FILE NAME: 21	GSG MLI 369-GN01					
	HORIZONTAL: N/A VERTICAL: N/A GENERAL NOTES & LEGEND PROJECT NO. 21369-00CSCV						
		2					
E REVIEW FILE NO.: CPC PUD 20-00054	SHEET: 2	OF 8					




CITY LAND USE REVIEW FILE NO .: CP

		PR	EPA	RED	BY:	
			-	0		
			1	"	<b>F</b>	
	DF	REXE	L, BA	ARRI	<b></b> Ell & (	CO.
		Engi 3 So	OUTH	•Su 7TH S	rveyors TREET	
	COL	NTACT:	: TIM E (719)2	5, COL 0. McC 60-088	ORADO 8 ONNELL, 87	P.E.
	BOU	JLDER • C	OLORAE	DO SPR	INGS • GREE	ELEY
			CLI	ENT	:	_
		60	ODW	IN 1/1	нент	
	860	D5 EXP	LORER		E, SUITE	250
		C		DO 8	0920	
		(	/19)-:	598-:	5192	
					_	
				(~)	ADO	
		Ś		R	A∖	
		LAN	EK	5	≥ С ш С	B
		ר Z	SE	Ŋ	TUR S	ŝ
	Ē		C	RI	VEN	
		У П С	Ģ	ST	٩ ٩	5
		NN.	A	Ы	725 ADC	
		22	S	Ř	L a	5
				Ω	G	B
	Ŀ					-
		ISS	UE		DATE	
	75 90	% SUB % SUB	BMITTA BMITTA	NL NL	10-30- 10-21-	-20 -21
	100	0% SUE	BMITT	AL	01-07-	-22
	_					
	D	DRAW	ED BY	Y:	CAM CAM	
	C FIL	CHECKI	ED BY 1E:	۲: 213	MLI 69-PP0	1
	⊢	DF	RAWIN	G SC	ALE:	$\neg$
		HOR VE	IZONT RTICA	L: SE	E PLAN	N
		(	OVE	RA		
		S	ITE	PL	AN	
	L	&	PF	ROF	TILE	
► <u>Z</u>	PR	OJECT	NO. ING	2136 NO.	69-00CS	SCV
0 40 80			_		_	
SCALE IN FEET			C	;2	1	
					-	
.: CPC PUD 20-00054	S	SHE	ET:	4	OF	8



H:\21369-00CSCV\Plans\Sand Creek - BOULDER\21369-PP02.dwg, 1/8/2022 6:38:45 F

CITY LAND USE REVIEW FILE NO .: CPC PUD 20-00054



	PREPARED BY:									
D cc BC	DREXEL, BARRELL & CO Engineers - Surveyors 3 South 7th Street COLORADO SPGS, COLORADO S996 CONTACT: TIM D. McCONNELL, P.I (719)2604887 BOULDER + COLORADO SPRINGS + GREELEY CLIENT:									
86	GOODWIN KNIGHT 8605 EXPLORER DRIVE, SUITE 250 COLORADO SPRINCS, COLORADO 80920 (719)-598-5192									
	100% CONSTRUCTION PLANS	7725 ADVENTURE WAY COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO								
L										
	IS	SUE		DATE						
/: 91	5% SU 0% SU	BMITT		10-30-20 10-21-21 01-07-22						
Ë	ال 1			5. 07-22						
	DECIC		×.							
	DESIG	MN BY	r: : v.	GSG						
FI	LE NA	ME:	r: 213	MLI 69-PP02						
	DRAWING SCALE: HORIZONTAL: SEE PLAN									
C P	VERTICAL: SEE PLAN GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURE PLAN & PROFII F									
Pf	ROJEC	T NO.	213	69-00CSCV						
		C		5						







FL





ng sp	ecifications:				PF	REPARED	BY:
	Table 1:					=	=
Bo	ulder Dimension	5				$\sim$	=
Hei	ght	Length a	nd Width			ブン	Ē
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum				<b>`</b>
	(inches)	(inches)	(inches)		DREXE	L, BARR	ELL & CO.
_	30	20	36		Eng 3 S	Meers • Su SOUTH 7TH S	u veyors STREET
	<u>38</u> 45	24	45 54		COLORAD	O SPGS, COI	LORADO 80905
	53	32	63		POILIDED -	(719)260-08	87
	60	36	72		OVULUER *	SOLURADU SPI	MINUO - OKEELEY
						CLIENT	Г:
ions	llength width o	r height) shall he 1	5 for all boulders				
had	liameter (D) equa	I to the minimum	equired dimension	an an			
n Tal	ble 1.				GC	ODWIN KI	NIGHT
A.		)			8605 EXF CC (	PLORER DRIV DLORADO SP COLORADO 8 (719)-598-	/E, SUITE 250 RINGS, 10920 5192
ped he b	oulders shall be g	reater than 2.5 AA	SHTO T85 or ASTN	rc			
170	nercent loss offer	12 ovelar of fraces	thaw when too	ad in			
riled	ge rock, Procedu	re A or 35 cycles of	ASTM D5312M is	and and			
ot m	ore than 10 nero	ent after 10 ourier	when tested in				
AST	M D5240 using m	agnesium sulfate					g
ions.					ŝ	Ц	<u>م</u>
of mi	caceous minerals.				Ā	<u> </u>	
d a " an 20	orop test" from a ) percent of the o	pproximately 10 fe riginal boulder ma	et onto a similarl ss.	e -	Гď	ЯD	≤ ġ
onsi	stent throughout	the project.			Z	王丁	E S
					Ĕ	BZ	E SS
					ß	5	Щ Ч Ц Ц
					IR I	õ E	2 4
					NZ	<u>5</u> S	< ~
					NO N	ΑĀ	1025
					N <sup>№</sup>	$\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$	RA RA
	3"	MIN DIAMETER	PVC		02	Ľ Ľ	2
1~		E EVERY 10' C	.C. MAY BE A	DJUSTED	Ρ	Ц	8
£	/ DU	E IO BOULDER	LUCATIONS				-
÷							
EU 7		LIED WITH					
1 (	UBIC FOOT O	F AASHTO					
NO. AGO	GREGATE	0/					
					ISS	SUE	DATE
WE	WEEP DRAINS	AT 10' O.C. INCLUSIVE			75% 9	BMITTAI	10-30-20
UTED	BOULDERS L	INE ITEM.			90% SU	BMITTAL	10-21-21
					100% SU	BMITTAL	01-07-22
		CT WHILE GRO	JTING.				
_	WITH	TOP OF GROUT	TO				
		ZE PROTRUSION	N		DESIGN	ED BY:	GSG
	$\mathbb{N}$	$\backslash -1$			DRAW	IN BY:	GSG
_	#				FILE NA	ME: 21.7	MLI 369-DT01
		+					
		$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$					
Ţ	ALINA ROAD						
ť,							
X		UKIKIKIS					
DF	R INSTA	LLATION					
						RAWING	CALE:
AI	<u>n det</u> a	<u>AIL</u>			но	RIZONTAL:	N/A
		_			Vi	ERTICAL:	N/A
_			]			CITE	
RAN	IULAR BEDDING	j () ()					<u> </u>
ig T	HICKNESS (IN	JHESJ					ം
	CC	DARSE-GRAINED	SOILS <sup>2</sup>				
PPE	R LAYER)	TYPE II			PROJECT	NO 213	69-000507
4		6			DRAW	ING NO	5-000307
	I				210.11		
	G. THE SUBST	ITUTION OF ON	E LAYER			~	
STI	OP STRUCTUR	E USE OF A ES IS ACCEPTA	BLE.			<b>C</b> 2	5
IE #	40 SIEVE.						-
0.0		<b>FU F</b>				-	<b>AF</b> -
SE	REVIEW	FILE NO.	: CPC P	UD 20-00054	SHE	ET: 8	OF 8



1800 38<sup>th</sup> St. • Boulder, CO 80301 • 303.442.4338 • 303.442.4373 fax 3 South 7th St. • Colorado Springs, CO 80905 • 719-260-0887 • 719-260-8352 fax 710 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite L-45 • Greeley, CO 80631 • 970-351-0645

March 29, 2022

# City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Enterprise

30 S. Nevada Ave., Suite 401 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

# Subject: Cottages at Woodmen Heights – Sand Creek Variance Request

 To:
 Erin Powers, City of Colorado Springs

 Tim McConnell, Drexel, Barrell & Co. (DBC)

Goodwin Knight (Applicant) has proposed the construction of a new housing development located south of East Woodmen Road and west of Marksheffel Road in northeast Colorado Springs. The proposed Cottages at Woodmen Heights is shown in **Figure 1**.



## Figure 1. Location Map

This document is provided in support of a request for variance from two criteria applicable to the Project. The Project is adjacent to and west of 1,793 feet of Sand Creek. Based on field observations, the majority of this reach of Sand Creek is relatively stable. There are two existing at-grade (buried) grade control structures, and the bed and banks are covered with heavy vegetation, including wetland vegetation. There is headcutting and undermining of the channel bed at the downstream end of the reach, where a drop structure is being proposed. The purpose of this variance request is to show that the guidance provided in the Sand Creek DBPS and the City DCM are not intended to address specific site conditions, and that implementation of the requirements will cause increases to hydraulic parameters (velocities, Froude numbers, tractive forces) above City criteria. This variance will not result in a change in peak flows or water quality in Fountain Creek.

Civil, Transportation, & Water Resources Engineering Land Surveying • Geomatics • Mapping www.drexelbarrell.com

# Cottages at Woodmen Heights – Sand Creek Variance Request March 29, 2022

The following criteria are applicable to the proposed drop structure, grade control structure (GCS), and bank protection along Sand Creek associated with the Project.

### Recently-approved Sand Creek DBPS – Recommended Stable Slope of 0.2%

The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the east edge of the Project is referenced as SC1R10 in the 2021 DBPS. The 2021 DBPS recommends a stable slope of 0.2% in the upper basin. To achieve this slope in the 9,223-foot reach of SC1R10, 36 3-foot grade control structures are proposed, spaced at 252-foot increments (Table 6-13 attached). The reach of Sand Creek adjacent to the project is 1,793 feet at an average slope of 1.6%. To achieve the recommended 0.2% slope adjacent to the Project, approximately thirteen 2-foot drop structures would be required, spaced at a maximum of 140 feet apart.

During a site visit on June 2, 2021, the design team, site owner, and City staff discussed adding a mid-reach buried GCS to meet the 0.2% equivalent stable slope for a portion (350 feet) of the reach. This GCS along with the proposed downstream drop structure will help stabilize the reach between the two structures for future watershed development. The slope for the remainder of the reach is shown on the attached channel profile.

## Recently-approved Sand Creek DBPS – Recommended Typical Section 6

The 2021 DBPS recommends a typical section 6 for reach SC1R10 with the properties shown in the attached Table 7-1, including a proposed 100-year depth and width of 3.61 and 136.9 feet, respectively. The average future conditions (Q=646 cfs) depth and width along the Project reach are 3.06 and 152.3 feet, respectively. Because these values are relatively similar, there is no need for major channel improvements along this reach. There are no side slope recommendations in the 2021 DBPS.

## DCM Table 12.3 – Hydraulic Design Criteria

Table 12-3 in the City DCM provides hydraulic design criteria for natural unlined channels, including maximum velocities, Froude numbers, and tractive forces for the 100-year storm event. The table below provides the velocities, Froude numbers, tractive forces for the 100-year storm event in both existing and proposed conditions along the Project reach of Sand Creek. Locations that exceed the criteria are highlighted in red. In general, the total velocities are below the required 5 fps threshold upstream of the proposed drop. The Froude number and tractive force values are above the criteria for most of the modeled reach.

As a result of the proposed drop, there are slight decreases in hydraulic design parameters through and upstream of the drop. These results suggest that the installation of more drop structures along this reach will not significantly reduce the parameters at all locations and may cause further increases in parameters that are already above the criteria.

According to the attached email from the wetlands consultant for the project (Matrix), the existing channel vegetation consists of a mixture of short native grasses, long native grasses,

H:\21369-00CSCV\Reports\Floodplain\Variance\Submitted March 2022\21369 Sand Creek Variance 3.29.2022.Docx

# Cottages at Woodmen Heights – Sand Creek Variance Request March 29, 2022

and willow brush. The Living Streambanks Manual (2016) provides allowable shear stresses of 0.7, 1.2, and 2.86 lb/sf for these three types of materials, respectively. The average of these values is 1.6 lb/sf, which is at the upper range of the proposed conditions shear stresses upstream of the proposed drop structure. Therefore, the existing vegetation should be able to withstand the future shear stresses.

	Existing Conditions Proposed Conditions								
Piwor Sta	W.S. Elev	Fr # XS	Vel Total	Shear Total	W.S. Elev	Fr # XS	Vel Total	Shear Total	Notes
River Sta									
	(ft)		(ft/s)	(lb/sq ft)	(ft)		(ft/s)	(lb/sq ft)	
111	6882.2	0.54	4.07	1.3	6882.2	0.54	4.07	1.3	
110	6000 1	0.74	2.05	1.02	6990 1	0.74	2.05	1.62	North limit of Project.
110	0000.1	0.74	5.65	1.05	0000.1	0.74	5.65	1.05	Existing GCS
109	6876.0	0.61	3.75	1.64	6876.0	0.61	3.75	1.64	
108	6873.4	0.55	2.33	0.45	6873.4	0.55	2.33	0.45	
107	6869.8	1.03	3.38	1.04	6869.8	1.03	3.38	1.04	
106	6866.8	0.47	2.49	0.73	6866.8	0.47	2.49	0.73	Proposed GCS
105	6864.3	0.96	4.05	1.51	6864.3	0.96	4.05	1.51	
104	6862.0	0.41	2.66	0.84	6862.0	0.41	2.66	0.84	Existing GCS
103	6860.1	0.6	2.98	0.65	6860.1	0.6	2.98	0.65	
102	6858.7	0.93	3.7	1.41	6858.7	0.93	3.56	1.24	South limit of Project
101.9					6858.2	1.04	4.16	1.17	Upstream Drop
101.1					6857.4	0.79	5.21	1.04	Downstream Drop
101	6856.2	1	9.62	6.82	6856.2	1	9.62	6.82	
100	6854.5	0.66	5.94	2.68	6854.5	0.66	5.94	2.68	
Average (Dvmt)		0.70	3.24	1.10		0.70	3.23	1.08	
Average (Total)		0.71	4.07	1.73		0.74	4.15	1.62	
Criteria Exceeded	(in red)	F > 0.6	V > 5 fps	S > 0.6 lb/sf		F > 0.6	V > 5 fps	S > 0.6 lb/sf	

In summary, the purpose of this document is to provide support of a request for variance from two criteria (Sand Creek DBPS and City DCM) applicable to the Project. Please contact me if you have any further questions or comments.

Sincerely, **Drexel, Barrell & Co.** 

Michelle Iblings, P.E., CFM <u>miblings@drexelbarrell.com</u> (303) 442-4338

H:\21369-00CSCV\Reports\Floodplain\Variance\Submitted March 2022\21369 Sand Creek Variance 3.29.2022.Docx



1800 38<sup>th</sup> St. • Boulder, CO 80301 • 303.442.4338 • 303.442.4373 fax 3 South 7th St. • Colorado Springs, CO 80905 • 719-260-0887 • 719-260-8352 fax 710 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite L-45 • Greeley, CO 80631 • 970-351-0645

### Select Tables from the 2021 Sand Creek DBPS

#### Table 6-13. Alternative 2 Conveyance Improvements Downstream of Regional Pond 1

			Grade Control Structures						
ReachName	Туре	Channel_ID	Length	Typical Section	Topwidth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Number	Height (ft)	Spacing (ft)
661R1	Type 2 Improved Existing or future problems		1274				12	3	767
SC1R10	Type 3 - Unimproved - Existing or future problems	6	9223	6	144	5	36	3	252

Table 7-1. Properties of Channel Improvement Theme ID

	Engineered Channel Section			Natur	al I	Engineere	d Channe	Section	
Channel ID	1	2	3	4	5	Π	6	7	8
BW	16	22	32	44	20	Π	32	42	64
Bankfull depth	0.90	1.29	1.87	2.62	0.6		1.05	1.35	1.95
Bankfull width	23.24	32.34	46.99	64.96	24.84		40.37	52.78	79.6
Bankfull w/d	26	25	25	25	41		38	39	41
10yr depth	2.09	3.03	4.37	5.72	1.44		2.38	2.99	4.78
10yr width	51.59	76.24	106.97	137.2	59.52		87.01	119.91	186.25
10yr w/d	25	25	24	24	41		37	40	39
100yr depth	3.22	4.44	6.3	7.97	1.89		3.61	4.2	6.99
100yr width	77.78	107.51	154.41	193.71	75.16	Π	136.9	170.75	275.93
100yr w/d	24	24	25	24	40		38	41	39
TW	92	120	168	200	84		144	188	284
Total depth	5	6	8	9	3		5	6	8
Slope	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.30%	0.20%		0.20%	0.20%	0.20%

#### Civil, Transportation, & Water Resources Engineering Land Surveying • Geomatics • Mapping www.drexelbarrell.com



	PREPARED BY:
LECEND	CLIENT: GOODWIN KNIGHT BOOD SPRIJCOLOGRADO BOUDER + COLORADO SPRIMOS - ORELEY COLORADO SPRIJCOLORADO BOULDER + COLORADO SPRIMOS - ORELEY CLIENT: BOODWIN KNIGHT BOOD SPRIMOS, COLORADO SPRIMOS, C
	100% CONSTRUCTION PLANS SAND CREEK DROP STRUCTURE 7725 ADVENTURE WAY COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
	ISSUE DATE
	75% SUBMITTAL         10-30-20           90% SUBMITTAL         10-21-21           100% SUBMITTAL         01-07-22
	DESIGNED BY: TDM DRAWN BY: SLG CHECKED BY: TDM FILE NAMER(9-00 SAND CREEK
80 40 0 80 160	DRAWING SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1" = 100" VERTICAL: N/A
SCALE: 1"=80'	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS EXHIBIT
Know what's below. Call before you dig CALL 2- BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVA BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR BECAVATE FOR THE MAXIMUM OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER VOLTITIES.	PROJECT NO. 21369-00CSCV DRAWING NO.
REVIEW FILE NO .: CPC PUD 20-0005	4 SHEET: 2 OF 2



# **Michelle Iblings**

From:	Nicole Schanel <nicole_schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com></nicole_schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com>
Sent:	Thursday, March 24, 2022 1:01 PM
То:	Michelle Iblings; Tori Mack
Cc:	Tim McConnell
Subject:	RE: Sand Creek Improvements - USACE Permit
Attachments:	Biostabilization Manual Draft 102916.pdf

Hi Michelle -

For this project, we can only speak to the wetlands that we located. The delineation was focused between cross sections 107 and 100 as shown in Drexel's RAS model. In these sections, the primary species included willows, grasses, and herbaceous species. The soils are Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls which have low cohesive properties.

I have attached the Living Streambanks Manual. We believe that the existing vegetation would fall into short or long native grasses which puts you into the 0.7-0.95 or 1.2-1.7 range, respectively; likely on the lower end due to the soil type. The willow brush does not seem to be present uniformly, rather in clumps, so this may not be appropriate to use as a primary classifier.

Sun	nmar	'y:

Vegetation Type	Shear (lb/ft2)	Velocity (ft/s)
Short native grasses*	0.7-0.95	3-4
Long native grasses	1.2-1.7	4-6
Grass Mix, easily eroded soil, 0-		4
5% slope		
Willow brush (3-4 seasons old)	2.86	
Willow brush (immediately after	0.41	
construction)		

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,



**Nicole Schanel, PE** 

Deputy Director, Civil South Senior Project Manager Matrix Design Group, Inc.

O 719.575.0100 | C 719.659.6141 nicole.schanel@matrixdesigngroup.com

2435 ResearchPkwy | Suite 300 | Colorado Springs, CO 80920 matrixdesigngroup.com

JOIN OUR GROWING TEAM! Click Here to Learn More

**Confidential/Proprietary Note:** The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. Access to this email by anyone other than the intended addressee is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, retention, or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please reply to or forward a copy of this message to the sender and delete the message, any attachments, and any copies thereof from your system. Thank you.

SAND CREEK DROP STRUCTURE & GRADE CONTROL ENGINEER'S OPINION OF CONSTRUCTION COSTS Drexel, Barrell & Co April 6, 2022								
Item No.	CDOT No.	ITEM	UNIT	QUANTITY	PRICE	COST		
1	201-00000	CLEARING AND GRUBBING	LS	1	\$10,000	\$10,000		
2	202-01000	REMOVAL OF FENCE	LF	84	\$30	\$2,520		
3	203-00000	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION WITH OFFSITE DISPOSAL	CY	750	\$150	\$112,500		
4	203-00010	UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION (COMPLETE IN PLACE)	CY	300	\$100	\$30,000		
5	203-01597	POTHOLING	HR	8	\$500	\$4,000		
6	206-00510	8" TYPE II GRANULAR BEDDING (CDOT FILTER MATERIAL CLASS A)	CY	78	\$100	\$7,800		
7	207-00205	TOPSOIL	CY	62	\$100	\$6,200		
8	207-00310	STOCKPILE WETLAND TOPSOIL	CY	40	\$150	\$6,000		
9	208-00012	SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG (9 INCH)	LF	350	\$20	\$7,000		
10	208-00045	CONCRETE WASHOUT STRUCTURE	EA	1	\$3,500	\$3,500		
11	208-00400	WATER CONTROL	LS	1	\$40,000	\$40,000		
12	212-00006	SEEDING (NATIVE UPLANDS SEED MIX)	ACRE	0.11	\$7,000	\$770		
13	213-00011	MULCHING (HYDRAULIC)	ACRE	0.11	\$7,000	\$770		
14	216-00037	SOIL RETENTION BLANKET (COCONUT)	SY	208	\$10	\$2,080		
15	506-00030	GROUTED BOULDERS (B24)	CY	153	\$500	\$76,500		
16	506-00412	SOIL RIPRAP (VH, D50=12")	CY	232	\$300	\$69,600		
17	521-00000	CUTOFF WALL (CONCRETE/GROUT IN TRENCH)	CY	60	\$1,500	\$90,000		
18	620-00020	SANITATION FACILITY	EA	1	\$3,500	\$3,500		
19	626-00000	MOBILIZATION	LS	1	\$50,000	\$50,000		
		SUBTOTAL				\$522,740		
		CONTINGENCY	10%			\$52,274		
TOTAL \$57								





DACIN	AREA	%	05 (cfc)	Q100
DASIN	(AC)	IMPERV	wo (cis)	(cfs)
OS1	16.80	65%	4.7	22.0
OS2	2.69	27%	3.7	11.0
OS3	2.58	55%	6.2	14.0
OS4	3.18	48%	6.8	16.1
OS5	0.62	55%	1.6	3.6
OS6	0.32	41%	0.6	1.6
OS7	0.62	37%	1.3	3.3
1	17.52	0%	7.3	41.0
2	8.36	44%	12.4	30.3
3	8.45	1%	3.9	20.6
4	1.03	0%	0.4	2.4
5	3,19	0%	1.4	7.7

DP	AREA (AC)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
OS1	16.80	4.7	22.0
OS2	2.69	3.7	11.0
OS3	5.27	9.3	23.5
OS4	8.45	15.5	38.2
OS5	0.62	1.6	3.6
OS6	0.32	0.6	1.6
OS7	0.62	1.3	3.3
1	43.39	33.7	96.8
2	8.68	10.5	25.7
3	9.07	4.0	19.6
4	1.03	0.4	2.4
5	3.19	1.4	7.7







